AGENDA
EL SEGUNDO CITY COUNCIL
COUNCIL CHAMBERS - 350 Main Street

The City Council, with certain statutory exceptions, can only take action upon properly posted and listed agenda items. Any writings or documents given to a majority of the City Council regarding any matter on this agenda that the City received after issuing the agenda packet are available for public inspection in the City Clerk's office during normal business hours. Such Documents may also be posted on the City's website at www.elsegundo.org and additional copies will be available at the City Council meeting.

Unless otherwise noted in the Agenda, the Public can only comment on City-related business that is within the jurisdiction of the City Council and/or items listed on the Agenda during the Public Communications portions of the Meeting. Additionally, the Public can comment on any Public Hearing item on the Agenda during the Public Hearing portion of such item. The time limit for comments is five (5) minutes per person.

Before speaking to the City Council, please come to the podium and state: Your name and residence and the organization you represent, if desired. Please respect the time limits.

Members of the Public may place items on the Agenda by submitting a Written Request to the City Clerk or City Manager's Office at least six days prior to the City Council Meeting (by 2:00 p.m. the prior Tuesday). The request must include a brief general description of the business to be transacted or discussed at the meeting. Playing of video tapes or use of visual aids may be permitted during meetings if they are submitted to the City Clerk two (2) working days prior to the meeting and they do not exceed five (5) minutes in length.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact City Clerk, 524-2305. Notification 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable the City to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting.

REGULAR MEETING OF THE EL SEGUNDO CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, April 2, 2013 – 5:00 PM

5:00 P.M. SESSION

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

PUBLIC COMMUNICATION – (Related to City Business Only – 5 minute limit per person, 30 minute limit total) Individuals who have received value of $50 or more to communicate to the City Council on behalf of another, and employees speaking on behalf of their employer, must so identify themselves prior to addressing the City Council. Failure to do so shall be a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine of $250.
SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS:

CLOSED SESSION:
The City Council may move into a closed session pursuant to applicable law, including the Brown Act (Government Code Section §54960, et seq.) for the purposes of conferring with the City’s Real Property Negotiator; and/or conferring with the City Attorney on potential and/or existing litigation; and/or discussing matters covered under Government Code Section §54957 (Personnel); and/or conferring with the City’s Labor Negotiators; as follows:

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION (Gov’t Code §54956.9(a) -3- matter

1. City of El Segundo vs. City of Los Angeles, et. al. LASC Case No. BS094279
2. Willmore vs. City of El Segundo, et al., LASC Case No. BC485025
3. City of El Segundo vs. State Board of Equalization, SFSC Case No. CPR-09-509231, 509232 and 509234

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION

Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to Government Code §54956.9(b): -0- matter.

Initiation of litigation pursuant to Government Code §54956.9(c): -2- matter.

DISCUSSION OF PERSONNEL MATTERS (Gov’t Code §54957): -0- matter

APPOINTMENT OF PUBLIC EMPLOYEE (Gov’t. Code § 54957) –0- matter

CONFERENCE WITH CITY’S LABOR NEGOTIATOR (Gov’t Code §54957.6): -0-matters

CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATOR (Gov’t Code §54956.8): -0-matters
AGENDA
EL SEGUNDO CITY COUNCIL
COUNCIL CHAMBERS - 350 Main Street

The City Council, with certain statutory exceptions, can only take action upon properly posted and listed agenda items. Any writings or documents given to a majority of the City Council regarding any matter on this agenda that the City received after issuing the agenda packet, are available for public inspection in the City Clerk's office during normal business hours. Such Documents may also be posted on the City's website at www.elsegundo.org and additional copies will be available at the City Council meeting.

Unless otherwise noted in the Agenda, the Public can only comment on City-related business that is within the jurisdiction of the City Council and/or items listed on the Agenda during the Public Communications portions of the Meeting. Additionally, the Public can comment on any Public Hearing item on the Agenda during the Public Hearing portion of such item. The time limit for comments is five (5) minutes per person.

Before speaking to the City Council, please come to the podium and state: Your name and residence and the organization you represent, if desired. Please respect the time limits.

Members of the Public may place items on the Agenda by submitting a Written Request to the City Clerk or City Manager's Office at least six days prior to the City Council Meeting (by 2:00 p.m. the prior Tuesday). The request must include a brief general description of the business to be transacted or discussed at the meeting. Playing of video tapes or use of visual aids may be permitted during meetings if they are submitted to the City Clerk two (2) working days prior to the meeting and they do not exceed five (5) minutes in length.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact City Clerk, 524-2305. Notification 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable the City to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting.

REGULAR MEETING OF THE EL SEGUNDO CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, April 2, 2013 - 7:00 P.M.

7:00 P.M. SESSION

CALL TO ORDER

INVOCATION – Father Alexei Smith, St. Andrew Russian Greek Catholic Church

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – Council Member Atkinson
PRESENTATIONS

a) Proclamation – April is “Sexual Assault Awareness Month”

ROLL CALL

PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS – (Related to City Business Only – 5 minute limit per person, 30 minute limit total) Individuals who have received value of $50 or more to communicate to the City Council on behalf of another, and employees speaking on behalf of their employer, must so identify themselves prior to addressing the City Council. Failure to do so shall be a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine of $250. While all comments are welcome, the Brown Act does not allow Council to take action on any item not on the agenda. The Council will respond to comments after Public Communications is closed.

A. PROCEDURAL MOTIONS

Consideration of a motion to read all ordinances and resolutions on the Agenda by title only.

Recommendation – Approval.

B. SPECIAL ORDERS OF BUSINESS (PUBLIC HEARING)

1. Consideration and possible action to open the Public Hearing and receive public testimony concerning adopting a Resolution approving the fee increases for the Golf Course and Driving Range at The Lakes at El Segundo as recommended by the Golf Course Subcommittee and Recreation and Parks Commission and authorize the City Manager to execute an amendment to Agreement No. 3399, in a form approved by the City Attorney, between the City and Lane Donovan Golf Partners to reduce management fees by 12%.

(Fiscal Impact: Estimated Annual Revenue Increase of $125,000.00 to Golf Course Enterprise Fund; Annual Cost Savings of $12,000.00)

Recommendation – 1) Receive presentation by Lane Donovan Golf Partners that identifies efficiencies and new sources of revenues for The Lakes at El Segundo; 2) Open the public hearing and consider testimonial and documentary evidence; 3) Adopt a Resolution approving the proposed fee increases for The Lakes at El Segundo; 4) Authorize the City Manager to execute an amendment to Agreement No. 3399 to reduce annual management fees by $12,000.00 in a form approved by the City Attorney; 5) Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.)

C. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

D. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES, COMMISSIONS AND BOARDS
E. CONSENT AGENDA

All items listed are to be adopted by one motion without discussion and passed unanimously. If a call for discussion of an item is made, the item(s) will be considered individually under the next heading of business.

2. Warrant Numbers 2591729 to 2591930 on Register No. 12 in the total amount of $1,372,161.33 and Wire Transfers from 2/28/13 through 3/14/13 in the total amount of $2,708,129.50.
Recommendation – Approve Warrant Demand Register and authorize staff to release. Ratify Payroll and Employee Benefit checks; checks released early due to contracts or agreement; emergency disbursements and/or adjustments; and wire transfers.

Recommendation – Approval.

4. Consideration and possible action to adopt a Resolution approving Plans and Specifications for the Park Vista Senior Housing and City Hall Roof Repairs. Project No. PW 13-05.
(Fiscal Impact: To Be Determined)
Recommendation – 1) Adopt the plans and specifications; 2) Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.)

5. Consideration and possible action to award a standard Public Works Contract to Federal Technology Solutions, Inc. for citywide fiber optic installation. Project No. PW 13-04
(Fiscal Impact: $223,836.08)
Recommendation – 1) Authorize the City Manager to execute a standard Public Works Contract in a form approved by the City Attorney with Federal Technology Solutions, Inc. in the amount of $251,625.02 and immediately issue Change Order #1 to reduce the contract by $27,788.94 for a project total of $223,836.08; 2) Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.)

6. Consideration and possible action to adopt a Resolution approving Plans and Specifications for the Maple Ave. Street Improvements Project. Project No. PW 12-09
(Fiscal Impact: $2,500,000.00 grant reimbursement)
Recommendation – 1) Adopt attached Resolution approving Plans and Specification for the Maple Ave. Street Improvements Project; 2) Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.)
7. Consideration and possible action to accept as complete the Replacement of Sanitary Sewer Mains at various locations within the Smoky Hollow Specific Plan area and Maple Ave., between Lairport St. and Nash St. within the City of El Segundo. Project No. PW 11-09
(Fiscal Impact: $1,216,370.00)
Recommendation – 1) Accept the work as complete; 2) Authorize the City Clerk to file a Notice of Completion in the County Recorder’s Office; 3) Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.)

8. Consideration and possible action to adopt a Resolution approving Plans and Specifications for the installation of soccer field netting at Campus El Segundo Athletic Field. Project No. PW 13-06
(Fiscal Impact: $10,000.00)
Recommendation – 1) Adopt the plans and specifications; 2) Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.)

9. Consideration and possible action regarding the second reading and adoption of Ordinance 1478 to amend El Segundo Municipal Code ("ESMC") Title 8 ("Vehicles and Traffic"), Chapter 5, to exempt Council approved carsharing programs from specified parking time limits and approve a resolution and agreement, subject to approval by the City Attorney's office, that provides for "car2go" to operate a carsharing program in the City and receive parking permits pursuant to the proposed amendment to ESMC Title 8 if adopted by the Council.
(Fiscal Impact: None)
Recommendation – 1) Second reading by title only and adoption of Ordinance No. 1478 amending El Segundo Municipal Code ("ESMC") Title 8 ("Vehicles and Traffic"), Chapter 5, to exempt Council approved carsharing programs from specified parking time limits; 2) approve a resolution and agreement, subject to approval by the City Attorney’s office, that provides for “car2go” to operate a carsharing program in the City and receive parking permits pursuant to the proposed amendment to ESMC Title 8; 3) Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.

F. NEW BUSINESS

10. Consideration and possible action to approve implementation of provisions called for in new Storm Water National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit issued by the CA Regional Water Quality Control Board.
(Fiscal Impact: To Be Determined)
Recommendation – 1) Discuss and approve staff’s recommendations to implement provisions called for in the new Storm Water NPDES permit; 2) Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.)
G. REPORTS – CITY MANAGER

H. REPORTS – CITY ATTORNEY

I. REPORTS – CITY CLERK

J. REPORTS – CITY TREASURER

K. REPORTS – CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

   Council Member Fellhauer –

   Council Member Atkinson –

   Council Member Fisher –

   Mayor Pro Tem Fuentes –

11. Consideration and possible action to adopt a Resolution supporting passage of SB 135, the creation of a statewide earthquake early warning system in California.
   (Fiscal Impact: None)

   Recommendation – 1) Adopt the attached resolution; 2) Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.)

   Mayor Jacobson –

PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS – (Related to City Business Only – 5 minute limit per person, 30 minute limit total) Individuals who have receive value of $50 or more to communicate to the City Council on behalf of another, and employees speaking on behalf of their employer, must so identify themselves prior to addressing the City Council. Failure to do so shall be a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine of $250. While all comments are welcome, the Brown Act does not allow Council to take action on any item not on the agenda. The Council will respond to comments after Public Communications is closed.

MEMORIALS –

CLOSED SESSION
The City Council may move into a closed session pursuant to applicable law, including the Brown Act (Government Code Section §54960, et seq.) for the purposes of conferring with the City's Real Property Negotiator; and/or conferring with the City Attorney on potential and/or existing litigation; and/or discussing matters covered under Government Code Section §54957 (Personnel); and/or conferring with the City's Labor Negotiators.

REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION (if required)

ADJOURNMENT

POSTED:

DATE: 3.27.13

TIME: 1:20 pm

NAME: [Signature]
Proclamation

City of El Segundo, California

WHEREAS, the United States Government has declared April as "Sexual Assault Awareness Month" and Peace Over Violence has declared April 24, 2013 as "Denim Day" in Los Angeles County; and

WHEREAS, both events are intended to draw attention to the fact that rape and sexual assault remains a serious issue in our society; and

WHEREAS, harmful attitudes about rape and sexual assault allow these crimes to persist and allow victim/survivors to be re-victimized; and

WHEREAS, "Sexual Assault Awareness Month" and "Denim Day" were also instituted to call attention to misconceptions and misinformation about rape and sexual assault, and the problem that many in society remain disturbingly uninformed with respect to issues of assault and forcible rape; and

WHEREAS, every two minutes, someone in America is sexually assaulted, approximately 1-in-6 women are raped during their lifetime and youths under 18 account for about 44% of all reported; and

WHEREAS, with proper education on the matter, there is compelling evidence that we can be successful in reducing incidents of this alarming and psychologically damaging crime; and

WHEREAS, the members of the City Council strongly support the efforts of Peace Over Violence to educate persons in our community about the true impact of rape and sexual assault in Southern California.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Mayor and Members of the City Council of the City of El Segundo, California, hereby proclaim the month of April 2013, as "SEXUAL ASSAULT AWARENESS MONTH," and designates April 24, 2013 as "DENIM DAY" and urges everyone to wear jeans on April 24, 2013 to help communicate the message that there is "no excuse and never an invitation to rape."
AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

Consideration and possible action to open the Public Hearing and receive testimony concerning adopting a Resolution approving fee increases for Golf Course and Driving Range at The Lakes at El Segundo as recommended by the Golf Course Subcommittee and Recreation and Parks Commission and authorize the City Manager to execute an amendment to Agreement No. 3399, in a form approved by the City Attorney, between the City and Lane Donovan Golf Partners to reduce management fees by 12%.
(Fiscal Impact: Estimated Annual Revenue Increase of $125,000 to Golf Course Enterprise Fund; Annual Cost Savings of $12,000)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:

1) Receive presentation by Lane Donovan Golf Partners that identifies efficiencies and new sources of revenues for The Lakes at El Segundo;
2) Open the public hearing and consider testimonial and documentary evidence;
3) Adopt a Resolution approving the proposed fee increases for The Lakes at El Segundo;
4) Authorize the City Manager to execute an amendment to Agreement No. 3399 to reduce annual management fees by $12,000 in a form approved by the City Attorney; and/or
5) Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

1) Lane Donovan Golf Partners’ presentation;
2) Resolution including Exhibit A, Current and Proposed Green and Driving Range Fees;
3) Local Green Fees Comparison;
4) Local Driving Range Fees Comparison; and
5) Second Amendment to Management Agreement No. 3399.

FISCAL IMPACT: Estimated Annual Revenue Increase of $125,000 to Golf Course Enterprise Fund and Annual Cost Savings of $12,000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount Budgeted:</th>
<th>$N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Additional Appropriation:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account Number(s):</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ORIGINATED BY: Bob Cummings, Director of Recreation and Parks
REVIEWED BY: Bob Cummings, Director of Recreation and Parks
APPROVED BY: Greg Carpenter, City Manager

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:
In February 2013, Lane Donovan Golf Partners received approvals from the Golf Course Subcommittee and the Recreation and Parks Commission to increase green fees and driving range fees. The proposal is estimated to increase The Lakes revenues by $125,000 per year that will help off-set some of the increased operating expenses such as fertilizer, water, food, health care and gasoline. The proposal also does not require any capital improvements.

Lane Donovan Golf Partners surveyed local golf courses and driving ranges to demonstrate that the new fees will still remain fair, reasonable and comparable to surrounding area. Consideration was also given to minimize the impact on El Segundo residents. For the weekday and weekend green fees, the proposed fees will increase by $1 for residents and $2 for non-residents. The proposed fees for the range ball prices will increase by $2. The complete list of current and proposed fees and local fee comparisons are attached to the Resolution.

In addition to increasing revenues, Lane Donovan Golf Partners’ proposal includes reduction of its annual management fee by 12% or $12,000. The estimated additional revenues and cost savings will be utilized to pay down the long-term debt that the City paid off in June 2012.

As recommended by the Golf Course Subcommittee and the Recreation and Parks Commission, staff is asking City Council to approve the proposed fee increases and authorize the City Manager to amend Agreement No. 3399 to reduce Lane Donovan’s fee by 12% or $12,000.
A look forward
Presentation Agenda:

- Historical Financials
- Management Fee
- Green Fees
- Driving Range
- Independent Golf Professionals
- Junior Golf programming
- Food & Beverage
- Summary
- Capital Projects
# Historical Financials

## The Lakes at El Segundo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating Income</td>
<td>$512,315</td>
<td>$451,958</td>
<td>$502,853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General &amp; Administration Charges:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Expense</td>
<td>3,421</td>
<td>32,984</td>
<td>61,319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation</td>
<td>190,000</td>
<td>193,659</td>
<td>192,962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment Replacement Charges</td>
<td>34,600</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>34,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional/Technical (Lane/Donovan)</td>
<td>108,000</td>
<td>108,000</td>
<td>108,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Administrative (City Overhead Costs)</td>
<td>130,200</td>
<td>130,200</td>
<td>103,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right of Way Lease (Edison access road)</td>
<td>27,815</td>
<td>55,630</td>
<td>27,815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment Purchases</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>18,560</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance $ Bonds</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total General &amp; Administrative Charges</td>
<td>494,036</td>
<td>540,633</td>
<td>596,096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Income (Loss)</td>
<td>$18,279</td>
<td>($88,675)</td>
<td>($93,243)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Guaranteed Management Fee

**Current**

- $9,000/month

**Proposed**

- 12% cut
- $8,000/month

*Increase to City: $12,000*
# Golf Green Fees Comparison

Green Fees comparison as of January 15, 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9 Hole Golf Course</th>
<th>Regular Green Fee Monday Thru Thurs/ Friday/Weekend</th>
<th>Regular Green Fee Senior Monday Thru Thurs/ Friday/Weekend</th>
<th>Regular Junior Weekday/Weekend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Lakes at El Segundo</td>
<td>13.00/15.00</td>
<td>10.00/15.00</td>
<td>9.00/13.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Segundo resident</td>
<td>9.00/11.00</td>
<td>7.00/11.00</td>
<td>7.00/11.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marriott Manhattan Beach</td>
<td>17.00/19.00</td>
<td>12.00/19.00</td>
<td>12.00 Anyday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taranea Resort</td>
<td>40.00/48.00/48.00</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>18.00/24.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alondra Park 18 holes Par 3</td>
<td>12.50/15.50</td>
<td>7.25/N/A</td>
<td>2.75/NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penmar</td>
<td>17.50/19.75/22.00</td>
<td>12.00/14.00/22.00</td>
<td>5.00/6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbor Park</td>
<td>16.00/18.50/21.00</td>
<td>10.00/12.00/21.00</td>
<td>5.00/6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rancho Park 18 holes Par 3</td>
<td>7.00/7.50/8.00</td>
<td>5.00/5.50/8.00</td>
<td>5.00/6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westchester 18 holes</td>
<td>23.00/29.00</td>
<td>16.00 M-Th/29.00</td>
<td>7.00/10.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Green Fees Current/Proposed

## THE LAKES AT EL SEGUNDO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Green Fees</th>
<th>WEEKDAY</th>
<th>PROPOSED</th>
<th>WEEKEND</th>
<th>PROPOSED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resident</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident Senior</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident Junior</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Fees non-resident</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>18.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>18.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>13.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Monthly Passes Resident (weekdays only)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pass Type</th>
<th>WEEKEND</th>
<th>PROPOSED</th>
<th>WEEKEND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resident</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident Senior</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident Punch pass</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(5 rounds & 1 small bucket)

- Non-Resident: 60.00
- Non-Resident Punch Pass: 42.00

| Replay rate | 8.00 | 9.00 |
| Proposal of weekend rate to begin on Friday at noon |

---

**Annual increase to City: $65,000 (based on 42k rounds)**
Driving Range Fee Proposal

Current & Proposed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THE LAKES AT EL SEGUNDO</th>
<th>SMALL</th>
<th>MEDIUM</th>
<th>LARGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Range Ball Pricing</td>
<td>5.00 (35 BALLS)</td>
<td>7.00 (70 BALLS)</td>
<td>9.00 (105 BALLS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Increase</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range Discount Cards</td>
<td>“Par” 25.00/35.00</td>
<td>“Birdie” 50.00/75.00</td>
<td>“Eagle” 100.00/150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current Price/Value on Card</td>
<td>25.00/35.00</td>
<td>50.00/75.00</td>
<td>100.00/150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Increase</td>
<td>30.00/35.00</td>
<td>55.00/75.00</td>
<td>110.00/150.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Annual Increase to City: $60,000
## Driving Range Fee Proposal

### Current & Proposed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THE LAKES AT EL SEGUNDO</th>
<th>SMALL</th>
<th>MEDIUM</th>
<th>LARGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Range Ball Pricing</td>
<td>5.00 (35 BALLS)</td>
<td>7.00 (70 BALLS)</td>
<td>9.00 (105 BALLS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Increase</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Range Discount Cards

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range Discount Cards</th>
<th>“Par”</th>
<th>“Birdie”</th>
<th>“Eagle”</th>
<th>“Hole in One”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Price/Value on Card</td>
<td>25.00/35.00</td>
<td>50.00/75.00</td>
<td>100.00/150.00</td>
<td>200.00/300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Increase</td>
<td>30.00/35.00</td>
<td>55.00/75.00</td>
<td>110.00/150.00</td>
<td>250.00/400.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Annual Increase to City: $60,000
Independent Golf Pro’s

Current
- $500.00/pro/month

Proposed
- $600.00/pro/month

Annual Increase to City: $12,000
Junior Golf Camps

Current
- 5 Summer Golf Camps

Proposed
- 7 Summer Golf Camps
- 1 Winter / 1 Spring Camp
- Expense Reduction

Annual Increase to City: $15,000
Food & Beverage

Proposed

- Increased Cafe & Catering menu pricing
- Employee Meals/Food Costs
- Adjusted Hours of Operation

Annual Increase to City: $20,000
Summary

Increased Revenue Projection:

- Management Fees: $12,000
- Green Fees: $65,000
- Driving Range: $60,000
- Independent Golf Professionals: $12,000
- Junior Golf Camps: $15,000
- Food & Beverage: $20,000

Total Projected Revenue Increase to City: $184,000
Capital Projects

- Installation of night lighting for the golf course
- Miniature Golf Course
- Expand practice area
- Cafe/Kitchen remodel
- Sub Lease of F & B
- Installation of outdoor heaters for driving range
- Replacement of Club House doors and lighting
RESOLUTION NO. _______

A RESOLUTION INCREASING GOLF FEES FOR THE LAKES AT EL SEGUNDO GOLF COURSE.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of El Segundo as follows:

SECTION 1: The City Council finds as follows:

A. The City Council may establish fees for services under various provisions of California law including, without limitation, Business & Professions Code § 16000; Education Code § 18926; Government Code §§ 36936.1, 43000, 54344, 65104, 65456, 65874, 65909.5, 65943, 66013, 66014, 66451.2; and Health & Safety Code §§ 510, 17951, 17980.1, 19852.

B. The City owns a golf course known as “The Lakes at El Segundo” which is managed and operated by a private company. Green fees and other, similar, fees associated with playing golf, may be established at commercial rates (Plaza v. City of San Mateo (1954) 123 Cal.App.2d 103).

C. Pursuant to Government Code § 66016, the City made data available regarding the cost, or estimated cost, of providing services for various fees ten (10) days before the public hearing held on April 02, 2013.

D. On April 02, 2013, the City Council heard public testimony and considered evidence in a public hearing held and noticed in accordance with Government Code § 66016.

E. At the recommendation of the City's Departments and the City Manager, the City Council believes that it is in the public interest to establish the recommended fees to recover the costs of public services.

SECTION 2: Calculation of Golf Fees.

A. Criteria used to calculate golf fees should include, without limitation:

1. Comparisons to golf fees charged by comparable public agencies and private entities in Los Angeles County and, in particular, the South Bay;

2. Projected maintenance and operational costs for facilities owned or controlled by the City;
3. Estimated future capital improvement costs for City golf facilities; and

4. Estimated market conditions for Los Angeles County and, in particular, the South Bay.

B. Based upon the calculations set forth above, the golf fees that must be paid to the City are set forth in Exhibit "A," and incorporated by reference.

C. The City Manager may promulgate rules and procedures needed to implement this Resolution.

SECTION 3: This Resolution is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act (Cal. Pub. Res. Code §§ 21000, et seq.; "CEQA") and CEQA regulations (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 14, §§ 15000, et seq.) because it establishes, modifies, structures, restructures, and approves rates and charges for meeting operating expenses; purchasing supplies, equipment, and materials; meeting financial requirements; and obtaining funds for capital projects needed to maintain service within existing service areas. This Resolution, therefore, is categorically exempt from further CEQA review under Cal. Code Regs. tit. 14, § 15273.

SECTION 4: This Resolution will become effective immediately upon adoption and will remain effective unless repealed or superseded.

SECTION 5: The City Clerk will certify to the passage and adoption of this Resolution; will enter the same in the book of original Resolutions of said City; and will make a minute of the passage and adoption thereof in the record of proceedings of the City Council of said City, in the minutes of the meeting at which the same is passed and adopted.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 2nd day of April, 2013.

_________________________________________
Carl Jacobson, Mayor
I, Tracy Weaver, City Clerk of the City of El Segundo, California, hereby certify that the whole number of members of the City Council of the City is five; that the foregoing Resolution No. _________ was duly passed and adopted by said City Council, approved and signed by the Mayor of said City, and attested to by the City Clerk of said City, all at a regular meeting of said Council held on the 2nd day of April, 2013, and the same was so passed and adopted by the following roll call vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

ATTEST:

____________________________________________________________________
Tracy Weaver,
City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Mark D. Hensley, City Attorney

By: __________________________
   Karl H. Berger
   Assistant City Attorney
# Green Fees Current/Proposed

## Green Fees (Current & Proposed)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THE LAKES AT EL SEGUNDO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Green Fees Resident</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEEKDAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident Senior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident Junior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Green Fees non-resident</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEEKDAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Junior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monthly Passes Resident</strong> (weekdays only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WEEKEND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident Senior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resident Punch pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5 rounds &amp; 1 small bucket)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Resident</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Resident Punch Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Replay rate</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal of weekend rate to begin on Friday at noon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Annual increase to City: $65,000 (based on 42k rounds)*
Driving Range Fee Proposal

Current & Proposed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>THE LAKES AT EL SEGUNDO</th>
<th>SMALL</th>
<th>MEDIUM</th>
<th>LARGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Range Ball Pricing</td>
<td>5.00 (35 BALLS)</td>
<td>7.00 (70 BALLS)</td>
<td>9.00 (105 BALLS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Increase</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range Discount Cards</th>
<th>“Par”</th>
<th>“Birdie”</th>
<th>“Eagle”</th>
<th>“Hole in One”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Price/Value on Card</td>
<td>25.00/35.00</td>
<td>50.00/75.00</td>
<td>100.00/150.00</td>
<td>200.00/300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Increase</td>
<td>30.00/35.00</td>
<td>55.00/75.00</td>
<td>110.00/150.00</td>
<td>250.00/400.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Annual Increase to City: $60,000
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>9 HOLE GOLF COURSE</th>
<th>REGULAR GREEN FEE MONDAY THRU THURS/ FRIDAY/WEEKEND</th>
<th>REGULAR GREEN FEE SENIOR MONDAY THRU THURS/ FRIDAY/WEEKEND</th>
<th>REGULAR JUNIOR WEEKDAY/WEEKEND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Lakes at El Segundo</td>
<td>13.00/15.00</td>
<td>10.00/15.00</td>
<td>9.00/13.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Segundo resident</td>
<td>9.00/11.00</td>
<td>7.00/11.00</td>
<td>7.00/11.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marriot Manhattan Beach</td>
<td>17.00/19.00</td>
<td>12.00/19.00</td>
<td>12.00 anyday</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taranea Resort</td>
<td>40.00/48.00/48.00</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>18.00/24.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alondra Park 18 holes Par 3</td>
<td>12.50/15.50</td>
<td>7.25/N/A</td>
<td>2.75/NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penmar</td>
<td>17.50/19.75/22.00</td>
<td>12.00/14.00/22.00</td>
<td>5.00/6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harbor Park</td>
<td>16.00/18.50/21.00</td>
<td>10.00/12.00/21.00</td>
<td>5.00/6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rancho Park 18 holes Par 3</td>
<td>7.00/7.50/8.00</td>
<td>5.00/5.50/8.00</td>
<td>5.00/6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westchester 18 holes</td>
<td>23.00/29.00</td>
<td>16.00 M-Th/29.00</td>
<td>7.00/10.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Local Driving Range Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FACILITY</th>
<th>SMALL</th>
<th>MEDIUM</th>
<th>LARGE</th>
<th>DISCOUNTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Current Range Ball Pricing</td>
<td>5.00 (35 BALLS)</td>
<td>7.00 (70 BALLS)</td>
<td>9.00 (105 BALLS)</td>
<td>DISCOUNT CARD LEVELS AVAILABLE Discount key</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Westchester</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>7.00 (72 balls)</td>
<td>10.00 (105 balls)</td>
<td>Discount key</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alondra Park</td>
<td>5.00 (50 balls)</td>
<td>Discount when 6 or more tokens purchased</td>
<td>10.00 = 2 tokens 100 balls</td>
<td>Discounts on multiple tokens purchased Discount key</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Verdes</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>7.00 (72 balls)</td>
<td>10.00 (105 balls)</td>
<td>Discount card available Discount key</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Beach Teaching Center</td>
<td>6.00 (60 balls)</td>
<td>Lrg. 9.00 (100 balls)</td>
<td>XL 11.00 (150 balls)</td>
<td>Discount key</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rancho Park</td>
<td>2.00 (20 balls)</td>
<td>5.00 (50 balls)</td>
<td>9.00 (100 balls)</td>
<td>Discount key</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECOND AMENDMENT TO
AGREEMENT NO. 3399 BETWEEN
THE CITY OF EL SEGUNDO AND
LANE DONOVAN GOLF PARTNERS, LLC.

THIS SECOND AMENDMENT ("Amendment") is made and entered into this 2nd day of April 2013, by and between the CITY OF EL SEGUNDO, a general law city and municipal corporation existing under the laws of California ("CITY"), and LANE DONOVAN GOLF PARTNERS, LLC, a California Limited Liability Company ("OPERATOR").

1. Pursuant to Section 12.8 of Agreement No. 3399, dated October 22, 2004 (the "Agreement"), Section 8.1 of the Agreement is amended to read as follows:

   "Fixed Monthly Fee to Operator. During the term of this Agreement, CITY will pay OPERATOR, on a monthly basis, a fixed amount of $8,000 per month. The monthly payment for the month of the Commencement Date and the month of the expiration or termination of this Agreement will be made pro rata based upon the number days in the month."

2. This Amendment may be executed in any number or counterparts, each of which will be an original, but all of which together constitute one instrument executed on the same date.

3. Except as modified by this Amendment, all other terms and conditions of Agreement No. 3399 will remain the same.

   IN WITNESS WHEREOF the parties hereto have executed this contract the day and year first herein above written.

CITY OF EL SEGUNDO

______________________________
Greg Carpenter,
City Manager

ATTEST:

______________________________
Tracy Weaver,
City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
MARK D. HENSLEY, City Attorney

By:

______________________________
Karl H. Berger, Assistant City Attorney
**Date:** 3/18/13

**Name:**

---

**Notes**

---

**Validity:**

---

**Date of Approval:**

---

**Total Variances:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Services Trust</td>
<td>720,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterprise Trust Fund - Combined</td>
<td>744,977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterprise Trust Fund - Development</td>
<td>547,151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water &amp; Energy Infrastructure - Combined</td>
<td>549,940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water &amp; Energy Infrastructure - Development</td>
<td>608,936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterprise &amp; Market Fund</td>
<td>599,994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterprise &amp; Market Fund</td>
<td>365,038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterprise &amp; Market Fund</td>
<td>167,636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterprise &amp; Market Fund</td>
<td>148,808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterprise &amp; Market Fund</td>
<td>147,886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG - Residential Reinvestment Fund</td>
<td>17,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG - Community Development Fund</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG - Housing Preservation Fund</td>
<td>10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDBG - Public Service Fund</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**City of El Segundo**

---

**State of California**

---

**Country of Los Angeles**

---

**Total:** 3,187,930
## CITY OF EL SEGUNDO
### PAYMENTS BY WIRE TRANSFER
#### 2/28/13 THROUGH 3/14/13

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Payee</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2/28/2013</td>
<td>Nationwide NRS EFT</td>
<td>1,837.50</td>
<td>EFT 401a payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/28/2013</td>
<td>Nationwide NRS EFT</td>
<td>43,301.03</td>
<td>EFT 457 payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/1/2013</td>
<td>Manufacturers &amp; Traders</td>
<td>617.31</td>
<td>IRA payment Vantagepoint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/1/2013</td>
<td>Manufacturers &amp; Traders</td>
<td>5,422.41</td>
<td>401 payment Vantagepoint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/1/2013</td>
<td>Manufacturers &amp; Traders</td>
<td>22,644.92</td>
<td>457 payment Vantagepoint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/1/2013</td>
<td>South Bay Credit Union</td>
<td>15,420.36</td>
<td>Payroll credit union deduction pmt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/1/2013</td>
<td>US Bank - Trust Acct</td>
<td>6,658.88</td>
<td>PARS payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/6/2013</td>
<td>Cal Pers</td>
<td>98,618.29</td>
<td>EFT Retirement Misc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/6/2013</td>
<td>Cal Pers</td>
<td>239,812.49</td>
<td>EFT Retirement Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/6/2013</td>
<td>Cal Pers</td>
<td>422.61</td>
<td>EFT Retirement Misc Adj</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/6/2013</td>
<td>Health Comp</td>
<td>1,041.74</td>
<td>Weekly claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/6/2013</td>
<td>Lane Donovan Golf Pthr</td>
<td>20,327.12</td>
<td>Payroll Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/8/2013</td>
<td>Cal Pers</td>
<td>460,187.72</td>
<td>EFT Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/11/2013</td>
<td>West Basin</td>
<td>1,651,094.04</td>
<td>H2O payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/13/2013</td>
<td>Health Comp</td>
<td>5,504.46</td>
<td>Weekly claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/14/2013</td>
<td>State of CA EFT</td>
<td>3,971.54</td>
<td>EFT Child support payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/14/2013</td>
<td>Nationwide NRS EFT</td>
<td>1,837.50</td>
<td>EFT 401a payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/14/2013</td>
<td>Nationwide NRS EFT</td>
<td>76,311.07</td>
<td>EFT 457 payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/28-3/14/13</td>
<td>Workers Comp Activity</td>
<td>53,098.49</td>
<td>SCRMA checks issued</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DATE OF RATIFICATION: 04/02/13**  
**TOTAL PAYMENTS BY WIRE:**  

2,708,129.50

Certified as to the accuracy of the wire transfers by:

City Treasurer  
3/15/13

Director of Finance  
3/19/13

City Manager  
3/18/13

Information on actual expenditures is available in the City Treasurer's Office of the City of El Segundo.
REGULAR MEETING OF THE EL SEGUNDO CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, March 19, 2013 – 5:00 PM

5:00 P.M. SESSION

CALL TO ORDER – Mayor Jacobson at 5:02 PM

ROLL CALL

Mayor Jacobson - Present
Mayor Pro Tem Fuentes - Present
Council Member Fisher - Present
Council Member Atkinson - Present
Council Member Fellhauer - Present

PUBLIC COMMUNICATION – (Related to City Business Only – 5 minute limit per person, 30 minute limit total) Individuals who have received value of $50 or more to communicate to the City Council on behalf of another, and employees speaking on behalf of their employer, must so identify themselves prior to addressing the City Council. Failure to do so shall be a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine of $250.

Mayor Jacobson announced that Council would be meeting in closed session pursuant of the items listed on the agenda.

SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS:

CLOSED SESSION:
The City Council moved into a closed session pursuant to applicable law, including the Brown Act (Government Code Section §54960, et seq.,) for the purposes of conferring with the City’s Real Property Negotiator; and/or conferring with the City Attorney on potential and/or existing litigation; and/or discussing matters covered under Government Code Section §54957 (Personnel); and/or conferring with the City’s Labor Negotiators; as follows:

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION (Gov’t Code §54956.9(a) -1- matter

City of El Segundo vs. City of Los Angeles, et. al. LASC Case No. BS094279

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION

Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to Government Code §54956.9(b): -0- matter.
Initiation of litigation pursuant to Government Code §54956.9(c): -2- matter.

DISCUSSION OF PERSONNEL MATTERS (Gov't Code §54957): -0- matter

APPOINTMENT OF PUBLIC EMPLOYEE (Gov't. Code § 54957) –0- matter

CONFERENCE WITH CITY’S LABOR NEGOTIATOR (Gov't Code §54957.6): -0- matters

CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATOR (Gov't Code §54956.8): -0- matters

Council recessed at 6:50 p.m.
REGULAR MEETING OF THE EL SEGUNDO CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, March 19, 2013 - 7:00 P.M.

7:00 P.M. SESSION

CALL TO ORDER – Mayor Jacobson at 7:03 PM

INVOCATION – Eric Jay, Pastor, St. John’s Lutheran Church

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – Mayor Pro Tem Fuentes

PRESENTATIONS

Council Member Fellhauer presented a Commendation to Officer Ken Cheng, Police Officer of the Year 2012

Mayor Jacobson presented a Commendation to Engineer Dan Engler, Firefighter of the Year 2012

ROLL CALL

Mayor Jacobson - Present
Mayor Pro Tem Fuentes - Present
Council Member Fisher - Present
Council Member Atkinson - Present
Council Member Fellhauer - Present

PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS – (Related to City Business Only – 5 minute limit per person, 30 minute limit total) Individuals who have received value of $50 or more to communicate to the City Council on behalf of another, and employees speaking on behalf of their employer, must so identify themselves prior to addressing the City Council. Failure to do so shall be a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine of $250. While all comments are welcome, the Brown Act does not allow Council to take action on any item not on the agenda. The Council will respond to comments after Public Communications is closed.

None

A. PROCEDURAL MOTIONS

Consideration of a motion to read all ordinances and resolutions on the Agenda by title only.

3
MOTION by Mayor Pro Tem Fuentes, SECONDED by Council Member Fisher to read all ordinances and resolutions on the agenda by title only. MOTION PASSED BY UNANIMOUS VOICE VOTE. 5/0

B. SPECIAL ORDERS OF BUSINESS (PUBLIC HEARING)

C. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

D. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES, COMMISSIONS AND BOARDS

E. CONSENT AGENDA

All items listed are to be adopted by one motion without discussion and passed unanimously. If a call for discussion of an item is made, the item(s) will be considered individually under the next heading of business.

1. Approved Warrant Numbers 2591564 - 2591727 on Register No. 11 in the total amount of $715,906.74 and Wire Transfers from 2/14/13 through 2/28/13 in the total amount of $811,036.09. Authorized staff to release. Ratified Payroll and Employee Benefit checks; checks released early due to contracts or agreement; emergency disbursements and/or adjustments; and wire transfers.

2. Approved Regular City Council Meeting Minutes of March 5, 2013.

3. Waived the formal bidding process pursuant to the El Segundo City Code Section 1-7-10 and authorized the Fire Department to piggy-back on a City of San Jose Fire Department RFB 11-12-40 for the purchase of a replacement aerial ladder truck manufactured by Pierce Manufacturing, Inc. (Fiscal Impact: $1,218,619.00)

4. Authorized the City Manager to execute a standard Public Works Professional Services Agreement No. 4403 in a form approved by the City Attorney with Tetra Tech, Inc. in the amount of $97,937.00 to complete the New Potable Water Reservoir Feasibility Study. Project No. PW 13-09 (Fiscal Impact: $100,000.00)

5. Authorized staff to waive the bidding process per El Segundo Municipal Code § 1-7-10, authorized the City Manager to execute a five year Agreement No. 4404, including maintenance, with a one dollar buyout in a form approved by the City Attorney to replace multi-function equipment by piggybacking pricing on the Association of Educational Purchasing Agencies’ (AEPA) contract number AEPA009.D with Kyocera Mita America dba Kyocera Document Solutions, Inc (Fiscal Impact: None)
6. Approved the purchase of sixty-two (62) new tasers using equipment replacement funds and pursuant to El Segundo Municipal Code § 1-7-10, waived the bidding process and authorized the City Manager, or designee, to execute an Agreement in a form approved by the City Attorney with Pro Force Law Enforcement. TASER International is the sole source manufacturer of this product. These tasers are identified in the Equipment Replacement Fund and were scheduled to be replaced in September 2012. (Fiscal Impact: $70,448.68)

7. Received and filed this report without objecting to the issuance of an Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC) License for on-site sale of beer and wine for off-site consumption at a new convenience store (7-Eleven, Inc.) at 2161 East El Segundo Boulevard. Applicant: Nichole Smith (Fiscal Impact: N/A)

MOTION by Council Member Fellhauer, SECONDED by Council Member Fisher to approve Consent Agenda items 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7. MOTION PASED BY UNANIMOUS VOICE VOTE: 5/0

F. NEW BUSINESS

G. REPORTS - CITY MANAGER – Thanked the Council for recognizing the Police Officer and Firefighter of the Year for 2012

H. REPORTS - CITY ATTORNEY - None

I. REPORTS - CITY CLERK - None

J. REPORTS - CITY TREASURER - None

K. REPORTS - CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

Council Member Fellhauer – Stated that Arbor Day was a success and thanked the supporters and sponsors of the event.

Council Member Atkinson – Wished his son Matthew a Happy Birthday.

Council Member Fisher – None

Mayor Pro Tem Fuentes – Attended Coffee with a Cop and thanked the Police Officers Association and Rock and Brew. Reminded everyone about the Run for Education on Saturday, March 23, 2013. The El Segundo Concert Band will host a “Carbo Load” dinner on the High School Quad the night before the Run.
Mayor Jacobson – Will drive the Pace Car from the Automobile Driving Museum for the Run for Education on Saturday, March 23, 2013.

PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS – (Related to City Business Only – 5 minute limit per person, 30 minute limit total) Individuals who have receive value of $50 or more to communicate to the City Council on behalf of another, and employees speaking on behalf of their employer, must so identify themselves prior to addressing the City Council. Failure to do so shall be a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine of $250. While all comments are welcome, the Brown Act does not allow Council to take action on any item not on the agenda. The Council will respond to comments after Public Communications is closed.

None

MEMORIALS – Rick Crowell

ADJOURNMENT at 7:23 PM

Tracy Weaver, City Clerk
AGENDA DESCRIPTION:
Consideration and possible action to adopt a Resolution approving Plans and Specifications for Park Vista Senior Housing and City Hall Roof Repairs. Project No. PW 13-05 (Fiscal Impact: To Be Determined)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:
1. Adopt attached resolution approving Plans and Specifications for the Park Vista and City Hall roof repairs.
2. Alternatively, discuss and take other possible action related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:
Resolution

FISCAL IMPACT: To Be Determined
Amount Budgeted: $300,000 for Park Vista (Enterprise Fund), $0 for City Hall
Additional Appropriation: Yes, Amount To Be Determined for City Hall Roof
Account Number(s): Park Vista Enterprise Fund (to be assigned)
Capital Improvement Fund (to be assigned)

ORIGINATED BY: Floriza Rivera, Principal Engineer
REVIEWED BY: Stephanie Katsouleas, Public Works Director
APPROVED BY: Greg Carpenter, City Manager

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:

Park Vista
The Senior Citizen Housing Corporation Board is a non-profit corporation that actively oversees the management, operation, maintenance, and finances of a low-income housing facility for seniors, known as the Park Vista Senior Housing facility or “Park Vista,” located at 615 East Holly Avenue. The building was originally constructed in the mid 1980s had has not had a roof replacement since its original construction. The typical life of a roof depends on the materials used, maintenance and outside conditions (trees, weather, etc.). Several cost estimates, which identified varying degrees of repair, were presented to the Board on February 27, 2013. After the Board discussed the range of recommended repair/replacement options and bid solicitation process for the Park Vista roofing project, it decided to move forward with bidding to replace the entire roof in lieu of spending funds for temporary fixes. Staff committed to obtain bids for a complete roof repair and bring the low bid results back to the Board for approval or denial prior to being presented to City Council. Funding for this project comes from the Park Vista Enterprise Fund and must be approved by the Senior Citizen Housing Corporation Board prior to City Council’s actions to award the construction project.
City Hall

Maintenance work on the City Hall roof last occurred in February, 2010, and primarily consisted of removing debris from the roof and roof drains as well as sealing open ductwork. To date, six of the eight sections of the roof are in need of immediate replacement. One of these sections of the roof (on the northeast side of the building) has significantly leaked rainwater into the building twice within this fiscal year. To prevent further damage to the roof and property inside the building, staff recommends that these six sections be replaced. The two sections that will not be replaced include the western sections over the Council Chambers area. These areas were addressed more recently and do not show signs that warrant replacement.

Please note that staff will be discussing this and other building maintenance needs at the upcoming Strategic Planning session in April, highlighting the need to carry out unscheduled and/or unfunded but necessary facility repairs. In the interim, staff will be requesting a transfer from General Fund Reserves to cover the cost of the City Hall roof replacement once the bids are received and the actual cost known.

Staff recommends that the City Hall and Park Vista roofing projects be bid at the same time for both timing and cost reasons. Economies of scale may be achieved by bidding these two major roof projects at once and specifying the same materials and construction methods for both. However, award of the bids for these roof projects will be considered separately because funding for the Park Vista roof does not come from the General Fund and will need the prior approval of the Park Vista Board. Additionally, by initiating the projects now, the work can be completed this summer, before the onset of the next rainy season. Staff estimates the cost of the Park Vista roofing project to be as much as $300,000 and City Hall to be as much as $250,000.00. Staff will also explore removing and replacing trees that negatively impact the roofs and surrounding areas of Park Vista and City Hall when bids are received, and will bring this option to City Council for consideration at the time of project award. The ball park estimate to remove and replace the offensive trees is approximately $4,000 for Park Vista and $11,000 for City Hall.

Staff recommends that City Council adopt the plans and specifications and the attached resolution, and authorize the project for receipt of construction bids.
RESOLUTION NO. __

A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE DESIGN AND PLANS FOR THE PARK VISTA SENIOR HOUSING AND CITY HALL ROOF REPAIRS. PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 830.6 AND ESTABLISHING A PROJECT PAYMENT ACCOUNT.

The City Council of the City of El Segundo does resolve as follows:

SECTION 1: The City Council finds and declares as follows:

A. The City Engineer prepared specifications and plans repairing or replacing the Park Vista Senior Housing roof and the City Hall roof (the “Project”). These plans are complete. Bidding for construction of the Project may begin;

B. The City Council wishes to obtain the immunities set forth in Government Code § 830.6 with regard to the plans and construction of the Project.

SECTION 2: Design Immunity; Authorization.

A. The design and plans for the Project are determined to be consistent with the City’s standards and are approved.

B. The design approval set forth in this Resolution occurred before actual work on the Project construction commenced.

C. The approval granted by this Resolution conforms with the City’s General Plan.

D. The City Engineer, or designee, is authorized to act on the City’s behalf in approving any alterations or modifications of the design and plans approved by this Resolution.

E. The approval and authorization granted by this Resolution is intended to avail the City of the immunities set forth in Government Code § 830.6.

SECTION 3: Project Payment Account. For purposes of the Contract Documents administering the Project, the City Council directs the City Manager, or designee, to establish a fund containing sufficient monies from the current fiscal year budget to pay for the Project (“Project Payment Account”) following receipt of construction bids. The Project Payment Account will be the sole source of funds available for the Contract Sum, as defined in the Contract Document administering the Project.

SECTION 4: The City Clerk is directed to certify the adoption of this Resolution.

SECTION 5: This Resolution will become effective immediately upon adoption.
PASSED AND ADOPTED this ___ day of ____________, 20__.

________________________________________
Carl Jacobson, Mayor

ATTEST:

________________________________________
Tracy Weaver, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
MARK D. HENSLEY, City Attorney

By: ______________________________________
Karl H. Berger, Assistant City Attorney
AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

Consideration and possible action to award a standard Public Works Contract to Federal Technology Solutions, Inc. for citywide fiber optic installation, project no. PW 13-04 (Fiscal Impact: $223,836.08)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:

1. Authorize the City Manager to execute a standard Public Works Contract in a form approved by the City Attorney with Federal Technology Solutions, Inc. in the amount of $251,625.02 and immediately issue Change Order #1 to reduce the contract by $27,788.94 for a project total of $223,836.08

2. Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

Change Order #1

FISCAL IMPACT: Included in Adopted Budget

- Amount Budgeted: $225,000
- Additional Appropriation: No $0
- Account Number(s): 601-400-8201-8497 (Capital Improvements – I-Net Fiber Optics Capital Project)

ORIGINATED BY: Larry Klingaman, Information Systems Manager
REVIEWED BY: Mitch Tavera, Chief of Police
APPROVED BY: Greg Carpenter, City Manager

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:

On October 16, 2012, the City Council adopted plans and specifications not to exceed $225,000 to install fiber optic network cable to the city facilities west of Sepulveda Boulevard (Project Number PW 12-08) and authorized staff to advertise for receipt of construction bids. The project was renumbered to Project Number PW 13-04 and was initially advertised on January 24, 2013. This project includes installation of an air-blowed fiber network through existing conduit pathways. These pathways were recently completed and validated with Project Number PW 11-13.

When this project is complete, most city buildings West of Sepulveda Boulevard will be connected with Fiber Optic cable. This will allow the City to complete the VoIP Telephone rollout and implement Virtual Desktop Infrastructure (VDI) at remote buildings. This portion of the overall plan will result in recurring annual savings to the City of $105,000.
After this project is complete the next phase is to extend the City owned fiber optic network across Sepulveda into a data center on the eastern side of the City. When the next and final phase is complete the city will have access to ultra-high speed Internet connectivity. This connection lays the foundation for Dark Fiber, Increased Website Speeds and Downtown Wireless among other future projects.

On February 26, 2013, the City Clerk received and opened two (2) bids as follows:

1. Federal Technology Solutions, Inc.   $251,625.02
2. Minako America Corporation         $513,062.00

The lowest responsible bidder is Federal Technology Solutions, Inc. Staff checked the contractor’s references and license status, and received favorable reviews for similar work.

Because the lowest bid exceeded the $225,000 threshold, staff identified $27,788.94 of reductions that would bring the contract within budget while not having a significant impact on the project effectiveness. Federal Technology Solutions, Inc. is agreeable to these changes. Staff recommends that City Council award a standard public works contract to Federal Technology Solutions, Inc. in the amount of $251,625.00 and immediately issue Change Order #1 to Project Number PW 13-04 in the amount of $27,788.94 reducing the approved project total to $223,836.08.
Change Order
City of El Segundo, Public Works Department

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permit No.: N/A</th>
<th>Plan No.(S): Project Number: PW 13-04</th>
<th>Change Order No.: 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contractor Name:</strong> Federal Technology Solutions, Inc.</td>
<td><strong>Project Manager Name:</strong> Trey C. Beahm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contract Address:</strong> 16 Hughes Suite C106 Irvine Ca. 92618</td>
<td><strong>Project Manager Phone:</strong> 949-830-8858 x14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contractor Phone:</strong> 949-830-8858</td>
<td><strong>Inspector Name:</strong> Larry Klingaman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contract No.:</strong> TBD</td>
<td><strong>Phone:</strong> 310-524-2392</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>File Reference:</strong> N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contract Description:</strong> Installation of Fiber Optic Network cable to the city facilities West of Sepulveda Blvd.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Change order request Approved for the following reasons:
  - Project was only budgeted $225,000 and bid came in above. These changes will scale back the project to fit within the budgeted amount while creating the least impact.
- You Are Hereby Authorized And Instructed to Make The Following Change(S). Add the Following items of Work:
  - See Attachment A for description of changes.

Method of Payment (May Be Combination):
- [x] Contract Unit Prices
- [ ] Contractor's Quote (see attached)
- [ ] Time & Materials (Estimated)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REVISED CONTRACT COSTS:</th>
<th>REVISED CONTRACT TIMELINE:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original Contract Cost: $251,625.02</td>
<td>Original Start Date: TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Changes: $0</td>
<td>Original Working Days: 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deletions to Bid</td>
<td>Previous Work Days Changes: -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Items Per this CO: $&lt;27,788.94&gt;</td>
<td>Current CO Work Days Change: -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Contract Cost: $223,836.08</td>
<td>New Total Working Days: 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Above Original Contract Cost: N/A%</td>
<td>New Completion Date: TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CITY OF EL SEGUNDO

Approved By: Greg Carpenter, City Manager

Note: This Change Order is Effective on the date signed by the City Representative. Notify the Project Engineer immediately if there is any disagreement. The Change Order costs indicated on this form represent the complete cost to perform the noted work. No other incidental or related costs are due or will be claimed by the contractor for this item of work without the express written approval from the City.

Received:

Trey C. Beahm - VP of Operations/CFO
Federal Technology Solutions, Inc.

Date: 3/18/13
# Change Order 1

## Overview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Bid Qty</th>
<th>Adjustment</th>
<th>Final Qty</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Extended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Terminate 12 Strands SingleMode</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>$826.31</td>
<td>$(8,263.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Terminate 12 Strands MultiMode</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>$834.58</td>
<td>$(10,014.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Install 12 Strand SM</td>
<td>10474</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>10776</td>
<td>$1.81</td>
<td>$546.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Install 24 Strand SM</td>
<td>14907</td>
<td>-2224</td>
<td>12683</td>
<td>$2.98</td>
<td>$(6,627.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Install 24 Strand MM</td>
<td>1203</td>
<td>-353</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>$9.66</td>
<td>$(3,409.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>SM Blue 12Port</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>$95.00</td>
<td>$(380.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Aqua 12 Port</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$360.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$(27,788.94)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Detail

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Adjustment</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Extended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Fiber Terminations Scaleback</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Terminate 12 Strands SingleMode</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>$826.31</td>
<td>$(4,957.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Terminate 12 Strands MultiMode</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>$834.58</td>
<td>$(3,338.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$(8,296.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>No Multimode Between Buildings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Terminate 12 Strands MultiMode</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>$834.58</td>
<td>$(6,676.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Install 24 Strand MM</td>
<td>-353</td>
<td>$9.66</td>
<td>$(3,409.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$(10,086.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Cut SM Terminations in Half Clubhouse to Cable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Terminate 12 Strands SingleMode</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>$826.31</td>
<td>$(1,652.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$(1,652.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Cut CableStudio to Clubhouse Strands to 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Install 24 Strand SM</td>
<td>-2224</td>
<td>$2.98</td>
<td>$(6,627.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Install 12 Strand SM</td>
<td>2224</td>
<td>$1.81</td>
<td>$4,025.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$(2,602.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Cut City Hall to Library East</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Terminate 12 Strands SingleMode</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>$826.31</td>
<td>$(1,652.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Install 12 Strand SM</td>
<td>-1922</td>
<td>$1.81</td>
<td>$(3,478.82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$(5,131.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Tpatch Termination Adjustment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>SM Blue 12Port</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>$95.00</td>
<td>$(380.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Aqua 12 Port</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$360.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$(20.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consideration and possible action to adopt a Resolution approving Plans and Specifications for the Maple Ave. Street Improvements Project Project No. PW 12-09 (Fiscal Impact: $2,500,000.00 grant reimbursement)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:
1. Adopt attached Resolution approving Plans and Specifications for the Maple Ave. Street Improvements Project.
2. Alternatively, discuss and take other possible actions related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:
Resolution

FISCAL IMPACT: Included in Adopted Budget
Amount Budgeted: $2,500,000.00
Additional Appropriation: N/A
Account Number(s): 110-400-8203-8627 (Measure R Reimbursement Grant)

ORIGINATED BY: Lifan Xu, Principal Civil Engineer
REVIEWED BY: Stephanie Katsouleas, Public Works Director
APPROVED BY: Greg Carpenter, City Manager

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:
In October 4, 2011, the City of El Segundo received a $2.5 million reimbursement grant award and entered a Funding Agreement with the Metropolitan Transportation Authority to make arterial improvements to Maple Ave., between Sepulveda Blvd. and Nash St. The grant reimburses the City 100% of the cost associated with the Maple Ave. Improvement Project. The proposed improvements consist of:

- Improving storm water and runoff drainage near the intersection of Nash St. and Maple Ave. by connecting a new storm drain to the existing network at Nash St. and Mariposa Ave.
- Improving the roadway surface for a better traveling experience.
- Creating center travel medians for safer left turns in and out of adjacent businesses.
- Providing aesthetic enhancements (center landscaping) with colorful, drought-tolerant plants.
- Improve crosswalks (consolidating, surfacing puck lighting, center island refuge) and sidewalks, meeting ADA requirements.
- Maintaining one lane for travel and one lane for parking (current conditions)
On March 20, 2012, City Council awarded a contract to APA Engineering, Inc to prepare conceptual plans for the street improvements and, after approval (August 21, 2012), prepare construction plans and specification for bidding. Those plans are now complete and are ready for adoption. Please note that targeted community outreach was part of the design process carried out last summer, and included a public workshop to present the draft plans and receive public input. Wherever possible, the comments and feedback provided by affected parcel owners/businesses were incorporated in the final plan presented to and adopted by City Council on August 21, 2012.

Staff recommends that City Council adopt the attached resolution and plans and specifications for Maple Ave. street improvements and authorize staff to advertise the project for receipt of construction bids. Staff estimates the following estimated timeline to complete the project:

April, 2013
May 21, 2013
June/July, 2013
September/October, 2013

- Advertise the Project
- Project Award by City Council
- Start Construction
- Complete Construction
RESOLUTION NO. __

A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE DESIGN AND PLANS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF MAPLE AVENUE STREET IMPROVEMENTS PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE § 830.6 AND ESTABLISHING A PROJECT PAYMENT ACCOUNT.

The City Council of the City of El Segundo does resolve as follows:

SECTION 1: The City Council finds and declares as follows:

A. The City retained APA Engineering, Inc. ("Consultant") as the engineer to design and prepare the plans for Maple Ave. Street Improvements ("Project");

B. The Consultant informed the City Engineer that these plans are complete and that construction of the Project may begin;

C. The City Engineer reviewed the completed design and plans for the Project and agrees with the Consultant that the plans are complete and the Project may be constructed;

D. The City Council wishes to obtain the immunities set forth in Government Code § 830.6 with regard to the plans and construction of the Project.

SECTION 2: Design Immunity; Authorization.

A. The design and plans for the Project are determined to be consistent with the City's standards and are approved.

B. The design approval set forth in this Resolution occurred before actual work on the Project construction commenced.

C. The approval granted by this Resolution conforms with the City's General Plan.

D. The City Engineer, or designee, is authorized to act on the City's behalf in approving any alterations or modifications of the design and plans approved by this Resolution.

E. The approval and authorization granted by this Resolution is intended to avail the City of the immunities set forth in Government Code § 830.6.

SECTION 3: Project Payment Account. For purposes of the Contract Documents administering the Project, the City Council directs the City Manager, or designee, to establish a fund containing sufficient monies from the current fiscal year budget to pay for the Project ("Project Payment Account"). The Project Payment Account is the sole
source of funds available for the Contract Sum, as defined in the Contract Document administering the Project.

SECTION 4: The City Clerk is directed to certify the adoption of this Resolution.

SECTION 5: This Resolution will become effective immediately upon adoption.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this ___ day of April, 2013.

______________________________
Carl Jacobson, Mayor

ATTEST:

______________________________
Tracy Weaver, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
MARK D. HENSLEY, City Attorney

By: _____________________________
Karl H. Berger, Assistant City Attorney
AGENDA DESCRIPTION:
Consideration and possible action to accept as complete the Replacement of Sanitary Sewer Mains at various locations within the Smokey Hollow Specific Plan area and Maple Ave., between Lairport St. and Nash St., within the City of El Segundo. Project No.: PW 11-09 (Fiscal Impact: $1,216,370.00)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:
1. Accept the work as complete.
2. Authorize the City Clerk to file a Notice of Completion in the County Recorder's Office.
3. Alternatively, discuss and take other possible action related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:
Notice of Completion

FISCAL IMPACT: Within Adopted Budget
Amount Requested: $1,216,370.00
Additional Appropriation: No
Account Number(s): 502-400-8204-8647 (Sewer Fund: Sewer CIP)

ORIGINATED BY: Nick Petrevski, Engineering Technician
REVIEWED BY: Stephanie Katsouleas, Public Works Director
APPROVED BY: Greg Carpenter, City Manager

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:
On June 20, 2012 the City entered into a Public Works contract with MNR Construction, Inc. in the amount of $970,757.00 for the replacement of sanitary sewer mains at various locations throughout the Smokey Hollow area as well as Maple Ave., between Lairport St. and Nash St., in the City of El Segundo. The City Council also approved an additional $245,613.00 to cover construction-related contingencies.

The project included the replacement of 3,253 lineal feet of deteriorated vitrified clay sewer pipe and rehabilitation of an additional 1,542 lineal feet of sanitary sewer lines using a trenchless lining system. Construction began on November 28, 2012 and was completed on February 15, 2013. Increases in the final quantities installed, discovery and excavation of unknown and unmarked utility service laterals, the protection of concrete encasements and other miscellaneous contract adjustments resulted in a need to use $81,469.08 in contingency monies above the original contract award. The final contract amount based on the actual work performed is
$1,052,226.08. The remaining unspent contingency amount of $164,143.92 will be returned to the sewer fund for future projects. The project expenditures are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$970,575.00</td>
<td>Contract Award</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$245,613.00</td>
<td>Contingency Funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,216,188.00</td>
<td>Total Project Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$970,575.00</td>
<td>Contract Budget Spent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$81469.08</td>
<td>Contingency Spent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1,052,044.08</td>
<td>Total Funds Spent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$164,143.92</td>
<td>Unspent Contingency (returned to Sewer Fund)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A final inspection has been performed and it was determined that the project was completed per the plans and specifications. Staff recommends the City Council accept as complete, the work performed by MNR Construction, Inc. and authorize the City Clerk to file a notice of completion with the County Recorder’s Office.
NOTICE OF COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECT

Project Name: Sanitary Sewer System Rehabilitation

Project No.: PW 11-09

Notice is hereby given pursuant to State of California Civil Code Section 3093 et seq that:

1. The undersigned is an officer of the owner of the interest stated below in the property hereinafter described.

2. The full name of the owner is: City of El Segundo

3. The full address of the owner is: City Hall, 350 Main Street, El Segundo, CA 90245

4. The nature of the interest of the owner is: Public Facilities

5. A work of improvement on the property hereinafter described was field reviewed by the City Engineer on February 19, 2013. The work done was: Sanitary Sewer System Rehabilitation

6. On April 2, 2013, the City Council of the City of El Segundo accepted the work of this contract as being complete and directed the recording of this Notice of Completion in the Office of the County Recorder.

7. The name of the Contractor for such work of improvement was: MNR Construction, Inc.

8. The property on which said work of improvement was completed is in the City of El Segundo, County of Los Angeles, State of California, and is described as follows: Maple Ave. & Various Locations throughout the Smokey Hollow area

9. The street of said property is: Maple Ave. & Various Locations throughout the Smokey Hollow Area

    Dated: ___________________________  Stephanie Katsouleas
            Public Works Director

I, the undersigned, say: I am the City Engineer of the City of El Segundo, the declarant of the foregoing Notice of Completion; I have read said Notice of Completion and know the contents thereof; the same is true of my own knowledge.

I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on ____________________, 2013 at El Segundo, California

    Stephanie Katsouleas
    Public Works Director
AGENDA DESCRIPTION:
Consideration and possible action to adopt a Resolution approving Plans and Specifications for the installation of soccer field netting at Campus El Segundo Athletic Field. Project No. PW 13-06 (Fiscal Impact: $10,000.00)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:
1. Adopt the plans and specifications
2. Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:
Resolution

FISCAL IMPACT: Included in Adopted Budget
Amount Budgeted: $10,000.00
Additional Appropriation: N/A
Account Number(s): 001-400-5102-6206 (Parks Contract Services)

ORIGINATED BY: Floriza Rivera, Principal Engineer
REVIEWED BY: Stephanie Katsouleas, Public Works Director
APPROVED BY: Greg Carpenter, City Manager

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:
The Campus El Segundo Athletic Fields at 2201 Mariposa Avenue were constructed in 2007 as multi-purpose synthetic fields that would allow playability year round without the need for renovation.

The fields were designed with protective netting on the north and south sides of the complex. These nets ensure that balls kicked and thrown at the goals will not enter the streets surrounding the facility. As the fields became more popular and new programs were added, teams and patrons began playing soccer from side to side (east to west) to accommodate the new programs and user groups, which include the Adult 7v7 Co-Ed Soccer League. East-West oriented games use goals that are placed adjacent to Nash Street, which is a major transportation corridor. To mitigate the safety concerns for the drivers and players resulting from balls accidentally kicked on to Nash Street staff is requesting that City Council approve installation of protective netting on the west side of the facility with a similar configuration to the north and south fences. Based on fees collected from the user groups, staff estimates the payback period is approximately two years for the new nets.

Staff recommends that City Council adopt the attached resolutions, plans and specifications and authorize the project for receipt of constructions bids for installation of additional protective netting at Campus El Segundo.
RESOLUTION NO. __

A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE DESIGN AND PLANS FOR THE INSTALLATION OF SOCCER FIELD NETTING AT CAMPUS EL SEGUNDO ATHLETIC FIELD. PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 830.6 AND ESTABLISHING A PROJECT PAYMENT ACCOUNT.

The City Council of the City of El Segundo does resolve as follows:

SECTION 1: The City Council finds and declares as follows:

A. The City Engineer prepared specifications and plans installing soccer field netting at Campus El Segundo Athletic Field (the "Project"). These plans are complete. Construction of the Project may begin;

B. The City Council wishes to obtain the immunities set forth in Government Code § 830.6 with regard to the plans and construction of the Project.

SECTION 2: Design Immunity; Authorization.

A. The design and plans for the Project are determined to be consistent with the City's standards and are approved.

B. The design approval set forth in this Resolution occurred before actual work on the Project construction commenced.

C. The approval granted by this Resolution conforms with the City's General Plan.

D. The City Engineer, or designee, is authorized to act on the City's behalf in approving any alterations or modifications of the design and plans approved by this Resolution.

E. The approval and authorization granted by this Resolution is intended to avail the City of the immunities set forth in Government Code § 830.6.

SECTION 3: Project Payment Account. For purposes of the Contract Documents administering the Project, the City Council directs the City Manager, or designee, to establish a fund containing sufficient monies from the current fiscal year budget to pay for the Project ("Project Payment Account"). The Project Payment Account is the sole source of funds available for the Contract Sum, as defined in the Contract Document administering the Project.

SECTION 4: The City Clerk is directed to certify the adoption of this Resolution.

SECTION 5: This Resolution will become effective immediately upon adoption.
PASSED AND ADOPTED this ___ day of ______________, 20__.

Carl Jacobson, Mayor

ATTEST:

Tracy Weaver, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
MARK D. HENSLEY, City Attorney

By:
Karl H. Berger, Assistant City Attorney
EL SEGUNDO CITY COUNCIL

AGENDA STATEMENT

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

Consideration and possible action to amend El Segundo Municipal Code ("ESMC") Title 8 ("Vehicles and Traffic"); Chapter 5, to exempt Council approved carsharing programs from specified parking time limits and approve a resolution and agreement that provides for "car2go" to operate a carsharing program in the City and receive parking permits pursuant to the proposed amendment to ESMC Title 8 if adopted by the Council. (Fiscal Impact: None)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:

1) Second reading and adoption of an Ordinance amending ESMC Title 8 to exempt City Council approved carsharing programs from specified parking time limits set forth in Title 8;

2) Adopt a Resolution approving car2go to be eligible for parking permits pursuant to the proposed amendment to ESMC Title 8 if adopted by the City Council;

3) Authorize the City Manager to execute an agreement with car2go in a form approved by the City Attorney; and,

4) Alternatively discuss and take other action related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

March 5, 2013 Staff Report
April 1, 2013 Email from SBCCOG Executive Director
Ordinance
Resolution

FISCAL IMPACT: None

ORIGINATED BY: Mark D. Hensley, City Attorney
APPROVED BY: Greg Carpenter, City Manager

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:

At the March 5, 2013, Council Meeting, the Council introduced the Ordinance and scheduled the potential second reading and adoption of the Ordinance and the adoption of the Resolution to the April 2 2013 Council Meeting. The Council asked that staff update the Council regarding the status of the other cities in the South Bay Cities Council of Governments (SBCCOG) with regard to the steps they have taken to participate in the program. Attached is an email from SBCCOG’s Executive Director which provides the update requested by the Council.
FW: car2go @ El Segundo Council April 2

From: Jacki Bacharach [mailto:jackibach@cox.net]
Sent: Monday, April 01, 2013 7:55 AM
To: Carpenter, Greg
Subject: RE: car2go @ El Segundo Council April 2

APPROVED
Gardena
Lomita

READY FOR SECOND READING AND ADOPTION
Hawthorne - 1st reading done - recommendation - ordinance adoption - no date set
Hermosa Beach - April 23 - adoption is next step
El Segundo - April 2 - 1st reading done - adoption is next step

READY FOR COUNCIL CONSIDERATION FOR APPROVAL
Lawndale - May 6
Manhattan Beach - April 16

Redondo Beach - April 16
Torrance - Council Transportation Committee unanimously approved. To Council April 9
AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

Consideration and possible action to amend El Segundo Municipal Code ("ESMC") Title 8 ("Vehicles and Traffic"), Chapter 5, to exempt Council approved carsharing programs from specified parking time limits and approve a resolution and agreement, subject to approval by the City Attorney’s Office, that provides for “car2go” to operate a carsharing program in the City and receive parking permits pursuant to the proposed amendment to ESMC Title 8 if adopted by the Council. (Fiscal Impact: None)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:

1) Introduce an Ordinance amending ESMC Title 8 to exempt City Council approved carsharing programs from specified parking time limits set forth in Title 8;

2) Adopt a Resolution approving car2go to be eligible for parking permits pursuant to the proposed amendment to ESMC Title 8 if adopted by the City Council;

3) Authorize the City Manager to execute an agreement with car2go in a form approved by the City Attorney; and,

4) Alternatively discuss and take other action related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

- Ordinance
- Resolution

FISCAL IMPACT: None

ORIGINATED BY: Mark D. Hensley, City Attorney
APPROVED BY: Greg Carpenter, City Manager

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:

The South Bay Cities Council of Governments (SBCCOG) requests the Council to facilitate car sharing services and participate in the “car2go” car sharing program proposed for nine South Bay cities by entering into an agreement with car2go and adopting an ordinance that exempts vehicles that are part of a carsharing program from certain time parking restrictions. The car2go car sharing program is a non-exclusive program that would not preclude the Council from allowing other services in the future.

The South Bay Cities Council of Governments (SBCCOG) made a presentation to the City Council on this program on November 6, 2012 and has been studying travel patterns in the South Bay for the last five years as part of its programs to address mobility options and land use efficiency, greenhouse gas emissions and air pollution, economics, and the livability of the South Bay. The SBCCOG’s principal finding was that most trips, other than the commute trip, are less
than five miles. The Local Use Vehicle pilot demonstration program funded by the South Coast AQMD has verified that a high proportion of trips are purely local.

The South Bay lacks a transit system that can serve short trips. As a result, South Bay residents face high costs of gasoline and car ownership to support these multiple short trips. The SBCCOG has been soliciting car sharing programs over the last several years and identified car2go as a potential option. The car2go program operated by car2go N.A., LLC, currently operating in six American cities (San Diego, Portland, Austin, Miami, Washington, D.C. and Seattle) and nine cities in Europe and Canada, sees the potential to roll out their car sharing service in the South Bay to provide a new mobility option for short trips.

Car sharing services are defined in California Vehicle Code Section 22507.1 which authorizes jurisdictions to adopt an ordinance or resolution establishing the criteria for a public or private company or organization to participate in a car sharing program, and may limit the types of motor vehicles that may be included in the program and may contain provisions that are reasonable and necessary to ensure the effectiveness of the program.

**Car2go Program:**

Car2go is a car sharing service that is a completely personal, flexible mobility option first introduced in Austin, Texas in 2009.¹ It is unique among car sharing programs because it offers point-to-point service where the car can be picked up or left anywhere in the defined operating area. The proposed operating area initially includes nine cities: El Segundo, Gardena, Hawthorne, Hermosa Beach, Lawndale, Lomita, Manhattan Beach, Redondo Beach and Torrance. Members can also use the program in any of the other car2go cities in the United States. In order to make this system work in the South Bay, there is a need for all of the initial nine cities to approve the program’s non-exclusive operation in their city and a permit providing the ability for these cars to be parked in metered or restricted parking spaces with specified exemptions.

The car2go company is therefore requesting a permit to allow their vehicles to park without time limit restrictions. Each car2go vehicle would be issued a separate permit in the form of a South Bay sticker to be prominently displayed in each vehicle. Street sweeping, colored curb time and parking prohibition restrictions, and other City and state law parking restrictions would still apply to the parking of car2go vehicles.

The service provides a complete personal mobility option without the need for a security deposit or the usual fixed and variable costs associated with car ownership. The company will register and insure all of the vehicles and pay all applicable taxes, fees and licenses. As stated in the sample permit, car2go will furnish regular reports to the City on the usage of the vehicles and be subject to audit. Interested persons register online with a one-time membership fee of $35. Drivers must be licensed, have a good driving record, and be over 18 years of age. The user pays for the car by the minute – currently 38 cents per minute. For the hour, it’s approximately $15.00. Whenever a car is needed, a member can use one of the 300 to 350 distinctive blue and white smart-for-two (“smartfortwo”) vehicles that will be located throughout the participating South Bay cities.

¹ The service operates non-exclusively. Portland, Oregon, for example, has three car sharing operations in the same area.
According to car2go the cars will be modern vehicles and clearly marked as part of the program. Vehicles will be maintained so they will always be clean, fueled and ready to go, spontaneously or with a reservation.

The vehicle can be driven anywhere – within or outside of the operating area. When the member is finished with the vehicle, it must be parked within the operating area in any authorized legal parking place. The vehicle need not be returned to its original pick-up location.

The smartfortwo vehicles themselves are fuel-efficient non hybrid or electric vehicles on the road today. Additionally, the car2go concept improves the area’s overall vehicle utilization ratio since a privately-owned vehicle is generally used only 1 to 2 hours a day and sits idle for the majority of the day, sometimes occupying valuable parking space, while a shared car2go vehicle has been shown to provide, on average, over 4 hours of use per day. The ultra-compact car2go cars also have a lower impact on city infrastructure with low weights and ability to park in subcompact parking spaces when available.

Providing “on-demand” fuel efficient transportation options for individuals, car2go also compliments the South Bay’s public transportation by closing the gaps commonly associated with public transit commuting, and it is a practical and affordable alternative to the rising costs and issues associated with vehicle ownership. The car2go car sharing model can also be expected to substantially reduce vehicle emissions and the number of cars on the road.

**Program Issues:**
City councils of the nine South Bay cities have all received presentations from car2go and while there were questions, the councils are generally supportive and have scheduled consideration of final approval. There was an understanding of the need for common standards and requirements throughout the South Bay area to make this program work.

The SBCCOG also held a telephone conference with interested staff from South Bay cities and City of San Diego staff regarding their car2go program which has operated over the past 2.5 years, encompassing a 32 square mile area including a preferential residential parking district with 8,000 members. Some of the issues addressed included the following.

- As proposed car2go vehicles must comply with loading, disabled, stopping, street sweeping, and similarly restricted zone requirements. They could otherwise park in time restricted zones, in residential preferential parking districts.
- Since car2go customers can leave the vehicles in any legal parking space when they are done using them, there is a concern that these vehicles may occupy valuable parking spaces for extended lengths of time. Car2go indicates the typical pattern is movement of vehicles in the morning from residential to commercial areas and back. The program operator states that other cities have initially had these concerns but find those fears do not materialize. Car2go likewise does not want vehicles sitting unused and monitors vehicle movement using GPS. Where vehicles are not being used or there are specific problem areas identified, car2go will come and move the vehicle.
- Car2go indicates that during the initial months of the program, car2go will guarantee movement of vehicles within 72 hours, with a reduced time frame after the initial membership drive. In some places the program has been set up to allow the Police to move
vehicles if problems occur. San Diego staff indicates car2go has been very responsive in remedying any problems.

- Car2go has not determined where its South Bay office will be located. Mobile fleet management is in the field at all times. Car2go strives for a 4-5 block density so that members do not need to travel more than this distance for a car.

- Security of vehicles is addressed by car2go. Members are screened to ensure they have valid driving license and good driving records. A pin number is required to access the vehicle. Due to GPS, car2go knows who is driving the vehicle at any time. There is a 24/7 call center. If a vehicle is involved in a crime, car2go will cooperate with law enforcement to provide information on to whom the vehicle was checked out to.

The attached ordinance specifies that a carsharing program must have a fleet of at least 300 vehicles in its program and enter into a contract with the City and be approved by the City Council by resolution to qualify for the parking permit. The City Manager shall be responsible for implementation for the permit process.
ORDINANCE NO. 1478

AN ORDINANCE ADDING A NEW ARTICLE F TO CHAPTER 8-5 OF THE EL SEGUNDO MUNICIPAL CODE TO REGULATE CAR SHARING PROGRAMS.

The Council of the City of El Segundo does ordain as follows:

SECTION 1: The City Council finds and declares as follows:

A. Carsharing, as defined by this Ordinance, is shown to mitigate environmental and transportation issues commonly affecting urban communities including, without limitation, traffic congestion.

B. It is in the public interest for the City to encourage participation in carsharing programs because such programs offer economic, environmental, and community benefits to City residents, employers, and visitors.

C. Carsharing helps reduce greenhouse gas emissions and sustainability; provides City residents, employers, and visitors with affordable transportation options; and is compatible with the City’s existing infrastructure.

D. Carsharing serves to reduce residents’ reliance on individually owned automobiles, thereby reducing pressure on already scarce on-street parking spaces and offers a supplement to the limited public transportation options available to City residents.

E. Vehicle Code § 22507.1 authorizes cities to issue permits to facilitate carsharing programs.

F. Based on the foregoing findings, the purpose of this Ordinance is to allow the City to issue permits to allow for the parking of carsharing vehicles in legal parking spaces notwithstanding specified restrictions in order to facilitate and encourage the use of carsharing as an alternative method of transportation.

SECTION 2: A new Article F is added to El Segundo Municipal Code ("ESMC") Chapter 8-5 to read as follows:
"ARTICLE F
CARSHARING PERMITS

8-5F-1 Purpose.
8-5F-2 Definitions.
8-5F-3 Administration.
8-5F-4 Carsharing Parking Permits.
8-5F-5 Application of regulations.
8-5F-6 Parking Permits – Application.
8-5F-7 Fees.
8-5F-8 Issuance.
8-5F-9 Duration.
8-5F-10 Permit denial.
8-5F-11 Permit Form.
8-5F-12 Display.
8-5F-13 Notice.

8-5F-1 Purpose.

This article is adopted pursuant to the city’s police powers and California Vehicle Code § 22507.1, and any successor statute or regulation, in order to regulate parking of vehicles participating in a carsharing program within the city’s jurisdiction. The regulations enacted by this chapter are intended to protect public health and safety by, among other things, facilitating the public’s use of shared vehicles and reducing the impact of greenhouse gases and traffic congestion.

8-5F-2 Definitions.

Unless the contrary is stated or clearly appears from the context, the following definitions govern the construction of the words and phrases used in this article:

A. "Carshare Business" means a public or private entity owning at least 300 motor vehicles operating a carsharing program.

B. "Carsharing Program" means a service operated by a carshare business that rents carshare vehicles at a hourly or daily rental rate. Vehicles must be allowed to be dropped off and picked up at a minimum of twenty-five different locations in the City. The twenty-five locations must be on separate parcels of property or be comprised of at least twenty-five public parking spaces.
C. “Carshare Vehicle” means a vehicle participating in a carsharing program and registered with a carshare business.

8-5F-3 Administration.

A. The police chief, or designee, is authorized to issue carsharing parking permits and permits pursuant to this article.

B. The city manager is authorized to promulgate administrative policies and procedures required to implement the regulations set forth in this chapter.

8-5F-4 Carsharing Parking Permits.

A. Carshare vehicles with a valid permit issued pursuant to this article may be parked in all valid parking areas within the city notwithstanding time restrictions for such areas established by this Code or other applicable law including, without limitation, Section 8-5-6 or Resolution No. 4162, adopted May 16, 2000, as amended.

B. Nothing in this section authorizes carshare vehicles to park in areas where standing or parking is prohibited for some or certain times as identified by this Code or other applicable law including, without limitation, Section 8-5-3 or Resolution No. 4162, adopted May 16, 2000, as amended.

8-5F-5 Application of regulations.

The permissions for standing or parking carshare vehicles in this article do not relieve any person from the duty to observe other and more restrictive provisions of the California Vehicle Code or this code prohibiting or limiting the standing or parking of vehicles in specified places or at specified times.

8-5F-6 Parking Permits – Application.

Every person desiring a carsharing parking permit must file an application with the Police Chief, or designee, containing the following:

A. The name, address and phone number of the carshare business;

B. The license number, make and model of designated carshare vehicles;

C. Additional information the police chief, or designee, may reasonably require;

D. The applicant must sign an application under penalty of perjury.
8-5F-7 Fees.

Unless otherwise provided by city council resolution, applications for a carsharing permits may be submitted without charge.

8-5F-8 Issuance.

A. The police chief will issue a permit if:
   1. The application was complete in accordance with this article;
   2. There are no grounds for denying the permit; and
   3. The applicant accepts the permit in writing.

B. Use of any permit issued pursuant to this article will conform to the general permit conditions of this article.

8-5F-9 Duration.

Carsharing parking permits are valid for a period of twelve (12) months, and may be renewed, if the applicant continues to meet the qualifications therefor.

8-5F-10 Permit denial.

A permit must be denied if the police chief finds that:

A. An applicant is not qualified to obtain a permit pursuant to this article; or

B. Information submitted by the applicant is materially false.

8-5F-11 Permit Form.

Permits issued pursuant to this article will be in a form prescribed by the police chief and will include the license plate number of the carsharing to which it relates, and the date of issuance and the day of expiration.

8-5F-12 Display.

All permits will be placed at the lower driver's side of the windshield of the carshare vehicle to which it relates so that it is clearly visible from the exterior of the carshare vehicle.
8-5F-13  Notice.

If required by law, signs giving reasonable notice of the provisions of this section will be erected within the city as required by the California Vehicle Code.”

SECTION 3: The City Council determines that this Ordinance is exempt from review under the California Environmental Quality Act (Cal. Pub. Res. Code §§ 21000, et seq.; “CEQA”) and CEQA regulations (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 14, §§ 15000, et seq.) because the only potential physical effect on the environment that could foreseeably result from its implementation is a reduction in environmental impacts associated with vehicle traffic including, without limitation, traffic congestion and greenhouse gas emissions. Such a reduction in the use or operation of an existing City street is categorically exempt from further CEQA review under Cal. Code Regs. tit 14, § 15301. This Ordinance, therefore, is an action that does not have the potential to cause significant effects on the environment.

SECTION 4: Repeal or amendment of any provision of the ESMC will not affect any penalty, forfeiture, or liability incurred before, or preclude prosecution and imposition of penalties for any violation occurring before this Ordinance’s effective date. Any such repealed part will remain in full force and effect for sustaining action or prosecuting violations occurring before the effective date of this Ordinance.

SECTION 5: If any part of this Ordinance or its application is deemed invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, the City Council intends that such invalidity will not affect the effectiveness of the remaining provisions or applications and, to this end, the provisions of this Ordinance are severable.

SECTION 6: The City Clerk is directed to certify the passage and adoption of this Ordinance; cause it to be entered into the City of El Segundo’s book of original ordinances; make a note of the passage and adoption in the records of this meeting; and, within fifteen (15) days after the passage and adoption of this Ordinance, cause it to be published or posted in accordance with California law.

SECTION 7: This Ordinance will become effective thirty days following its passage and adoption.
PASSED AND ADOPTED this ____ day of _________, 2013.

ATTEST:

______________________________
Tracy Weaver, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
MARK D. HENSLEY, City Attorney

By:
______________________________
Karl H. Berger, Assistant City Attorney

______________________________
Carl Jacobson, Mayor
I, Tracy Weaver, City Clerk of the City of El Segundo, do hereby certify that the foregoing Ordinance No. _____ was regularly introduced and placed upon its first reading at a regular meeting of the City Council on the _____ day of __________, 2013. That thereafter, said Ordinance was duly passed and adopted at a regular meeting of the City Council on the _____ day of __________, 2013, by the following vote, to wit:

AYES: COUNCILMEMBERS:

NOES COUNCILMEMBERS:

ABSENT: COUNCILMEMBERS:

ABSTAIN: COUNCILMEMBERS:

CITY CLERK
RESOLUTION NO._____

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CAR2GO AS A CARSHARING BUSINESS OPERATING A CARSHARING PROGRAM PURSUANT TO ORDINANCE NO. _____.

The City Council of the City of El Segundo does hereby resolve as follows:

SECTION 1: The City Council finds as follows:

A. The South Bay Cities Council of Governments (SBCCCOG) Board of Directors approved the South Bay Sustainable Strategy (SBSS) in September, 2010;

B. The SBSS calls for the need to identify and implement mobility alternatives to address congestion reduction and lifestyle enhancement goals and car sharing is one of several initiatives in the SBSS;

C. A car sharing service can reduce the need for second or third vehicles per household and the South Bay is relatively transit poor, with more than 260,000 secondary vehicles in South Bay households often making parking difficult to find and adding to personal expense;

D. Car2go is prepared to invest in excess of $4 million to bring its car sharing service to nine of the South Bay cities and no other car sharing service to date has proposed making the necessary investment;

E. Car2go has identified an initial operating area in the Cities of El Segundo, Gardena, Hawthorne, Hermosa Beach, Lawndale, Lomita, Manhattan Beach, Redondo Beach, Torrance and has expressed an interest in expanding the operating area to other cities in the South Bay in the future;

F. Car2go made a presentation to the SBCCCOG Board on September 27, 2012 at which it was stated that car2go is prepared to begin operations by the middle of 2013, but all nine cities in the identified operating area would need to approve a permit process to exempt certain parking restrictions;

G. Vehicle Code § 22507.1 authorizes cities to issue permits to facilitate carsharing programs;

H. Car2go made a presentation to the City Council of the City of El Segundo on November 6, 2012 demonstrating the program and its advantages;

I. Car2go will have an initial vehicle fleet of 300 vehicles, have a minimum of 25 drop off and pick up locations in the City, rent vehicles by the minute and enter into an agreement with the City of El Segundo in a form approved by the City Attorney;
J. The City Council introduced Ordinance No. ____ on ____, 2013. If adopted, Ordinance No. ____ will become effective on or about ____, 2013. To ensure that Car2go can operate within the City until the effective date of Ordinance No. _____, the City Council believes it is in the public interest to adopt this Resolution.

SECTION 2: Carsharing Program. This Resolution is authorized pursuant to Vehicle Code § 22507.1. The regulations set forth in Ordinance No. ______ are adopted by reference as if fully set forth. This Resolution, along with its terms and conditions, constitutes a “permit” for purposes of Ordinance No. ____ when it becomes effective.

SECTION 3: Permit Conditions. To ensure that the City’s actions are fiscally neutral and that the public health, welfare, and safety are otherwise protected, the authorizations extended to Car2go by this Resolution are subject to the following:

A. Car2go must enter into an agreement, in a form approved by the City Attorney, to compensate the City for lost parking revenue and sales tax based upon the location of where carsharing vehicles are rented;

B. Car2go must enter into a hold harmless agreement, in a form approved by the City Attorney, to protect the City from liability;

C. Car2go must provide evidence of insurance sufficient to protect the City’s interests; and

D. Car2go must provide such additional information that may be reasonably requested by the Police Chief, or designee, in accordance with Ordinance No. ____ and the carsharing program.

SECTION 4: This Resolution will become effective immediately upon adoption and will remain effective unless superseded or repealed.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this ____ day of ______________, 2004.

_________________________________________
Carl Jacobson, Mayor
APPROVED AS TO FORM:
MARK D. HENSLEY, City Attorney

By: ______________________________________
    Karl H. Berger,
    Assistant City Attorney

ATTEST:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA    )
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES    )  SS
CITY OF _______    )

I, __________, City Clerk of the City of El Segundo, California, do hereby certify that the whole number of members of the City Council of said City is five; that the foregoing Resolution No. was duly passed and adopted by said City Council, approved and signed by the Mayor of said City, and attested to by the City Clerk of said City, all at a regular meeting of said Council held on the ______ day of March 2013, and the same was so passed and adopted by the following vote:

AYES:
NOES:
ABSENT:
ABSTAIN:

______________________________________
City Clerk
AGENDA DESCRIPTION:
Consideration and possible action to approve implementation of provisions called for in the new Storm Water National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit issued by the CA Regional Water Quality Control Board (Fiscal Impact: To Be Determined)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:
1. Discuss and approve staff’s recommendations to implement provisions called for in the new Storm Water NPDES permit;
2. Alternatively, discuss and take other possible action related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:
1. Snapshot - New Permit Highlights
2. Sub-watershed Map of El Segundo’s Storm Water Flows
3. Key Actions Called for in the Permit, Affected Departments and Resources Needed

FISCAL IMPACT: Undetermined
Amount Budgeted: $0
Additional Appropriation: Yes; undetermined
Account Number(s): Various, To be Determined

ORIGINATED BY: Stephanie Katsouleas, Public Works Director
REVIEWED BY: Stephanie Katsouleas, Public Works Director
APPROVED BY: Greg Carpenter, City Manager

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:
In 1990, the California Regional Water Quality Control Board (Regional Board) adopted Order No. 90-079, which set in motion requirements for municipalities located in Los Angeles County to comply with provisions of the Clean Water Act for contaminated discharges (commonly called storm water and urban runoff discharges) in Municipally Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4). This action was followed in 1992 by a provision requiring all Phase I cities, those with regional populations greater than 100,000, to begin implementing best available technologies to reduce or eliminate contaminated discharges. The Regional Board adopted new storm water permits in 1996 and 2001, and approved four amendments between 2006 and 2010 to the 2001 permit.

1 The authority to regulate storm water and urban runoff falls under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program, which is administered by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board
2 El Segundo is considered a Phase I city because it is part of Los Angeles County, which has a continuous, regional population greater than 100,000.
3 The 2006 amendment was set-aside by the Court due to procedural deficiencies, but reincorporated into the new 2012 Permit.
On November 8, 2012, the Regional Board adopted a new storm water permit, Order No. R4-2012-0175, which includes and expands upon the provisions called for in earlier permits. See Attachment 1 for new NPDES Permit Highlights.

El Segundo belongs to two separate watersheds, which include Santa Monica Bay and Dominguez Channel (see Attachment 2 Map). The Santa Monica Bay watershed is further broken into two jurisdictional areas, which include:

- **North of El Segundo Blvd.** Untreated flows are discharged to the Grand Ave. storm drain and Imperial Ave. storm drain, which also receives flows from the City of Los Angeles. This area is part of Jurisdictional Group Two (2) and Three (3) [or J2/3].
- **South of El Segundo Blvd.** Untreated flows are discharged at the 28th Street outfall in Manhattan Beach, which also receives flows from the City of Manhattan Beach. This area is part of Jurisdictional Groups Five (5) and Six (6) [or J5/6]

Previous storm water permits focused on implementation of pollution control measures,4 while the new permit focuses on whether Permittees are actually achieving adopted water quality standards (referred to as total maximum daily loads, or TMDLs) for receiving waters. The primary TMDLs (for pollutants) that El Segundo must control are:

- Bacteria, trash, DDT and PCBs discharged to Santa Monica Bay
- Metals (copper, zinc and lead), PCBs and petroleum byproducts (PAHs) discharged to Dominguez Channel.

Permittees are required to comply with the permit’s Monitoring and Reporting Program elements and can choose three different approaches to achieve compliance. These options include: 1) implementing and monitoring baseline control measures, 2) developing and implementing a customized and approved Watershed Management Program (WMP) or 3) developing and implementing an Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP). The customized WMP or EWMP provides Permittees greater flexibility to implement control measures on a larger, watershed scale. It also provides Permittees additional time to establish those coordinated efforts with other jurisdictions (see table below).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implementation Options</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Deadline to Comply</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Option 1</td>
<td>Implement baseline Minimum Control Measures (MCM).</td>
<td>By June 28, 2013</td>
<td>This option requires that individual cities comply with strict numeric limits for water quality, shown by comprehensive monitoring of outfall locations. MCM is further described below.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 2</td>
<td>Participate in a Watershed Management Program (WMP)</td>
<td>By June 28, 2014</td>
<td>Permittees must file a Notice of Intent to participate in a WMP by June 28, 2013. WMP is further described below.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Option 3</td>
<td>Participate in an Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP)</td>
<td>By June 28, 2014</td>
<td>Permittees must file a Notice of Intent to participate in a EWMP by June 28, 2013. EWMP is further described below.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4Pollution control measures include any device or program intended to reduce or eliminate sources of storm water pollution. Examples of pollution control measures include retention basins, berms and swales, oil/water separators at parking lot entrances, trash capture devices, education and outreach, dry weather diversions to the sewer system, street sweeping, storm drain stenciling, etc.
Minimum Control Measures
Under this option, Permittees must implement a baseline set of storm water actions and demonstrate compliance with water quality standards through monitoring data collected from the Permittees’ outfalls. MCMs are not typically coordinated with other jurisdictions, but rather are implemented individually by each permittee. The baseline requirements include best management practices (BMPs) considered necessary to reduce pollutants in storm water to the maximum extent practicable. The MCM baseline categories include:

1. Public Information and Participation Program
2. Industrial/Commercial Facilities Program
3. Development Construction Program
4. Planning and Land Development Program
5. Public Agency Activities Program
6. Illicit Connections/Illlicit Discharge (IC/ID) Program

For additional summary information on these six (6) categories, please see attachment 3, pages 2-4. Comprehensive information, including the timeline for implementation, can be found in the 2012 Storm Water NPDES Permit, Attachment F, pages 47-83,\(^5\) (not part of this document)

Watershed Management Program
The Watershed Management Programs option provides a “framework for Permittees to implement the requirements of this Order in an integrated and collaborative fashion to address water quality priorities on a watershed scale....” [Order R4-2012-0175]. The approach is believed by the US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) to be more cost-effective and successful in achieving water quality objectives than the Minimum Control Measures approach. Permittees can still retain successful elements of their individual jurisdictional BMPs and simply identify these individual roles and responsibilities in their WMP Plans. While WMP Plans are customizable on both the jurisdictional and watershed levels, the permit still specifies the framework that each WMP must include. Permittees must also continue to implement Minimum Control Measures required in the previous permit until the new WMP is approved by the Regional Board. Permittees who participate in the development of a WMP must submit a draft plan by December 28, 2013, but will be granted an additional six (6) months to comply if specific early actions are implemented by June 28, 2013, including drafting a Low Impact Development Ordinance and a green streets strategy for transportation corridors. These conditions must be met in greater than 50% of the land area covered in the WMP.

Enhanced Watershed Management Program
Under the Enhanced Watershed Management Program (EWMP), Permittees can evaluate opportunities for collaboration on large, multi-benefit projects which retain, through infiltration or capture and reuse, the 85\(^{th}\) percentile of a 24-hour storm event and all non-storm water runoff. Alternatively, Permittees can demonstrate via reasonable assurance analysis (e.g., targeted monitoring) that the water quality objectives (pollutant load limits) of the receiving waters are being met. The difference between the WMP and EWMP is in the type(s) and scale of regional control measures implemented. Conceptually, under EWMP, Permittees can investigate and plan for storm water diversion to either a storm water treatment plant or detention/retention basin, which is then captured and either infiltrated or reused (much like recycled water) such that the

\(^5\) The complete 2012 Storm Water NPDES Permit, with attachments, can be found online at: http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/losangeles/water_issues/programs/stormwater/municipal/index.shtml.
contaminated discharge never reaches Santa Monica Bay or Dominguez Channel. El Segundo’s Pump Station 18 retention basin is a good example of a qualifying EWMP project because 100% of the storm water captured is infiltrated rather than discharged to Dominguez Channel. Like the WMP requirements, under the EWMP Permittees must continue to implement minimum control measures required in the previous permit until the EWMP is approved by the Regional Board. The same WMP deadlines and conditions for a timeline extension apply to the EWMP.

**Approaches to Compliance with the Storm Water Permit**

Over the last several months, staff has been participating in regional meetings with the County of Los Angeles, City of Los Angeles and City of Santa Monica (J2/3 cities); and cities of Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach and Palos Verdes Peninsula cities (J5/6 cities) to evaluate whether El Segundo should participate in the Enhanced Watershed Management Program approach for Santa Monica Bay.

Staff has also met with Dominguez Channel Permittees, who remain undecided on which option to pursue as a group. Some of the larger cities are leaning toward the EWMP option, while some of the smaller cities are leaning toward implementing individual MCMs. Cost is a major factor in the decision-making process. El Segundo is still investigating which option is most cost-effective. In the meantime, certain MCMs are still required.

Based on the resources available within the City of El Segundo, the breadth of requirements called for in the permit, and the risk of noncompliance with water quality objectives, staff recommends that City Council support staff’s recommendations as follows:

1. For Santa Monica Bay Discharges
   a. Participate in the J2/3 WMP along with the County of Los Angeles and Cities of Santa Monica and Los Angeles for discharges originating north of El Segundo Blvd. In the interim, continue to implement MCMs identified in the previous permit.
   b. For discharges originating south of El Segundo Blvd., do not participate in the J5/6 WMP. Instead implement minimum control measures identified in the current permit.

2. For Dominguez Channel Discharges, consider participating in the development of an EMWP with other jurisdictions if that option materializes regionally. In the interim implement MCMs called for in the new permit.

Each phase of these implementation options will require additional resources and funding. As those costs and resources are better defined, staff will bring requests for approval back to City Council. At a minimum, it will require funding for additional inspection services, staff training and tracking, consultant fees for EWMP planning, and increased monitoring of outfalls.

**Cost of Compliance**

Complying with the requirements of the new permit (as well as the provisions of the previous permit) will require significant additional staff and financial resources for program implementation, some of which are estimated in the Attachment 3. Please note that some costs are unknown at this time and will depend on the agreed-upon regional efforts identified in the EWMP option that will be developed in the coming year. El Segundo, as well as other cities that choose to participate in an Enhanced Watershed Management Program approach will undoubtedly need outside expertise and leveraging of regional resources to comply with the new storm water permit requirements. Additional funds will also be needed for the enhanced
monitoring effort that will be required, regardless of which option is chosen. Lastly, the Regional Board retains the authority to require additional measures if monitoring results show that the measures implemented are not the achieving water quality objectives identified in the permit.

Finally, staff will explore other sources of funding available, which could include state and local grant programs. It is unknown at this time what grants El Segundo would qualify for, but with proper resources, El Segundo could find and apply for qualifying storm water implementation grants.
New NPDES Permit Highlights

The Permit Allows Essential and Conditionally Exempt Discharges:

- Essential discharges are allowed under certain conditions, such as emergency fire fighting activities, natural flows including streams, uncontaminated groundwater infiltration, rising groundwater.
- Conditionally exempt non-storm water discharges include fire training-related discharges, small drinking water discharges, landscape irrigation, de-chlorinated swimming pool water, non-commercial car washes by residents and non-profits, street/sidewalk wash water.

The New Permit Requires and/or Incorporates:

- Enhanced monitoring efforts and specific records management/record keeping (see below).
- Progressive action/implementation to comply with Water Quality Objectives (TMDLs).
- New TMDLs with specific numeric discharge limits:
  - Santa Monica Bay: Dry weather and wet weather effluent limits for bacteria; effluent limits for trash, DDT and PCBs. The trash limit is “0” by 2020 (see below).
  - Dominguez Channel: Effluent limits for metals (copper, lead, zinc) legacy pesticides, and poly nuclear aromatic hydrocarbons.
- New “area” thresholds for development and re-development activities; storm water requirements apply to sites greater than one acre and/or development projects of 10,000 ft² or greater (down from the previous 100,000 ft² threshold).
- Mandates for additional staff training for development impacts to storm water.
- Increased site inspection and monitoring during active construction for all sites, including those less than one acre.
- Annual Report requirements. Submittals must include a statement from legal council with specific language identified in the permit (certification) and an annual fiscal analysis of expenditures for permit compliance for past year and upcoming year.
- Any documents submitted to the Regional Board must be made available to the public for at least 30 days for comment.

The New Permit Allows For:

- Integrated approaches to monitoring water quality
- Integrated (regional) approaches to control contaminated runoff
- Permittees to choose a permit implementation option:
  - Minimum control measures
  - Watershed management program
  - Enhanced watershed management program
Reporting and Monitoring Program

- Allows flexibility to allow Permittees to develop an integrated/regional monitoring program on a watershed/sub-watershed basis to address requirements and leverage resources
- Requires dry and wet-weather monitoring for a list of 140 pollutants (dry weather monitoring minimum 2x per year; minimum wet weather monitoring 3x per year)
- Must perform receiving water monitoring at mass emissions stations (predetermined locations)
- Must monitor at storm water outfalls (minimum 3x per year during wet-weather)
- Must monitor non-storm water outfalls to identify those with frequent, non-storm water flows
- TMDLs have more frequent monitoring requirements
- Must identify sources of non-storm water discharges and pollutants
- Track and document effectiveness of BMPs installed for new and redevelopment projects
- Must maintain comprehensive monitoring records and file monitoring reports annually (format specified in Permit) that include monitoring results, storm water and non-storm water control measures, effectiveness in controlling pollutants, rainfall data, compliance summary
- The Santa Monica Bay trash TMDL requires installation of full capture devices in all 350 catch basins by 2020. However, if the City adopts three (3) ordinances in 2013, then the permit provides and additional three (3) years to comply with the zero trash discharge (until 2023). The proposed ordinances would ban plastic bags, smoking and polystyrene. The permit also calls for interim reductions in the amount of trash reaching Santa Monica Bay starting in 2016, with a 20% reduction over the baseline that year, and 20% additional reduction each of the next four years such that the amount of trash reaching Santa Monica Bay in 2020 is zero (0).
- The Dominguez Channel TMDLs must achieve full compliance by 2032, with interim limits set during the current permit period.

Penalties for Non-Compliance:

Violations of the permit are subject to fines and penalties as follows:
1. Civil penalties of up to $5,000.00, $10,000 or $25,000 per day of violation, or up to $10 or $25 per gallon per day or some combination thereof.
2. Mandatory penalties of $3,000 for
   a. Each serious violation (i.e., exceedance of limits by +20% for group II pollutants, or +40% for group I pollutants or failure to submit the discharge monitoring report within 30 days of the deadline to submit)
   b. Violation of waste discharge requirement effluent limit in any period of six consecutive months (not applicable to first three violations).
3. Trash Limits (group I pollutant). One violation per year is allowed for storms that exceed .025” rainfall. Additional violations are counted only when rainfall exceeds a 0.25” storm event during the same storm year.
## Key Actions Called for in the Permit, Affected Departments and Resources Needed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject/Permit Section</th>
<th>Requirements</th>
<th>Timeline for Implementation</th>
<th>Needed Resources</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discharge Prohibitions (Section III)</td>
<td>Maintain records of MS4 flows to storm drains for discharge within the City</td>
<td>Within 6 months</td>
<td>Staff time for records maintenance and inspection</td>
<td>Based on reported discharges. Combined with other activities below for one new PW Inspector Position. [$100,000]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Works Planning Parks &amp; Rec Fire</td>
<td>Minimizes discharge of landscape irrigation to public ROW</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Staff/consultant for education and outreach to properties with large landscaping. Educational materials development and printing.</td>
<td>$5,000 for outreach $15,000 for materials/printing. Included with PW inspector position.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Review monitoring data submitted for permitted discharges</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Staff to review monitoring data submitted and identify problem areas.</td>
<td>Based on monitoring reports submitted. Included with PW inspector position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enforcement of non-storm water discharges</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Staff for tracking/processing enforcement actions for storm water violations and reporting to the Regional Board (RB). Follow up on violations.</td>
<td>Included with PW inspector position. Coordinated with Fire.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Provision (Section VI.A.)</td>
<td>Establish legal authority to enforce permit</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Adopt ordinances, statutes, permits, etc. to enforce permit. Carry out inspections and monitoring to ensure compliance</td>
<td>Unknown for attorney services; Included in PW inspector position.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Attorney Public Works</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring and Reporting Program (Section VI.B.)</td>
<td>Development of a Watershed Monitoring Program (WMP) or Enhanced WMP for each watershed (based on RB’s Watershed Management Areas) Santa Monica Bay (J2/3 and J 5/6) and Dominguez Channel.</td>
<td>Depends on Approach: Solo: By June 28, 2013 Partnership: By December 28, 2013 or June 28, 2014. MOU with other agencies required.</td>
<td>Staff and consultant services for participation in broader watershed management group. Implementation of interim milestones. Significant staff participation and review will be needed.</td>
<td>$75,000 for Dominguez Channel Planning. $100,000 for Santa Monica Bay Staff resources included in proposed Management Analyst position.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject/Permit Section</td>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>Timeline for Implementation</td>
<td>Needed Resources</td>
<td>Estimated Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Implement an assessment program to determine progress of permit implementation and compliance</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>Funds for enhanced monitoring efforts required in the new permit.</td>
<td>Staff support Included in proposed Management Analyst position Additional monitoring funds, amount TBD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control pollutant loads not already identified in a TMDL</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
<td>To be determined. Will be based on monitoring results and identification of pollutants that still need to be addressed.</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Create strategy for implementation based on EWMP findings and recommendations. Assess minimum control measures needed.</td>
<td>December 28, 2013 or June 28, 2014 for draft plan submittal</td>
<td>To be determined based on EWMP findings and recommendations</td>
<td>TBD. Heavy staff involvement in coordination by proposed Management Analyst.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Control Measures (Section VI.D)</td>
<td>Public Works Planning Parks &amp; Rec Fire City Attorney</td>
<td>Progressive enforcement for violations: follow-up inspections, enforcement, records retention, referral of violations, investigation of complaints; RB assistance with enforcement</td>
<td>Ongoing during EWMP preparation. Revised after adoption of EWMP</td>
<td>To be determined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Education program: Measurably increase knowledge, change disposal habits and engage various groups. Provide a way to report clogged drains and get misc. storm water information. May participate in 888-CLEAN LA, post on website. Must organize events to promote storm water pollution prevention.</td>
<td>On-going during EWMP preparation. Revised after EWMP adoption</td>
<td>Funding for materials and staff time for hosting/coordinating public outreach events.</td>
<td>$3,000 in staff overtime cost for events throughout the year. $10,000 for general storm water outreach materials, PSAs, school outreach. Staff time included in proposed Management Analyst position</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject/Permit Section</td>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>Timeline for Implementation</td>
<td>Needed Resources</td>
<td>Estimated Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Control Measures (Section VI.D), cont'd</td>
<td><strong>Industrial/Commercial facilities program:</strong> Track, educate, inspect, ensure compliance. This includes database of lat/long of all industrial/commercial facilities that are critical source of SW pollution [e.g., restaurants, automotive, gas stations, nurseries]. Update annually. Implementation of a Business Assistance Program.</td>
<td>Over five years, one education notification per facility within 5 year permit. Over five years, two mandatory compliance site visits</td>
<td>Staff and educational materials, tracking, compliance Inspections and follow up where necessary. Enforcement action when needed.</td>
<td>Coordination and tracking included in Management Analyst position. Enforcement included in Fire staff. Intern assistance for site Inspections tracking PW. $10,000 annually. Funding for materials production $10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Development Construction Planning:</strong> Control sediment runoff from construction sites one acre or greater. Control polluted discharges from all sites, regardless of size</td>
<td><strong>On-going</strong></td>
<td>Staff for education, inspection, tracking violations and enforcement.</td>
<td>Coordination and tracking included in Management Analyst position. Inspection and enforcement included in Fire staff and PW Inspector position. Ordinance adoption included in City Attorney fees.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Planning and Land Development activities for new and redevelopment projects that meet certain thresholds:</strong> Track development and redevelopment projects for follow up inspection and reporting annually to the RB. Implement construction inspection and enforcement program. Adopt ordinances where necessary. Creation of a construction site inventory that is continuously updated.</td>
<td><strong>Ongoing</strong></td>
<td>Training on new compliance measures and inspection services. Additional inspection services; heavy requirement for inspections for sites greater than 1-acre (every two weeks plus when it rains or likely to). Inspections required prior to, during and after land disturbance and construction.</td>
<td>$10,000 annually for training classes or programs. Included in PW inspector position.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject/Permit Section</td>
<td>Requirements</td>
<td>Timeline for Implementation</td>
<td>Needed Resources</td>
<td>Estimated Cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Control Measures (Section VI.D), cont’d</td>
<td><strong>Public Agency Activities:</strong> Minimize pollution from city facilities and projects. Conduct an inventory (updated once w/in five years), identify retrofitting opportunities, and implementing appropriate BMPs (listed in Table 18 of the permit), stenciling, storm drain maintenance, street sweeping, employee training programs.</td>
<td>On-going</td>
<td>Training for PW, Planning and Parks staff, BMP implementation where necessary. Some activities are included in proposed Management Analyst position.</td>
<td>$15,000 for training in 2013/14 for approx. 50 staff, Unknown costs for additional BMP implementation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Illicit Connections/ Illicit Discharge (IC/ID) program:</strong> Ensure legal authority to enforce IC/ID. Investigation of storm drains for illegal connections or discharge. Development of a spill response plan. Promotion of a reporting hotline and documenting calls received. Appropriate signage. Staff training.</td>
<td>On-going</td>
<td>Funds to carry out IC/ID program. PW inspector to investigate and document illicit discharges and/or connections and overseeing corrective actions. Some activities can be covered by Management Analyst.</td>
<td>$100,000 for complete storm drain CCTV inspection (baseline) and building into tablet apps for historical documentation, and for development of a spill response plan. Training expenses and PW inspector position covered above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs; Section VI.E)</td>
<td><strong>Public Works</strong> Ensuring compliance with TMDL provisions, which includes monitoring at key locations, preparation of an annual TMDL compliance report, 3 SMB TMDLS: trash/debris, bacteria and DDT/PCBs Dominguez Channel TMDLs: heavy metals and other toxic pollutants</td>
<td>On-going. Reports due beginning December 15, 2013</td>
<td>Undetermined. Depends on the extent of the exceedance of the TMDL. Monitoring funding for regional effort</td>
<td>Ranges from $100,000 to several million depending on the measures implemented. Additional monitoring expenses unknown at this time.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Initial Funding Needed:** $553,000 [known costs] plus unknown costs expected to be in the millions.
EL SEGUNDO CITY COUNCIL  
MEETING DATE: April 2, 2013

AGENDA ITEM STATEMENT

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

Consideration and possible action adopt a resolution supporting passage of SB 135, the creation of a statewide earthquake early warning system in California. (Fiscal Impact: None)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:

1. Adopt the attached resolution;
2. Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

Resolution

FISCAL IMPACT: $

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount Budgeted:</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Additional Appropriation:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account Number(s):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PREPARED BY: Mishia Jennings, Executive Assistant
REVIEWED BY: Suzanne Fuentes, Mayor Pro Tem
APPROVED BY: Greg Carpenter, City Manager

BACKGROUND & DISCUSSION:

Ninety percent of the world’s earthquakes and over eighty percent of the world’s largest earthquakes occur along the Circum-Pacific Belt, also known as the Pacific Ring of Fire. The Pacific Ring of Fire includes the very active San Andreas Fault zone here in California. The San Andreas is the “master” fault of an intricate fault network that cuts through rocks of the California coastal region. The entire San Andreas Fault system is more than 800 miles long and extends to depths of at least ten miles within the earth.

Predictions from the Uniform California Earthquake Rupture Forecast released in 2008 states there is a 99.7% likelihood of a magnitude 6.7 earthquake and a 94% chance of a 7.0 magnitude earthquake in California within the next 30 years. In January, 2013, the California Institute of Technology and the Japan Agency of Marine-Earth Science and Technology published a study concluding for the first time that a statewide California earthquake involving both the Los Angeles and San Francisco metropolitan areas may be possible.

While earthquakes cannot be predicted or prevented, using advance science and technology we can detect seismic activity to provide an advance warning, save lives and help mitigate damage. The object of earthquake early warning is to rapidly detect the initiation of an earthquake, estimate the level of ground shaking to be expected and issue a warning before significant ground shaking begins. This can be done by detecting the first energy to radiate from an earthquake, the P-wave energy, which rarely causes damage. Using P-wave information, we can first estimate the location and the magnitude of the earthquake. We can then use this to estimate the anticipated ground shaking across the region to be
affected. The method can provide warning before the S-wave, which brings the strong shaking that usually causes most of the damage arrives.

California currently has the California Integrated Seismic Network (CISN), which is a demonstration earthquake early warning system. A fully developed system would process data from the array of sensors through the state. The system would effectively detect the strength and the progression of earthquakes, alert the public within seconds and provide up to 60 seconds advance warning before potentially damaging ground shaking is felt.

SB 135 would require the development of a comprehensive statewide earthquake early warning system in California.
RESOLUTION

A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING SENATE BILL 135 THE CREATION OF A STATEWIDE EARTHQUAKE EARLY WARNING SYSTEM IN CALIFORNIA.

WHEREAS, according to the United States Geological Survey, California is one of the most seismically active states, second only to Alaska; and

WHEREAS, California has experienced dozens of disastrous earthquakes that have caused fatalities, serious injuries, and significant economic loss; and

WHEREAS, ninety percent of the world’s earthquakes and over eighty percent of the world’s largest earthquakes occur along the Circum-Pacific Belt, also known as the Pacific Ring of Fire. The Pacific Ring of Fire includes the very active San Andreas Fault Zone in California; and

WHEREAS, the Uniform California Earthquake Rupture Forecast (UCERF) released in 2008 predicted a 99.7 percent likelihood of a magnitude 6.7 or larger earthquake in California in the next 30 years; and

WHEREAS, a 2013 study published by the Caltech and the Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology discovered that a statewide California earthquake involving both the Los Angeles and San Francisco metropolitan areas may be possible; and

WHEREAS, Japan, Taiwan, Mexico, Turkey, Romania, Italy, and China either have or are working on earthquake early warning systems that are capable of saving lives and helping to mitigate loss; and

WHEREAS, California Emergency Management Agency, Caltech, California Geological Survey, University of California at Berkeley, United States Geological Survey, and others have been conducting earthquake early warning research and development in California and together they operate the California Integrated Seismic Network, which has a demonstration earthquake early warning capability; and

WHEREAS, by building upon the California Integrated Seismic Network and processing data from an array of sensors throughout the state, a fully developed earthquake early warning system would effectively detect the strength and progression of earthquakes and alert the public within seconds, up to 60 seconds, before potentially damaging ground shaking is felt; and

WHEREAS, the City of El Segundo must do all it can to better prepare for future earthquakes and that an earthquake early warning system should disseminate earthquake information in support of public safety, emergency response, and loss mitigation.
BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, with the concurrence of the Mayor, that by the adoption of this Resolution, the City of El Segundo hereby SUPPORTS Senate Bill 135 (Padilla) that would create an earthquake early warning system in California.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this ___ day of _____________, 20__.

__________________________
Carl Jacobson, Mayor

ATTEST:

__________________________
Tracy Weaver, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
MARK D. HENSLEY, City Attorney

By: ________________________
Karl H. Berger, Assistant City Attorney