AGENDA
EL SEGUNDO CITY COUNCIL
COUNCIL CHAMBERS - 350 Main Street

The City Council, with certain statutory exceptions, can only take action upon properly posted and listed agenda items. Any writings or documents given to a majority of the City Council regarding any matter on this agenda that the City received after issuing the agenda packet are available for public inspection in the City Clerk’s office during normal business hours. Such Documents may also be posted on the City’s website at www.elsegundo.org and additional copies will be available at the City Council meeting.

Unless otherwise noted in the Agenda, the Public can only comment on City-related business that is within the jurisdiction of the City Council and/or items listed on the Agenda during the Public Communications portions of the Meeting. Additionally, the Public can comment on any Public Hearing item on the Agenda during the Public Hearing portion of such item. The time limit for comments is five (5) minutes per person.

Before speaking to the City Council, please come to the podium and state: Your name and residence and the organization you represent, if desired. Please respect the time limits.

Members of the Public may place items on the Agenda by submitting a Written Request to the City Clerk or City Manager's Office at least six days prior to the City Council Meeting (by 2:00 p.m. the prior Tuesday). The request must include a brief general description of the business to be transacted or discussed at the meeting. Playing of video tapes or use of visual aids may be permitted during meetings if they are submitted to the City Clerk two (2) working days prior to the meeting and they do not exceed five (5) minutes in length.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact City Clerk, 524-2305. Notification 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable the City to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting.

REGULAR MEETING OF THE EL SEGUNDO CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, APRIL 21, 2015 – 4:30 PM

4:30 P.M. SESSION

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

PUBLIC COMMUNICATION – (Related to City Business Only – 5 minute limit per person, 30 minute limit total) Individuals who have received value of $50 or more to communicate to the City Council on behalf of another, and employees speaking on behalf of their employer, must so identify themselves prior to addressing the City Council. Failure to do so shall be a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine of $250.
SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS:

CLOSED SESSION:
The City Council may move into a closed session pursuant to applicable law, including the Brown Act (Government Code Section §54960, *et seq.*) for the purposes of conferring with the City's Real Property Negotiator; and/or conferring with the City Attorney on potential and/or existing litigation; and/or discussing matters covered under Government Code Section §54957 (Personnel); and/or conferring with the City's Labor Negotiators; as follows:

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION (Gov't Code §54956.9(d) (3): -3- matters

1. City of El Segundo vs. City of Los Angeles, et.al. LASC Case No. BS094279
2. Hooper vs. City of El Segundo, LASC Case No. BC 540995

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION

Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to Government Code §54956.9(d) (2) and (3): -2- matter.


DISCUSSION OF PERSONNEL MATTERS (Gov't Code §54957): -0- matter

APPOINTMENT OF PUBLIC EMPLOYEE (Gov't. Code § 54957): -0- matter

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT (Gov't Code § 54957) -0- matter
CONFERENCE WITH CITY'S LABOR NEGOTIATOR (Gov't Code §54957.6): -8-matters

1. **Employee Organizations:** Police Management Association; Police Officers Association; Police Support Services Employees Association; Fire Fighters Association; Supervisory and Professional Employees Association; City Employees Association; Executive Management Group (Unrepresented Group); Management/Confidential Group (Unrepresented Group)

   Agency Designated Representative: Steve Filarsky and City Manager

CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATOR (Gov't Code §54956.8): -0-matters
The City Council, with certain statutory exceptions, can only take action upon properly posted and listed agenda items. Any writings or documents given to a majority of the City Council regarding any matter on this agenda that the City received after issuing the agenda packet, are available for public inspection in the City Clerk’s office during normal business hours. Such Documents may also be posted on the City’s website at www.elsegundo.org and additional copies will be available at the City Council meeting.

Unless otherwise noted in the Agenda, the Public can only comment on City-related business that is within the jurisdiction of the City Council and/or items listed on the Agenda during the Public Communications portions of the Meeting. Additionally, the Public can comment on any Public Hearing item on the Agenda during the Public Hearing portion of such item. The time limit for comments is five (5) minutes per person.

Before speaking to the City Council, please come to the podium and state: Your name and residence and the organization you represent, if desired. Please respect the time limits.

Members of the Public may place items on the Agenda by submitting a Written Request to the City Clerk or City Manager’s Office at least six days prior to the City Council Meeting (by 2:00 p.m. the prior Tuesday). The request must include a brief general description of the business to be transacted or discussed at the meeting. Playing of video tapes or use of visual aids may be permitted during meetings if they aresubmitted to the City Clerk two (2) working days prior to the meeting and they do not exceed five (5) minutes in length.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact City Clerk, 524-2305. Notification 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable the City to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting.

REGULAR MEETING OF THE EL SEGUNDO CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, APRIL 21, 2015 - 7:00 P.M.

7:00 P.M. SESSION

CALL TO ORDER

INVOCATION – John Svendsen, Pastor, First Baptist Church

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – Council Member Atkinson
PRESENTATIONS

ROLL CALL

PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS – (Related to City Business Only – 5 minute limit per person, 30 minute limit total) Individuals who have received value of $50 or more to communicate to the City Council on behalf of another, and employees speaking on behalf of their employer, must so identify themselves prior to addressing the City Council. Failure to do so shall be a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine of $250. While all comments are welcome, the Brown Act does not allow Council to take action on any item not on the agenda. The Council will respond to comments after Public Communications is closed.

CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS – (Related to Public Communications)

A. PROCEDURAL MOTIONS

Consideration of a motion to read all ordinances and resolutions on the Agenda by title only.
Recommendation – Approval.

B. SPECIAL ORDERS OF BUSINESS (PUBLIC HEARING)

1. Consideration and possible action to open a public hearing and receive public testimony concerning adopting a resolution amending the Zone A preferential parking area and include the permit fee in accordance with the El Segundo Municipal Code (“ESMC”). Zone A will be amended to add both curb lines in the 1400 and 1500 blocks of East Maple Avenue, the 700 block of California Street, and the 700 block of Washington Street. If adopted, Zone A will include the west curb line of Washington Street between Oak Avenue to Walnut Avenue, both curb lines of Walnut Avenue between Washington Street and Center Street, both curb lines of Sycamore Avenue between Washington Street and California Street (excluding the south curb line directly adjacent to the public park), both curb lines of California Street between Acacia Avenue and Oak Avenue (excluding the east curb line directly adjacent to the public park), and both curb lines of Maple Avenue between California Street and Washington Street.
(Fiscal Impact: None)
Recommendation – 1) Open the Public Hearing; 2) Take public testimony; 3) Adopt a resolution amending Resolution No. 4890 to expand the Zone A preferential parking area and include the permit fee; 4) Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.
C. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

2. Consideration and possible action to receive and file this report regarding the severe drought facing California and Governor Brown's recent Executive Order and provide direction to staff on implementing additional actions to conserve water.
   (Fiscal Impact: None)
   Recommendation – 1) Receive the report on the severe drought facing California and Governor Brown's recent Executive Order; 2) Provide direction to staff on possible further action to address the drought and water consumption; 3) Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.

D. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES, COMMISSIONS AND BOARDS

E. CONSENT AGENDA

All items listed are to be adopted by one motion without discussion and passed unanimously. If a call for discussion of an item is made, the item(s) will be considered individually under the next heading of business.

3. Warrant Numbers 3005457 through 3005623 on Register No. 13 in the total amount of $814,852.35 and Wire Transfers from 3/16/2015 through 4/5/2015 in the total amount of $1,589,638.16.
   Recommendation – Approve Warrant Demand Register and authorize staff to release. Ratify Payroll and Employee Benefit checks; checks released early due to contracts or agreement; emergency disbursements and/or adjustments; and wire transfers.

4. Special City Council Meeting Minutes of March 31, 2015 and Regular City Council Meeting Minutes of April 7, 2015.
   Recommendation – Approval.

5. Consideration and possible action to adopt a resolution approving Plans and Specifications for the FY 14/15 and 15/16 Sidewalk, Curb and Gutter Replacement Project. Project No. PW 14-16.
   (Fiscal Impact: $500,000.00)
   Recommendation – 1) Adopt the resolution approving Plans and Specifications for the FY 14/15 and 15/16 Sidewalk, Curb and Gutter Replacement Project; 2) Authorize staff to advertise the project receipt of construction bids; 3) Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.
6. Consideration and possible action to award a standard Public Works Contract to Rojas Construction, for Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Project 601711-14, "Installation of American with Disabilities Act (ADA) Sidewalk Ramp Project." Project No. PW 14-09.
(Fiscal Impact: $48,000 in CDBG grant reimbursement funds)
Recommendation – 1) Authorize the City Manager to execute a standard Public Works Contract in a form approved by the City Attorney with Rojas Construction in the amount of $41,000; 2) Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.

7. Consideration and possible action to 1) award a standard Public Works Contract to Lucas Builders, Inc. for the Sewer Main Improvement project; 2) award a standard Public Works Professional Services Agreement to AKM Consulting Engineers for construction inspection services; 3) approve an additional appropriation of $21,120.00 from the General Fund for the new storm drain catch basin and storm drain inlet; and 4) approve an additional appropriation of $512,608.00 from Sewer Enterprise Fund for sewer main improvements. Project No. PW 13-24.
(Fiscal Impact: $1,533,728.00)
Recommendation – 1) Authorize the City Manager to execute a standard Public Works Contract, in a form approved by the City Attorney, with Lucas Builders, Inc. in the amount of $1,221,555.00 and approve an additional $183,233.00 for construction-related contingencies; 2) Authorize the City Manager to execute a standard Public Works Professional Services Agreement in a form as approved by the City Attorney with AKM Consulting Engineers in the amount of $118,940.00 for construction inspection and geotechnical (compaction) oversight and testing, and approve an additional $10,000.00 for related contingencies; 3) Approve an appropriation of $21,120 from General Fund for the new storm drain catch basin and storm drain inlet and an additional appropriation of $512,608.25 from Sewer Enterprise Fund for the project; 4) Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.

8. Consideration and possible action to adopt a Resolution approving Plans and Specifications for Water Main Improvement at Virginia, Oak and Bayonne, Project No. PW 15-08.
(Fiscal Impact: $950,000.00 budgeted)
Recommendation – 1) Adopt the attached Resolution approving Plans and Specifications for The Water Main Improvement Project at Virginia, Oak and Bayonne (Project No. PW 15-08); 2) Alternatively, discuss and take other possible action related to this item.
9. Consideration and possible action to approve and adopt South Bay Workforce Investment Board’s (SBWIB) proposed Amendment No. 7 to the Joint Powers Agreement No. 83-100 approving update to the South Bay Workforce Investment Board Joint Power Agreement to reflect SBWIB’s compliance with new laws.  
(Fiscal Impact: None)
Recommendation – 1) Staff recommends that the City Council approve and adopt the proposed Amendment No. 7 to the Joint Powers Agreement No. 83-100 as amended; 2) Authorize the Mayor to sign the Amendment No. 7 to the Joint Powers Agreement No. 83-100 as amended; 3) Alternatively, discuss and take other possible action related to this item.

10. Consideration and possible action to receive and file this report regarding emergency work to repair dwelling units at the Park Vista Senior Housing Facility due to water intrusion without the need for bidding in accordance with Public Contracts Code §§ 20168 and 22050 and El Segundo Municipal Code (“ESMC”) §§ 1-7-12 and 1-7A-4.  
(Fiscal Impact: $50,000.00)
Recommendation – 1) Receive and file this report regarding emergency work to repair dwelling units at the Park Vista Senior Housing Facility due to water intrusion without the need for bidding in accordance with Public Contracts Code §§ 20168 and 22050 and El Segundo Municipal Code (“ESMC”) §§ 1-7-12 and 1-7A-4; 2) Alternatively, discuss and take other possible action related to this item.

11. Consideration and possible action regarding the adoption of Ordinance No. 1505 for a Specific Plan Amendment for the Corporate Campus Specific Plan in the Corporate Campus Specific Plan Project area at 615-645 Douglas Street, 630 Parkview Drive, and 2275 Mariposa Avenue. Applicant: LAL Property, LLC.  
(Fiscal Impact: None)
Recommendation – 1) Waive second reading and adopt Ordinance No. 1505; 2) Alternatively, discuss and take other possible action related to this item.

12. Consideration and possible action regarding adopting of an ordinance to modify El Segundo Municipal Code (“ESMC”) Chapter 1-7C, to include "public projects" which will help facilitate the timely bidding, contracting, and completion of most public projects.  
(Fiscal Impact: $0)
Recommendation – 1) Waive second reading and adopt Ordinance No. 1506 amending ESMC Chapter 1-7C to extend the City’s election under the Uniform Public Construction Cost Accounting Act to all forms of “public projects” as defined in Public Contract Code § 22002(c); 2) Alternatively, discuss and take other possible action related to this item.
13. Consideration and possible action to authorize the City Manager to enter into an agreement in a form approved by the City Attorney with Magellan Advisors for a City owned fiber optic network feasibility study at cost not to exceed $35,000.
(Fiscal Impact: $35,000.00)
Recommendation – 1) Authorize the City Manager to enter into an agreement in a form approved by the City Attorney with Magellan Advisors for a City owned fiber optic network feasibility study at cost not to exceed $35,000; 2) Alternatively, discuss and take other possible action related to this item.

14. Consideration and possible action to amend ongoing professional services agreements with JAS and J. Lee Engineering for Building Safety Services.
(Fiscal Impact: None)
Recommendation – 1) Authorize the City Manager to amend professional services agreement with JAS, by increasing the amount by $75,000, for a total amount not-to-exceed $150,000, from funds previously allocated and approved for use by CSG consulting for plan check and inspection consulting services for the Building and Safety Division (General Fund); 2) Authorize the City Manager to amend professional services agreement with J. Lee Engineering, by increasing the contract amount by $150,000, for a total amount of not-to-exceed $350,000, to provide plan check and inspection consulting services for the Building and Safety Division from funds previously allocated and approved for use in the hiring of a Senior Plan Check Engineer (General Fund); 3) Alternatively, discuss and take other possible action related to this item.

F. NEW BUSINESS

G. REPORTS – CITY MANAGER

H. REPORTS – CITY ATTORNEY

I. REPORTS – CITY CLERK

J. REPORTS – CITY TREASURER

K. REPORTS – CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

Council Member Fellhauer –
Council Member Atkinson –

Council Member Dugan -

Mayor Pro Tem Jacobson –

15. Consideration and possible action to send a letter in support of SB 485 which would authorize the Sanitation District of Los Angeles County to manage storm water and dry weather urban runoff.  
(Fiscal Impact: $None) 
Recommendation – 1) Approve the letter; 2) Alternatively, discuss and take other possible action related to this item.

Mayor Fuentes –

PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS – (Related to City Business Only – 5 minute limit per person, 30 minute limit total) Individuals who have received value of $50 or more to communicate to the City Council on behalf of another, and employees speaking on behalf of their employer, must so identify themselves prior to addressing the City Council. Failure to do so shall be a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine of $250. While all comments are welcome, the Brown Act does not allow Council to take action on any item not on the agenda. The Council will respond to comments after Public Communications is closed.

MEMORIALS –

CLOSED SESSION

The City Council may move into a closed session pursuant to applicable law, including the Brown Act (Government Code Section §54960, et seq.) for the purposes of conferring with the City’s Real Property Negotiator; and/or conferring with the City Attorney on potential and/or existing litigation; and/or discussing matters covered under Government Code Section §54957 (Personnel); and/or conferring with the City’s Labor Negotiators.

REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION (if required)

ADJOURNMENT

POSTED:

DATE: 4.15.15

TIME: 12:55 pm

NAME: (Handwritten Signature)
AGENDA DESCRIPTION:
Consideration and possible action to open a public hearing and receive public testimony concerning adopting a resolution amending the Zone A preferential parking area and include the permit fee in accordance with the El Segundo Municipal Code ("ESMC"). Zone A will be amended to add both curb lines in the 1400 and 1500 blocks of East Maple Avenue, the 700 block of California Street, and the 700 block of Washington Street. If adopted, Zone A will include the west curb line of Washington Street between Oak Avenue to Walnut Avenue, both curb lines of Walnut Avenue between Washington Street and Center Street, both curb lines of Sycamore Avenue between Washington Street and California Street (excluding the south curb line directly adjacent to the public park), both curb lines of California Street between Acacia Avenue and Oak Avenue (excluding the east curb line directly adjacent to the public park), and both curb lines of Maple Avenue between California Street and Washington Street. (Fiscal Impact: none)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:
1. Open the public hearing;
2. Take public testimony;
3. Adopt a resolution amending Resolution No. 4890 to expand the Zone A preferential parking area and include the permit fee;
4. Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:
1. Resolution amending Resolution No. 4890 to expand the Zone A preferential parking area and include the parking permit fee;
2. Map of proposed permit parking area.

FISCAL IMPACT: Potential Budget Adjustment Required
Amount Budgeted: $2000.00
Additional Appropriation: No.
Account Number(s): 001-400-4101-5204

ORIGINATED BY: Brian Evanski, Captain
REVIEWED BY: Mitch Tavera, Chief of Police
APPROVED BY: Greg Carpenter, City Manager
BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:

Residential parking areas have historically experienced traffic and parking impacts caused by employees of nearby commercial facilities. The City Council has addressed this issue in the past. In 1985, the Council commissioned a Neighborhood Traffic Management Study that made many recommendations including restricted parking times and permit parking requirements. The study specifically identified certain streets including Acacia Avenue between Center Street and the easterly terminus; Washington Street between Walnut Avenue and Maple Avenue, Walnut Avenue between California Street and Washington Street, and California Street between Walnut Avenue and the alley north of Acacia Avenue. In the early 1990s, the demand for parking spaces decreased and the permit parking requirements were removed.

In 2012, following a survey by the El Segundo Police Department, it was determined that a permit parking program was desired by the residents of the Washington Plaza Home Owners Association and the program was again necessary.

On February 19, 2013, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 4808 which amended Resolution No. 3333 and re instituted the permit parking program on the west curb line of Washington Street from Maple Avenue to Walnut Avenue; and the south curb line of Walnut Avenue adjacent the Washington Plaza Home Owners Association property.

Since reinstituting the preferential parking program in 2013, additional complaints and petitions were filed with the City. As a result, the permit parking zone has been expanded several times, most recently on November 4, 2014. As a result of Resolution 4890, Zone A currently includes the west curb line of Washington Street from Maple Avenue to Walnut Avenue, both curb lines of Walnut Avenue from Washington Street to Center Street, both curb lines of Sycamore Avenue between Washington Street and California Street (excluding the south curb line directly adjacent to the public park), and the California Street from Maple Avenue to Acacia Ave (excluding the east curb line directly adjacent to the public park).

Recently, the City received additional independent petitions and requests to further expand the permit parking zone to include the 1400 and 1500 blocks of Maple Avenue, the 700 block of California Street, and the 700 block of Washington Street. The Department of Public Works verified the signatures on the petitions and the following percentages of residents favored the implementation of the parking permit program:

- 1400 and 1500 blocks of Maple Avenue - 57%
- 700 block of California Street – 78%
- 700 block of Washington Street – 55%

Based on these efforts, the need to expand the permit program is once again recommended to include the 1400 and 1500 blocks of Maple Avenue, the 700 block of California Street, and the 700 block of Washington Street.

RECOMMENDATION

Based upon receipt of the verified petitions, it is recommended that the City Council adopt a resolution to again expand the Zone 1 preferential parking area and include the parking permit
fee pursuant to the El Segundo Municipal Code. The parking permit for previous districts has been set at $0.00.
RESOLUTION NO. _____

A RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. 4890 REGARDING PREFERENTIAL PARKING AREAS WITHIN THE CITY WHERE PARKING PERMITS MAY BE USED IN ACCORDANCE WITH EL SEGUNDO MUNICIPAL CODE CHAPTER 8-5A.

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the City of El Segundo as follows:

SECTION 1: The City Council finds and declares as follows:

A. This Resolution is adopted in accordance with El Segundo Municipal Code ("ESMC") Chapter 8-5A for the purpose of amending Resolution No. 4890, adopted November 4, 2014 which establishes certain "preferential parking" areas;

B. This Resolution reviewed by the City's Planning and Building Safety Department for consistency with the circulation element of the General Plan and conformity with the El Segundo Municipal Code ("ESMC");

C. The City reviewed this Resolution's environmental impacts under the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code §§ 21000, et seq., "CEQA"), the regulations promulgated thereunder (14 Cal. Code of Regulations §§15000, et seq., the "CEQA Guidelines"), and the City's Environmental Guidelines (City Council Resolution No. 3805, adopted March 16, 1993);

D. On April 21, 2015, the City Council held a public hearing to receive public testimony and other evidence regarding, in part, this Resolution including, without limitation, information provided to the Council by the Police Department; and

E. This Resolution, and its findings, are made based upon the evidence presented to the Council at its April 21, 2015, hearing.

SECTION 2: Findings. Pursuant to ESMC § 8-5A-4, the City Council makes the following findings:

A. Regular Interference. That nonresident vehicles substantially and regularly interfere with the use of the majority of parking spaces within Zone 1 (as defined below). This is primarily attributable to the use of parking spaces by employees of neighboring businesses;

B. Regular Intervals. Such interference occurs on a daily basis within Zone 1,
particularly during work days;

C.  *Noise*. These nonresident vehicles significantly contribute to unreasonable noise; traffic hazards; and environmental pollution within Zone 1; and

D.  *Shortage Of Parking Spaces*. Residents within Zone 1 are unable to reasonably and conveniently utilize parking spaces that are adjacent to their dwelling units.

SECTION 3: *Creation of Zone 1 Preferential Parking Area*. Pursuant to ESMC § 8-5A-3, the City Council amends the Zone 1 Preferential Parking Area which is graphically depicted in attached Exhibit "A," which is incorporated by reference, and described as follows:

"ZONE 1. No parking between the hours of 10:00 A.M. and 11:00 A.M. and between 2:00 P.M. and 3:00 P.M., Monday through Friday, except by permit on the west curb line of Washington Street (from Oak Avenue to Walnut Avenue), both curb lines of Walnut Avenue (from Washington Street to Center Street), both curb lines of Sycamore Avenue (from Washington Street to California Street; excluding the south curb line directly adjacent to the public park), both curb lines of California Street (from Acacia Avenue to Oak Avenue; excluding the east curb line directly adjacent to the public park), and both curb lines of Maple Avenue (from Washington Street to California Street)."

SECTION 4: *Parking Restrictions*. Vehicles parking within Zone 1 must comply with ESMC Chapter 8-5A including, without limitation, ESMC § 8-5A-10. The Police Chief, or designee, is authorized to enforce this Resolution.

SECTION 5: *Permit Fees*. Pursuant to ESMC § 8-5A-7(A)(4), the City Council establishes a fee of $0.00 for obtaining a permit pursuant to this Resolution.

SECTION 6: *Environmental Assessment*. This Resolution is exempt from the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (Pub. Res. Code §§ 21000, *et seq*.; “CEQA”) and CEQA Guidelines (Cal. Code Regs. Title 14, §§ 15000, *et seq*.) in accordance with CEQA Guidelines § 15305 (Class 5 – Minor Alterations in Land Use Limitations). The project involves a negligible expansion of use; there is only a minor change in the operation of an existing use. The project would not result in significant effects related to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality and it can be adequately served by all required utilities and public services.

SECTION 7: *Notification*. The Public Works Director, or designee, is authorized to erect such street signs as are needed to properly notify the general public of the restrictions on parking in the preferential parking zone created through this Resolution.
SECTION 8: Supersession. Supersession of previous preferential parking zones does not affect any penalty, forfeiture, or liability incurred before, or preclude prosecution and imposition of penalties for any violation occurring before this Resolution's effective date. Any such superseded part will remain in full force and effect for sustaining action or prosecuting violations occurring before the effective date of this Resolution.

SECTION 9: Effective Date of this Resolution. This Resolution will become effective immediately upon adoption and will remain in effect unless repealed or superseded.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this ___ day of __________, 2015.

______________________________
Suzanne Fuentes, Mayor

ATTEST:

______________________________
Tracy Sherrill Weaver,
City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
MARK D. HENSLEY, City Attorney

By: ____________________________
Karl Berger,
Assistant City Attorney
AGENDA DESCRIPTION:
Consideration and possible action to receive this report regarding the severe drought facing California and Governor Brown's recent Executive Order and provide direction to staff on implementing additional actions to conserve water. (Fiscal Impact: None)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:
1. Receive this report the severe drought facing California and Governor Brown's recent Executive Order
2. Provide direction to staff on possible further action to address the drought and water consumption.
3. Alternatively, discuss and take other possible action related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:
Reservoir Conditions Report
El Segundo's 2014 Drought Notice
State of Emergency Proclamation
Executive Order
Home Water Report

FISCAL IMPACT: None
Amount Budgeted: $0
Additional Appropriation: N/A
Account Number(s): N/A

ORIGINATED BY: Stephanie Katsouleas, Public Works Director
REVIEWED BY: Stephanie Katsouleas, Public Works Director
APPROVED BY: Greg Carpenter, City Manager

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:

Statewide Actions
California is now facing its worst drought on record, with four years of record low rainfall, snow fall and reservoir storage. The Department of Water Resources (DWR), the agency responsible for monitoring and recording the state of California’s water supplies, has reported that this current four-year drought is the most severe on record, with only 5% of normal snowpack water content recorded on April 1st. The lowest previous reading was in 1977, where the snowpack water content was then at 25%. Snowpack represents about 1/3 of California’s water supply. California also recorded the lowest rainfall ever for this past month of January and reservoirs are down significantly over previous years (see photo below of Oroville Reservoir and Reservoir Conditions attachment).
To address the drought conditions, state officials have taken a series of progressive measures over the past year. These include:

- **July 15, 2014:** The State Water Resource Control Board issues a water conservation emergency regulation to severely limit outdoor water usage. Following the State Board’s actions, El Segundo created and posted a flier on its website reminding residents to conserve water wherever possible (see attachment). It also added a message to the bottom of water bills encouraging conservation.

- **January, 2015:** The Governor’s office declared a drought *State of Emergency* (proclamation) and directed state officials to take all necessary actions to prepare for water shortages.

- **March 17, 2015:** The State Board expanded its July, 2014 emergency regulation by adding additional prohibitions on outdoor water and commercial use. The State Board’s press release stated:

  "We are experiencing the lowest snowpack and the driest January in recorded history, and communities around the state are already suffering severely from the prior three years of drought," said State Water Board Chair Felicia Marcus. "If the drought continues through next winter and we do not conserve more -- the consequences could be even more catastrophic than they already are. Today’s action is just a tune-up and a reminder to act, and we will consider more significant actions in the weeks to come."

- **April 1, 2015:** Governor Jerry Brown signed an Executive Order to quickly and more aggressively address California’s severe and prolonged drought, with a goal of reducing consumption 25% statewide when compared to September, 2013 water use, and a call for *residents* to cut water usage by 20% (see additional comments below and attached Executive Order).

- **April 8, 2015:** State Board released draft measures to further conserve water and reach the 25% conservation goal set in the Executive Order. Final approval is expected on May 5, 2015 with implementation effective on June 1, 2015. Many of the state’s draft measures will address landscaping ordinances, protocols for water rights holders, agriculture, protecting groundwater supplies, efficiency of water appliances, capital infrastructure investments, new technologies, wildfire prevention, power plant usage, and streamlining government response/action. The State also set a goal to replace 50 million sqft of lawns and ornamental grass with drought tolerant landscapes, with grant funding
to be made available. Additional restrictions will apply to commercial, industrial and institutional sectors that are consistent with the residential sector, in particular cemeteries, golf courses, campuses, etc.

The State Board has indicated that the overall 25% reduction goal will not be applied evenly across the state, and that some cities will be required to conserve more than others. It indicated that cities whose residents have already achieved some level of conservation will be “credited” while those who have not will be required to conserve more. The State Board issued a tentative list showing expected conservation ranges for each municipality, which it tied to a residential consumption allocation of 55 gallons per capita per day (R-GPCD); see table below. El Segundo averaged 95.4 R-GPCD in September, 2014 and thus falls into the 20% residential conservation range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Residential GPCD</th>
<th>Conservation Standard</th>
<th>No. of Suppliers within Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 55</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55-110</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-165</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 165</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Combined, the State Board’s actions are designed to significantly reduce California’s water demand and encourage water conservation to the extent possible. The emergency declarations also give the City authority to fine property owners $500 per day for conservation violations. Furthermore, the State Board can issue cease and desist orders to water agencies that do not implement mandatory conservation measures requirements for their customers. Civil liabilities of up to $10,000 per day can be imposed by the State Board on cities that violate cease and desist orders.

**El Segundo Consumption and Conservation Actions**

Currently, El Segundo is one of the region’s most successful municipalities to conserve potable water, thanks in large part to the location of and partnership with the West Basin Municipal Water District Water Reclamation Facility and Chevron. In 2014, El Segundo consumed 8000 acre-ft of potable water and 9382 acre-ft of recycled water, revealing that over half of El Segundo’s water consumption (54%) is from local, *non-potable* sources. While this represents impressive potable water savings citywide, our residential consumption is still well beyond the target 55 R-GPCD allocated to meet indoor residential needs. In 2014, El Segundo residents consumed an average of 90 R-GPCD. This average combines multi-family complexes, which typically use about 50 R-GPCD, with single family homes, which use upwards of 140 R-GPCD due to added landscape watering needs.

City facilities use a combination of potable water (61 acre-ft. or 24.4%) and recycled water (189 acre-ft, or 75.6%) for buildings, parks, greenbelts and the golf course. The golf course represents the largest consumer of all city-owned facilities, using 66 acre-ft of recycled water and 24 acre-ft of potable water. The charts on the next page show the potable and recycled water consumption rates of all users in El Segundo.

In 2009, during the last significant drought, City Council adopted Ordinance No. 1433 adding Chapter 10-5: Water Conservation to the El Segundo Municipal Code. The chapter calls for implementing additional water policies and procedures when a drought occurs, and establishes water conservation requirements in the event of water shortage. Specific Water Shortage Contingency Measures (§10-5-22), authorize the City to impose significant restrictions in order
to comply with those restrictions imposed by federal, state and/or regional water agencies. Among other things, the measures allow the City to:

1. Require all major users to reduce their usage by the percentage determined by the City Manager, necessary to sustain adequate water supply for the City.
2. Impose additional water surcharges above and beyond the existing city water rates on all city water consumers who fail to abide by the requirements, restrictions and priorities set by the City.
3. Suspend all deliveries of water for construction or grading purposes.
4. Reduce or prohibit water use for landscape irrigation purposes.
5. Initiate or implement other innovative actions to conserve and/or increase water supply.

The Code also allows the City Manager to grant relief to users if it’s determined necessary to prevent an emergency or if the user has already demonstrated significant water savings that achieve the intent of the Code. Lastly, the Water Conservation Code provides that at least one written warning must be provided for the first violation prior to any other actions taken by the Code Enforcement Officer.

On the education front, El Segundo has placed water conservation messages on all water bills, run full page messages in the City’s quarterly recreational brochures and posted water conservation information on the website homepage.

Following is a summary of El Segundo’s current water conservation ordinance/measures compared to those called for in the Executive Order and State of Emergency declarations. In many cases, El Segundo’s measures either match or go beyond the State measures.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Mandate</th>
<th>ES MC</th>
<th>Prohibited Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Washing down your driveway and sidewalk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Over-watering your yard and landscaping, allowing excess water to run off</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Overspraying of landscaping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Watering during the daytime. Watering is limited to 15 minutes, between 5:00 p.m. – 9:00 a.m. unless certain irrigation devices are installed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Watering more than twice a week.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Washing any vehicle, equipment, machinery, building or structure unless the hose has a shut-off nozzle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Using water in a fountain or other decorative water feature unless it is outfitted with a recirculating pump</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Serving water to patrons unless specifically requested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Indiscriminately using running water which is wasteful and without reasonable purpose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Emptying pools and spas and refilling them, unless to address structural damage or comply with public health code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Using water for irrigation within 48 hours following measurable rainfall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Watering ornamental turf on medians with potable water</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other Water Conservation Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additional Actions Required by the State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Report on water use monthly (permanently)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report on compliance and enforcement activities (new)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notify customers of leaks, if discovered (staff does this)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impose restrictions on outdoor water use (many measures already in place)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Require drip irrigation or microspray for landscaping of all newly constructed homes (new)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop a rate structure to maximize water conservation (fees, penalties, surcharges) – (in place)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Code Modifications and Enhanced Programs**
The City will need to modify some of its water conservation measures to bring them into full compliance with those called for by the state. These include:

- **Eliminate all washing/hosing down of sidewalks and driveways.** Currently our code allows it if water does not reach the storm drain.
- **Limit watering to two (2) days per week.** Currently there are no restrictions on how many days residents can water their lawns. Two specific days should be chosen to allow for proper education and enforcement. Staff recommends Monday and Thursday.
- **Prohibit landscape watering within 48 hours before or after predicted rainfall.** The Code only restricts watering during rainfall.
- **Prohibit watering of landscape medians with ornamental turf unless using recycled water.** This can easily be implemented by a change in the City's own policy.
- **Revise the building code to allow only drip irrigation or microspray for landscaping of newly constructed homes.** Our code does not currently have this requirement.

The City should also enhance its outreach programs to target high users with specific conservation messages based on their water consumption habits. We can accomplish this by evaluating water bills and utilizing tailored informational outreach like the Home Water Report (see attached sample), which incorporates individual housing and landscape data into the City's outreach materials. Additionally, we can do more to promote native landscaping and turf replacement programs through our website, ESTV, community brochures, counters and website. We can also promote brown as the new green in landscaping. Lastly, we can investigate, expand and promote recycled water use wherever practical through our partnership with West Basin.

In summary, Staff recommends that City Council direct staff to prepare an ordinance incorporating the five proposed modifications listed above to the El Segundo Municipal Code and support enhanced outreach efforts. Staff will evaluate the funding needed and return with specific outreach objectives following the State Board's final approval of additional conservation measures (expected on May 5, 2015).
On July 15, 2014, the California State Water Resources Control Board adopted emergency regulations severely limiting outdoor water use in all communities throughout the state, irrespective of individual circumstances. Mandatory restrictions are now in effect.

"We are facing the worst drought impact that we or our grandparents have ever seen," said State Water Board Chair Felicia Marcus. "And, more important, we have no idea when it will end.

Additionally, the City of El Segundo’s water conservation ordinance has been in effect since January 1, 2010. Combined, the state mandate and city ordinance lay out a variety of water conservation actions that must be taken to limit water consumption to the maximum extent possible.

Here’s what you need to know...

- Do not wash down your driveway and sidewalk.
- Do not over water your yard and landscaping, allowing excess water to run off. Overspray of landscaping is prohibited.
- Limit outdoor watering to twice a week. Lawns CANNOT be watered between 9:00 a.m.—5:00 p.m. unless the watering is controlled via sensor, by drip irrigation or performed by hand.
- Limit watering to no more than 15 minutes.
- Do not wash any vehicle, equipment, machinery, building or structure at home unless your hose has a shut-off nozzle. Better yet take your car to a car wash that recycles its water.
- Do not use water in a fountain or other decorative water feature unless it is outfitted with a recirculating pump.
- Restaurants must not serve water to patrons unless specifically requested.
- Indiscriminate use of running water which is wasteful and without reasonable purpose is unlawful.

We don’t know how long this drought will last. Let’s all do our part to conserve California’s most precious resource!
A PROCLAMATION OF A STATE OF EMERGENCY (January 2014)

WHEREAS the State of California is experiencing record dry conditions, with 2014 projected to become the driest year on record; and

WHEREAS the state’s water supplies have dipped to alarming levels, indicated by: snowpack in California’s mountains is approximately 20 percent of the normal average for this date; California’s largest water reservoirs have very low water levels for this time of year; California’s major river systems, including the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers, have significantly reduced surface water flows; and groundwater levels throughout the state have dropped significantly; and

WHEREAS dry conditions and lack of precipitation present urgent problems: drinking water supplies are at risk in many California communities; fewer crops can be cultivated and farmers’ long-term investments are put at risk; low-income communities heavily dependent on agricultural employment will suffer heightened unemployment and economic hardship; animals and plants that rely on California’s rivers, including many species in danger of extinction, will be threatened; and the risk of wildfires across the state is greatly increased; and

WHEREAS extremely dry conditions have persisted since 2012 and may continue beyond this year and more regularly into the future, based on scientific projections regarding the impact of climate change on California’s snowpack; and

WHEREAS the magnitude of the severe drought conditions presents threats beyond the control of the services, personnel, equipment and facilities of any single local government and require the combined forces of a mutual aid region or regions to combat; and

WHEREAS under the provisions of section 8558(b) of the California Government Code, I find that conditions of extreme peril to the safety of persons and property exist in California due to water shortage and drought conditions with which local authority is unable to cope.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Governor of the State of California, in accordance with the authority vested in me by the state Constitution and statutes, including the California Emergency Services Act, and in particular, section 8625 of the California Government Code HEREBY PROCLAIM A STATE OF EMERGENCY to exist in the State of California due to current drought conditions.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. State agencies, led by the Department of Water Resources, will execute a statewide water conservation campaign to make all Californians aware of the drought and encourage personal actions to reduce water usage. This campaign will be built on the existing Save Our Water campaign (www.saveourh2o.org) and will coordinate with local water agencies. This campaign will call on Californians to reduce their water usage by 20 percent.

2. Local urban water suppliers and municipalities are called upon to implement their local water shortage contingency plans immediately in order to avoid or forestall outright restrictions that could become necessary later in the drought season. Local water agencies should also update their legally required urban and agricultural water management plans, which help plan for
extended drought conditions. The Department of Water Resources will make the status of these updates publicly available.

3. State agencies, led by the Department of General Services, will immediately implement water use reduction plans for all state facilities. These plans will include immediate water conservation actions, and a moratorium will be placed on new, non-essential landscaping projects at state facilities and on state highways and roads.

4. The Department of Water Resources and the State Water Resources Control Board (Water Board) will expedite the processing of water transfers, as called for in Executive Order B-21-13. Voluntary water transfers from one water right holder to another enables water to flow where it is needed most.

5. The Water Board will immediately consider petitions requesting consolidation of the places of use of the State Water Project and Federal Central Valley Project, which would streamline water transfers and exchanges between water users within the areas of these two major water projects.

6. The Department of Water Resources and the Water Board will accelerate funding for water supply enhancement projects that can break ground this year and will explore if any existing unspent funds can be repurposed to enable near-term water conservation projects.

7. The Water Board will put water right holders throughout the state on notice that they may be directed to cease or reduce water diversions based on water shortages.

8. The Water Board will consider modifying requirements for reservoir releases or diversion limitations, where existing requirements were established to implement a water quality control plan. These changes would enable water to be conserved upstream later in the year to protect cold water pools for salmon and steelhead, maintain water supply, and improve water quality.

9. The Department of Water Resources and the Water Board will take actions necessary to make water immediately available, and, for purposes of carrying out directives 5 and 8, Water Code section 13247 and Division 13 (commencing with section 21000) of the Public Resources Code and regulations adopted pursuant to that Division are suspended on the basis that strict compliance with them will prevent, hinder, or delay the mitigation of the effects of the emergency. Department of Water Resources and the Water Board shall maintain on their websites a list of the activities or approvals for which these provisions are suspended.

10. The state’s Drinking Water Program will work with local agencies to identify communities that may run out of drinking water, and will provide technical and financial assistance to help these communities address drinking water shortages. It will also identify emergency interconnections that exist among the state’s public water systems that can help these threatened communities.

11. The Department of Water Resources will evaluate changing groundwater levels, land subsidence, and agricultural land fallowing as the drought persists and will provide a public update by April 30 that identifies groundwater basins with water shortages and details gaps in groundwater monitoring.
12. The Department of Water Resources will work with counties to help ensure that well drillers submit required groundwater well logs for newly constructed and deepened wells in a timely manner and the Office of Emergency Services will work with local authorities to enable early notice of areas experiencing problems with residential groundwater sources.

13. The California Department of Food and Agriculture will launch a one-stop website (www.cdfa.ca.gov/drought) that provides timely updates on the drought and connects farmers to state and federal programs that they can access during the drought.

14. The Department of Fish and Wildlife will evaluate and manage the changing impacts of drought on threatened and endangered species and species of special concern, and develop contingency plans for state Wildlife Areas and Ecological Reserves to manage reduced water resources in the public interest.

15. The Department of Fish and Wildlife will work with the Fish and Game Commission, using the best available science, to determine whether restricting fishing in certain areas will become necessary and prudent as drought conditions persist.

16. The Department of Water Resources will take necessary actions to protect water quality and water supply in the Delta, including installation of temporary barriers or temporary water supply connections as needed, and will coordinate with the Department of Fish and Wildlife to minimize impacts to affected aquatic species.

17. The Department of Water Resources will refine its seasonal climate forecasting and drought prediction by advancing new methodologies piloted in 2013.

18. The California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection will hire additional seasonal firefighters to suppress wildfires and take other needed actions to protect public safety during this time of elevated fire risk.

19. The state’s Drought Task Force will immediately develop a plan that can be executed as needed to provide emergency food supplies, financial assistance, and unemployment services in communities that suffer high levels of unemployment from the drought.

20. The Drought Task Force will monitor drought impacts on a daily basis and will advise me of subsequent actions that should be taken if drought conditions worsen.

I FURTHER DIRECT that as soon as hereafter possible, this Proclamation be filed in the Office of the Secretary of State and that widespread publicity and notice be given of this Proclamation.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and caused the Great Seal of the State of California to be affixed this 17th day of January, 2014.
EXECUTIVE ORDER B-29-15

WHEREAS on January 17, 2014, I proclaimed a State of Emergency to exist throughout the State of California due to severe drought conditions; and

WHEREAS on April 25, 2014, I proclaimed a Continued State of Emergency to exist throughout the State of California due to the ongoing drought; and

WHEREAS California’s water supplies continue to be severely depleted despite a limited amount of rain and snowfall this winter, with record low snowpack in the Sierra Nevada mountains, decreased water levels in most of California’s reservoirs, reduced flows in the state’s rivers and shrinking supplies in underground water basins; and

WHEREAS the severe drought conditions continue to present urgent challenges including: drinking water shortages in communities across the state, diminished water for agricultural production, degraded habitat for many fish and wildlife species, increased wildfire risk, and the threat of saltwater contamination to fresh water supplies in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Bay Delta; and

WHEREAS a distinct possibility exists that the current drought will stretch into a fifth straight year in 2016 and beyond; and

WHEREAS new expedited actions are needed to reduce the harmful impacts from water shortages and other impacts of the drought; and

WHEREAS the magnitude of the severe drought conditions continues to present threats beyond the control of the services, personnel, equipment, and facilities of any single local government and require the combined forces of a mutual aid region or regions to combat; and

WHEREAS under the provisions of section 8558(b) of the Government Code, I find that conditions of extreme peril to the safety of persons and property continue to exist in California due to water shortage and drought conditions with which local authority is unable to cope; and

WHEREAS under the provisions of section 8571 of the California Government Code, I find that strict compliance with various statutes and regulations specified in this order would prevent, hinder, or delay the mitigation of the effects of the drought.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Governor of the State of California, in accordance with the authority vested in me by the Constitution and statutes of the State of California, in particular Government Code sections 8567 and 8571 of the California Government Code, do hereby issue this Executive Order, effective immediately.
IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The orders and provisions contained in my January 17, 2014 Proclamation, my April 25, 2014 Proclamation, and Executive Orders B-28-14 and B-28-14 remain in full force and effect except as modified herein.

SAVE WATER

2. The State Water Resources Control Board (Water Board) shall impose restrictions to achieve a statewide 25% reduction in potable urban water usage through February 28, 2016. These restrictions will require water suppliers to California’s cities and towns to reduce usage as compared to the amount used in 2013. These restrictions should consider the relative per capita water usage of each water suppliers’ service area, and require that those areas with high per capita use achieve proportionally greater reductions than those with low use. The California Public Utilities Commission is requested to take similar action with respect to investor-owned utilities providing water services.

3. The Department of Water Resources (the Department) shall lead a statewide initiative, in partnership with local agencies, to collectively replace 50 million square feet of lawns and ornamental turf with drought tolerant landscapes. The Department shall provide funding to allow for lawn replacement programs in underserved communities, which will complement local programs already underway across the state.

4. The California Energy Commission, jointly with the Department and the Water Board, shall implement a time-limited statewide appliance rebate program to provide monetary incentives for the replacement of inefficient household devices.

5. The Water Board shall impose restrictions to require that commercial, industrial, and institutional properties, such as campuses, golf courses, and cemeteries, immediately implement water efficiency measures to reduce potable water usage in an amount consistent with the reduction targets mandated by Directive 2 of this Executive Order.

6. The Water Board shall prohibit irrigation with potable water of ornamental turf on public street medians.

7. The Water Board shall prohibit irrigation with potable water outside of newly constructed homes and buildings that is not delivered by drip or microspray systems.
8. The Water Board shall direct urban water suppliers to develop rate structures and other pricing mechanisms, including but not limited to surcharges, fees, and penalties, to maximize water conservation consistent with statewide water restrictions. The Water Board is directed to adopt emergency regulations, as it deems necessary, pursuant to Water Code section 1068.5 to implement this directive. The Water Board is further directed to work with state agencies and water suppliers to identify mechanisms that would encourage and facilitate the adoption of rate structures and other pricing mechanisms that promote water conservation. The California Public Utilities Commission is requested to take similar action with respect to investor-owned utilities providing water services.

INCREASE ENFORCEMENT AGAINST WATER WASTE

9. The Water Board shall require urban water suppliers to provide monthly information on water usage, conservation, and enforcement on a permanent basis.

10. The Water Board shall require frequent reporting of water diversion and use by water right holders, conduct inspections to determine whether illegal diversions or wasteful and unreasonable use of water are occurring, and bring enforcement actions against illegal diverters and those engaging in the wasteful and unreasonable use of water. Pursuant to Government Code sections 8570 and 8627, the Water Board is granted authority to inspect property or diversion facilities to ascertain compliance with water rights laws and regulations where there is cause to believe such laws and regulations have been violated. When access is not granted by a property owner, the Water Board may obtain an inspection warrant pursuant to the procedures set forth in Title 13 (commencing with section 1922.50) of Part 3 of the Code of Civil Procedure for the purposes of conducting an inspection pursuant to this directive.

11. The Department shall update the State Model Water Efficient Landscape Ordinance through expedited regulation. This updated Ordinance shall increase water efficiency standards for new and existing landscapes through more efficient irrigation systems, greywater usage, onsite storm water capture, and by limiting the portion of landscapes that can be covered in turf. It will also require reporting on the implementation and enforcement of local ordinances, with required reports due by December 31, 2015. The Department shall provide information on local compliance to the Water Board, which shall consider adopting regulations or taking appropriate enforcement actions to promote compliance. The Department shall provide technical assistance and give priority in granting funding to public agencies for actions necessary to comply with local ordinances.

12. Agricultural water suppliers that supply water to more than 25,000 acres shall include in their required 2015 Agricultural Water Management Plans a detailed drought management plan that describes the actions and measures the supplier will take to manage water demand during drought. The Department shall require those plans to include quantification of water supplies and demands for 2013, 2014, and 2015 to the extent data is available. The Department will provide technical assistance to water suppliers in preparing the plans.
13. Agricultural water suppliers that supply water to 10,000 to 25,000 acres of irrigated lands shall develop Agricultural Water Management Plans and submit the plans to the Department by July 1, 2016. These plans shall include a detailed drought management plan and quantification of water supplies and demands in 2013, 2014, and 2015, to the extent that data is available. The Department shall give priority in grant funding to agricultural water suppliers that supply water to 10,000 to 25,000 acres of land for development and implementation of Agricultural Water Management Plans.

14. The Department shall report to Water Board on the status of the Agricultural Water Management Plan submittals within one month of receipt of those reports.

15. Local water agencies in high and medium priority groundwater basins shall immediately implement all requirements of the California Statewide Groundwater Elevation Monitoring Program pursuant to Water Code section 10933. The Department shall refer noncompliant local water agencies within high and medium priority groundwater basins to the Water Board by December 31, 2016, which shall consider adopting regulations or taking appropriate enforcement to promote compliance.

16. The California Energy Commission shall adopt emergency regulations establishing standards that improve the efficiency of water appliances, including toilets, urinals, and faucets available for sale and installation in new and existing buildings.

INVEST IN NEW TECHNOLOGIES

17. The California Energy Commission, jointly with the Department and the Water Board, shall implement a Water Energy Technology (WET) program to deploy innovative water management technologies for businesses, residents, industries, and agriculture. This program will achieve water and energy savings and greenhouse gas reductions by accelerating use of cutting-edge technologies such as renewable energy-powered desalination, integrated on-site reuse systems, water-use monitoring software, irrigation system timing and precision technology, and on-farm precision technology.

STREAMLINE GOVERNMENT RESPONSE

18. The Office of Emergency Services and the Department of Housing and Community Development shall work jointly with counties to provide temporary assistance for persons moving from housing units due to a lack of potable water who are served by a private well or water utility with less than 15 connections, and where all reasonable attempts to find a potable water source have been exhausted.

19. State permitting agencies shall prioritize review and approval of water infrastructure projects and programs that increase local water supplies, including water recycling facilities, reservoir improvement projects, surface water treatment plants, desalination plants, stormwater capture, and greywater systems. Agencies shall report to the Governor’s Office on applications that have been pending for longer than 90 days.
20. The Department shall take actions required to plan and, if necessary, implement Emergency Drought Salinity Barriers in coordination and consultation with the Water Board and the Department of Fish and Wildlife at locations within the Sacramento - San Joaquin delta estuary. These barriers will be designed to conserve water for use later in the year to meet state and federal Endangered Species Act requirements, preserve to the extent possible water quality in the Delta, and retain water supply for essential human health and safety uses in 2015 and in the future.

21. The Water Board and the Department of Fish and Wildlife shall immediately consider any necessary regulatory approvals for the purpose of installation of the Emergency Drought Salinity Barriers.

22. The Department shall immediately consider voluntary crop idling water transfer and water exchange proposals of one year or less in duration that are initiated by local public agencies and approved in 2015 by the Department subject to the criteria set forth in Water Code section 1810.

23. The Water Board will prioritize new and amended safe drinking water permits that enhance water supply and reliability for community water systems facing water shortages or that expand service connections to include existing residences facing water shortages. As the Department of Public Health’s drinking water program was transferred to the Water Board, any reference to the Department of Public Health in any prior Proclamation or Executive Order listed in Paragraph 1 is deemed to refer to the Water Board.

24. The California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection shall launch a public information campaign to educate the public on actions they can take to help to prevent wildfires including the proper treatment of dead and dying trees. Pursuant to Government Code section 8645, $1.2 million from the State Responsibility Area Fire Prevention Fund (Fund 3063) shall be allocated to the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection to carry out this directive.

25. The Energy Commission shall expedite the processing of all applications or petitions for amendments to power plant certifications issued by the Energy Commission for the purpose of securing alternate water supply necessary for continued power plant operation. Title 20, section 1759 of the California Code of Regulations is hereby waived for any such petition, and the Energy Commission is authorized to create and implement an alternative process to consider such petitions. This process may delegate amendment approval authority, as appropriate, to the Energy Commission Executive Director. The Energy Commission shall give timely notice to all relevant local, regional, and state agencies of any petition subject to this directive, and shall post on its website any such petition.
26. For purposes of carrying out directives 2–9, 11, 16–17, 20–23, and 25, Division 13 (commencing with section 21000) of the Public Resources Code and regulations adopted pursuant to that Division are hereby suspended. This suspension applies to any actions taken by state agencies, and for actions taken by local agencies where the state agency with primary responsibility for implementing the directive concurs that local action is required, as well as for any necessary permits or approvals required to complete these actions. This suspension, and those specified in paragraph 9 of the January 17, 2014 Proclamation, paragraph 19 of the April 28, 2014 proclamation, and paragraph 4 of Executive Order B-28-14, shall remain in effect until May 31, 2016. Drought relief actions taken pursuant to these paragraphs that are started prior to May 31, 2016, but not completed, shall not be subject to Division 13 (commencing with section 21000) of the Public Resources Code for the time required to complete them.

27. For purposes of carrying out directives 20 and 21, section 13247 and Chapter 3 of Part 3 (commencing with section 85225) of the Water Code are suspended.

28. For actions called for in this proclamation in directive 20, the Department shall exercise any authority vested in the Central Valley Flood Protection Board, as codified in Water Code section 8521, et seq., that is necessary to enable these urgent actions to be taken more quickly than otherwise possible. The Director of the Department of Water Resources is specifically authorized, on behalf of the State of California, to request that the Secretary of the Army, on the recommendation of the Chief of Engineers of the Army Corps of Engineers, grant any permission required pursuant to section 14 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 and codified in section 48 of title 33 of the United States Code.

29. The Department is directed to enter into agreements with landowners for the purposes of planning and installation of the Emergency Drought Barriers in 2015 to the extent necessary to accommodate access to barrier locations, land-side and water-side construction, and materials staging in proximity to barrier locations. Where the Department is unable to reach an agreement with landowners, the Department may exercise the full authority of Government Code section 8572.

30. For purposes of this Executive Order, chapter 3.5 (commencing with section 11340) of part 1 of division 3 of the Government Code and chapter 5 (commencing with section 25400) of division 15 of the Public Resources Code are suspended for the development and adoption of regulations or guidelines needed to carry out the provisions in this Order. Any entity issuing regulations or guidelines pursuant to this directive shall conduct a public meeting on the regulations and guidelines prior to adopting them.
31. In order to ensure that equipment and services necessary for drought response can be procured quickly, the provisions of the Government Code and the Public Contract Code applicable to state contracts, including, but not limited to, advertising and competitive bidding requirements, are hereby suspended for directives 17, 20, and 24. Approval by the Department of Finance is required prior to the execution of any contract entered into pursuant to these directives.

This Executive Order is not intended to, and does not, create any rights or benefits, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law or in equity, against the State of California, its agencies, departments, entities, officers, employees, or any other person.

I FURTHER DIRECT that as soon as hereafter possible, this Order be filed in the Office of the Secretary of State and that widespread publicity and notice be given to this Order.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and caused the Great Seal of the State of California to be affixed this 1st day of April 2015.

EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
Governor of California

ATTEST:

ALEX PADILLA
Secretary of State
Your WaterScore
AUG 1 to SEP 30, 2015

Nice work, WaterSaver.
Take action to save even more.

Gallons Per Day (GPD)
14 CCF = 250 GPD

Efficient Neighbors: 135 GPD
You: 174 GPD
Average Neighbors: 198 GPD

Register online. It works!
"I was alerted to a possible leak. We were trying to be more efficient but each month showed we were using more water...It’s eye opening!"
-Lisa P., resident and user of citywater.com

How much you could be saving
If you took the actions below, you could reduce your use by 83 GPD. That’s $368 per year in potential savings.

Log On
Take the guesswork out of saving water. See:
- Where you’re using the most
- All actions relevant to you
- Step-by-step tips and rebates

Potential savings if you:
- Install a faucet aerator: 12 gallons per day, $72 per year
- Fill up the clothes washer: 9 gallons per day, $55 per year
- Change grass to native plants: 62 gallons per day, $241 per year

citywater.com
Registration Code: XYZXYZ
Zip Code: 98765

A free service offered by your water utility and powered by WaterSmart Software
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FUND DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>BUDGET AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GENERAL FUND</td>
<td>420,150.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAFFIC SAFETY FUND</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE GAS TAX FUND</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSOCIATED RESCRPTN ACTIVITIES FUND</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSET FORFEITURE FUND</td>
<td>792.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMM. DEVEL. BLOCK GRANT</td>
<td>1,725.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROP &quot;A&quot; TRANSPORTATION</td>
<td>457.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROP &quot;C&quot; TRANSPORTATION</td>
<td>37.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIR QUALITY INVEST. PROGRAM</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME BOUND INSTALL. FUND</td>
<td>260,486.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYPERSON MITIGATION FUND</td>
<td>412.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDA ARTICLE 3 - SB 621 BIKEWAY FUND</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA GRANT</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEMA</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.O.P.S. FUND</td>
<td>27.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.A.W.A. FUND</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASSESSMENT DISTRICT #73</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND</td>
<td>6,754.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INFRASTRUCTURE REPLACEMENT FUND</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACILITIES MAINTENANCE</td>
<td>4,048.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WATER UTILITY FUND</td>
<td>21,271.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WASTEWATER FUND</td>
<td>40,711.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOLF COURSE FUND</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIABILITY INSURANCE</td>
<td>5,540.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WORKERS COMP. RESERVE/INSURANCE</td>
<td>416.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RETIRED EMP. INSURANCE</td>
<td>6,430.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXPENDABLE TRUST FUND - DEVELOPT. FEES</td>
<td>844.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXPENDABLE TRUST FUND - OTHER</td>
<td>18,129.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUTSIDE SERVICES TRUST</td>
<td>7,819.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL WARRANTS</td>
<td>814,852.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
Information on actual expenditures is available in the Director of Finance's office in the City of El Segundo.

I certify as to the accuracy of the Demands and the availability of fund for payment thereof.

For Approval: Regular checks held for City council authorization to release.

CODES:
- R = Computer generated checks for all non-emergency/urgent payments for materials, supplies and services in support of City Operations
- A = Payroll and Employee Benefit checks
- B = Computer generated Early Release disbursements and/or adjustments approved by the City Manager. Such as: payments for utility services, petty cash and employee travel expense reimbursements, various refunds, contract employee services consistent with current contractual agreements, instances where prompt payment discounts can be obtained or late payment penalties can be avoided or when a situation arises that the City Manager approves.
- H = Handwritten Early Release disbursements and/or adjustments approved by the City Manager.

FINANCE DIRECTOR: [Signature]
CITY MANAGER: [Signature]

DATE: 4-9-15

VOID CHECKS DUE TO ALIGNMENT: N/A
VOID CHECKS DUE TO INCORRECT CHECK DATE: 
VOID CHECKS DUE TO COMPUTER SOFTWARE ERROR: 
NOTES: [Signature]
# Payments by Wire Transfer
3/16/15 through 4/5/15

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Payee</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3/16/2015</td>
<td>IRS</td>
<td>248,933.83</td>
<td>Federal 941 Deposit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/16/2015</td>
<td>Employment Development</td>
<td>3,702.65</td>
<td>State SDI payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/16/2015</td>
<td>Employment Development</td>
<td>52,095.17</td>
<td>State PIT Withholding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/18/2015</td>
<td>Cal Pers</td>
<td>102,257.21</td>
<td>EFT Retirement Misc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/18/2015</td>
<td>Cal Pers</td>
<td>269,274.58</td>
<td>EFT Retirement Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/18/2015</td>
<td>Cal Pers</td>
<td>1,488.80</td>
<td>EFT Retirement Safety-Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/18/2015</td>
<td>Cal Pers</td>
<td>9,760.59</td>
<td>EFT Retirement Misc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/19/2015</td>
<td>Lane Donovan Golf Pte</td>
<td>20,112.33</td>
<td>Payroll Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/20/2015</td>
<td>Health Comp</td>
<td>1,898.33</td>
<td>Weekly claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27/2015</td>
<td>Manufacturers &amp; Traders</td>
<td>25,379.96</td>
<td>457 payment Vantagepoint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27/2015</td>
<td>Manufacturers &amp; Traders</td>
<td>477.31</td>
<td>IRA payment Vantagepoint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27/2015</td>
<td>Manufacturers &amp; Traders</td>
<td>5,356.55</td>
<td>401 payment Vantagepoint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27/2015</td>
<td>US Bank - Trust Acct</td>
<td>6,566.50</td>
<td>PARS payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27/2015</td>
<td>South Bay Credit Union</td>
<td>12,180.38</td>
<td>Payroll credit union deduction pmt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27/2015</td>
<td>Nationwide NRS EFT</td>
<td>39,433.82</td>
<td>EFT 457 payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27/2015</td>
<td>Nationwide NRS EFT</td>
<td>1,530.59</td>
<td>EFT 401a payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27/2015</td>
<td>State of CA EFT</td>
<td>1,229.14</td>
<td>EFT Child support payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/27/2015</td>
<td>Health Comp</td>
<td>1,071.55</td>
<td>Weekly claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/30/2015</td>
<td>IRS</td>
<td>236,970.56</td>
<td>Federal 941 Deposit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/30/2015</td>
<td>Employment Development</td>
<td>3,257.03</td>
<td>State SDI payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/30/2015</td>
<td>Employment Development</td>
<td>48,834.26</td>
<td>State PIT Withholding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/31/2015</td>
<td>Unum</td>
<td>208.70</td>
<td>Long Term Care Premium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/2/2015</td>
<td>Cal Pers</td>
<td>101,679.25</td>
<td>EFT Retirement Misc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/2/2015</td>
<td>Cal Pers</td>
<td>283,656.97</td>
<td>EFT Retirement Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/2/2015</td>
<td>Cal Pers</td>
<td>1,563.19</td>
<td>EFT Retirement Safety-Police</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/2/2015</td>
<td>Cal Pers</td>
<td>9,670.66</td>
<td>EFT Retirement Misc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/2/2015</td>
<td>Lane Donovan Golf Pte</td>
<td>23,590.24</td>
<td>Payroll Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/3/2015</td>
<td>Health Comp</td>
<td>1,467.78</td>
<td>Weekly claims</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/14/15-3/20/15</td>
<td>Workers Comp Activity</td>
<td>27,446.27</td>
<td>SCRMA checks issued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/21/15-3/27/15</td>
<td>Workers Comp Activity</td>
<td>27,435.80</td>
<td>SCRMA checks issued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/1/15-4/3/15</td>
<td>Workers Comp Activity</td>
<td>21,108.16</td>
<td>SCRMA checks issued</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Payments by Wire:** 1,589,638.16

DATE OF RATIFICATION: 4/6/15

TOTAL PAYMENTS BY WIRE: 1,589,638.16

Certified as to the accuracy of the wire transfers by:

Deputy City Treasurer II  
Date  4/6/15

Director of Finance  
Date  4/9/15

City Manager  
Date  4-9-15

Information on actual expenditures is available in the City Treasurer's Office of the City of El Segundo.
SPECIAL MEETING OF THE EL SEGUNDO CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, MARCH 31, 2015 – 7:00 P.M.

7:00 P.M. SESSION

CALL TO ORDER – Mayor Fuentes at 7:00 PM

INVOCATION – Mona Shilling, Acting Deputy City Clerk II

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – Council Member Dugan

ROLL CALL

Mayor Fuentes - Present
Mayor Pro Tem Jacobson - Present
Council Member Atkinson - Present
Council Member Fellhauer - Present
Council Member Dugan - Present

PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS – (Related to City Business Only – 5 minute limit per person, 30 minute limit total) Individuals who have received value of $50 or more to communicate to the City Council on behalf of another, and employees speaking on behalf of their employer, must so identify themselves prior to addressing the City Council. Failure to do so shall be a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine of $250. While all comments are welcome, the Brown Act does not allow Council to take action on any item not on the agenda. The Council will respond to comments after Public Communications is closed.

Louis Merow, 1225 E. Maple Street, commented on letter received from the RSI Program Office

Daniel Bassie, 622 Arena Street, commented on letter received from the RSI Program Office

Greg Glastetter, 308 W. Oak Ave., commented on letter received from the RSI Program Office

Nancy A. Palmer, 641 Bungalow Ave., commented on letter received from the RSI Program Office

Robin Wood, 514 E. Oak Ave., commented on letter received from the RSI Program Office

Jennifer Gardner, 123 E. Oak Ave., commented on letter received from the RSI Program Office

Lucielle De Ring, 526 Lomita Street, commented on letter received from the RSI Program Office

? Ashtiani, 1507 E. Sycamore Ave., commented on letter received from the RSI Program Office

?, 637 Hillcrest Street, commented on letter received from the RSI Program Office

Matt Ashtiani, 1507 E. Sycamore Ave., commented on letter received from the RSI Program Office

Melissa McCaffrey, 113 E Oak Avenue, commented on letter received from the RSI Program Office
Sylvia Hickey, 619 Lomita Street, commented on letter received from the RSI Program Office

Ilene Bell, 547 Bungalow Street, commented on letter received from the RSI Program Office

Charles Atkinson, 520 W. Walnut Avenue, commented on letter received from the RSI Program Office

CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS – (Related to Public Communications)

A. PROCEDURAL MOTIONS

Consideration of a motion to read all ordinances and resolutions on the Agenda by title only.

MOTION by Mayor Pro Tem Jacobson, SECONDED by Council Member Fellhauer to read all ordinances and resolutions on the Agenda by title only. MOTION PASSED BY UNANIMOUS VOICE VOTE. 5/0

B. NEW BUSINESS

1. A Status Report on the City's Residential Sound Insulation (RSI) Program, with focus on higher bid pricing received on Groups 62, 66, 69, 70, 71, 72 and 73 compared to estimates for the projects (compared to other projects) (Fiscal Impact: None)

Greg Carpenter, City Manager, gave introduction

James O'Neil, RSI Manager, gave a presentation

Greg Carpenter, City Manager, provided additional back ground information

Council Discussion

Mayor, Suzanne Fuentes, spoke regarding reaching out to our elected officials in Congress.

Mayor Pro Tem, Carl Jacobson, spoke regarding the extended deadline received for RSI to complete houses in the extended the yellow zone.

Council Member Dave Atkinson, spoke regarding their attempts to reach out to Congress, the FFA, LAWA and speaking to the contractors. Asked the home owners affected by this decision to send their letter and call our Congress regarding this issue.

Mayor Suzanne Fuentes and Mayor Pro Tem, Carl Jacobson, provided history on question, “How does list start?”

Mayor Pro Tem Carl Jacobson, spoke to clear direction from LAWA that there would be no extension of the end date for the homes in the extended yellow zone area.

Mayor Suzanne Fuentes, had James O’Neill, Manger RSI Program, explain bid process.
Greg Carpenter, City Manager, addressed question related to what happens to funds from grants received for the RSI Program, explained only can be used for this program.

Council Member Fellhauer, spoke to question if Bid results are public documents.

Assistant City Attorney, Karl Berger, spoke in regards to State Law Bid process adopted for the purposes of bidding the construction portion of the RSI Program, cannot negotiate directly with bidders when more than one bid submitted.

Council Member Dave Atkinson asked if a home owner wishes to pay the percentage above estimated difference, answer was no for process issues and timing issues to meet LAWA’s overall completion date.

Council Member Fellhauer, asked James O’Neill, Manager RSI Program to further explain new FAA Protocol for testing each home for sound. James O’Neill explained FAA considers the pending updated Protocol for testing a clarification of existing requirements.

Council Member Fellhauer, asked James O’Neill, Manager RSI Program to explain how grants received from FAA and LAWA will be used for future RSI Programs.

Council Member Fellhauer asked James O’Neill, Manager RSI Program how will selling noise rights work.

Council Member Mike Dugan, asked Assistant City Attorney, Karl Berger, what legal action can be taken to put pressure on LAWA to extend the timeframe to complete the homes most recently affected by LAWA’s completion deadline.

Assistant City Attorney, Karl Berger, spoke to the City’s legal obligation related to funding availability, advised Council best course of action to take political action verses legal action.

Mayor Suzanne Fuentes, spoke regarding that City Council is in the process of strategizing with the City Manager’s Office to put Political press on our elected officials.

City’s Lobbyist, Coby King, Highpoint Strategizes, spoke to status regarding the FAA and LAWA’s deadline. The agencies are not willing to extend the deadlines. Attempts are being made to request Congress and Senate Representatives to partner to attempt to change the FAA and LAWA’s deadline. Mr. King defined why the FAA and LAWA is no longer flexible with the deadline. It has to do with FAA better defining the program guideline protocol for noise.

Council Member Mike Dugan and Council Member Fellhauer asked RSI Program Manager to explain why bidders are bidding lower for homes in Inglewood. City Manager, Greg Carpenter and Mr. O’Neill explained contractors indicated the City holds them more accountable for their work, LAWA indicated our liquidated damages clause could be a cause, possible issue of larger homes in the yellow zone of the City of El Segundo.

Council received and file report. Requested the City Attorney’s Office to investigate possible legal action and come back to Council during a closed session. Requested Staff to coordinate with City’s Lobbyist Mr. King to put out a fact based press release.
C. CONSENT AGENDA

All items listed are to be adopted by one motion without discussion and passed unanimously. If a call for discussion of an item is made, the item(s) will be considered individually under the next heading of business.

2. Reject all bids for Project RSI 14-33 (Group 62 of the City’s Residential Sound Insulation Program).
   (Fiscal Impact: None)

3. Reject all bids for Project RSI 14-32 (Group 66 of the City’s Residential Sound Insulation Program).
   (Fiscal Impact: None)

4. Reject all bids for Project RSI 14-27 (Group 69 of the City’s Residential Sound Insulation Program).
   (Fiscal Impact: None)

5. Reject all bids for Project RSI 15-01 (Group 70 of the City’s Residential Sound Insulation Program).
   (Fiscal Impact: None)

6. Reject all bids for Project RSI 15-02 (Group 71 of the City’s Residential Sound Insulation Program).
   (Fiscal Impact: None)

7. Reject all bids for Project RSI 15-03 (Group 72 of the City’s Residential Sound Insulation Program).
   (Fiscal Impact: None)

8. Reject all bids for Project RSI 15-04 (Group 73 of the City’s Residential Sound Insulation Program).
   (Fiscal Impact: None)

9. Adopt the amended bylaws established for the Economic Development Advisory Council (EDAC).
   (Fiscal Impact: None)

MOTION by Mayor Pro Tem Jacobson to approve Consent Agenda items 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9. MOTION PASSED BY UNANIMOUS VOICE VOTE. 5/0

D. REPORTS – CITY MANAGER

E. REPORTS – CITY ATTORNEY –

Assistant City Attorney, Karl Berger, stated will put an item on closed session regarding this subject for closed session for next Tuesday's Council Meeting
F. REPORTS – CITY CLERK

G. REPORTS – CITY TREASURER

H. REPORTS – CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

Council Member Fellhauer –

Council Member Atkinson –

Council Member Dugan –

Mayor Pro Tem Jacobson –

Mayor Fuentes –

PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS – (Related to City Business Only – 5 minute limit per person, 30 minute limit total) Individuals who have receive value of $50 or more to communicate to the City Council on behalf of another, and employees speaking on behalf of their employer, must so identify themselves prior to addressing the City Council. Failure to do so shall be a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine of $250. While all comments are welcome, the Brown Act does not allow Council to take action on any item not on the agenda. The Council will respond to comments after Public Communications is closed.

Ilene, Bell, 647 Bungalow Street, understands the issues, but concerned with how the residents recoup money invested for RSI program they will not be receiving.

Patricia Ashtiani, 1507 E. Sycamore Ave., questions regarding issues getting contractors into El Segundo, concerns how program priority list is administered.

Lucielle De Ring, 526 Lomita Street, questioning process to get bidders and administer program.

Matt Ashtiani, 1507 E. Sycamore Ave., commented on how El Segundo is know for the airplane noise. Suggest in the future provide information for meetings will in advance of meeting.

Charles Atkinson, 520 W. Walnut Avenue, commented on how long City was aware of issues, well before this situation happened and failed to accommodate for this deadline.

Chris ?, President of 62 Arena, wants to share frustration, feels the issue is to put the pressure on LAWA.

Louis Merow, 1225 E. Maple Street, sad about appointment being set and not being notified until the day before that the replacement of windows were not going to take place.

CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS – (Related to Public Communications)
Mayor Pro Tem, Carl Jacobson, agreed need to speak to LAWA regarding the continued funding for the implementation of the homes in the green zone area. Provided history of reduction of what FAA and LAWA considers areas that are effected enough to qualify for the RSI Program.

Council Member Fellhauer asked RSI Program Manager, James O’Neill to explain the pace of the RSI Program. Mr. O’Neill, explained generally has been limited on how much funding available to the City each year.

Mayor Suzanne Fuentes, asked for an explanation on how the City advertises. RSI Program Manager James O’Neill explained, advertises in trade magazines, etc.

Council Member Fellhauer and Mayor Suzanne Fuentes, restated that Council does care and is attempting to resolve the situation.

ADJOURNMENT at 9:59PM

Mona Shilling, Acting Deputy City Clerk II
REGULAR MEETING OF THE EL SEGUNDO CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, APRIL 7, 2015 – 5:00 PM

5:00 P.M. SESSION

CALL TO ORDER – Mayor Fuentes at 5:00 PM

ROLL CALL

Mayor Fuentes - Present
Mayor Pro Tem Jacobson - Present
Council Member Atkinson - Present
Council Member Fellhauer - Present
Council Member Dugan - Present

PUBLIC COMMUNICATION – (Related to City Business Only – 5 minute limit per person, 30 minute limit total) Individuals who have received value of $50 or more to communicate to the City Council on behalf of another, and employees speaking on behalf of their employer, must so identify themselves prior to addressing the City Council. Failure to do so shall be a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine of $250.

SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS:

   (Fiscal Impact: None)

Mayor Fuentes announced the appointment of Martin Skredsvig to the Economic Development Advisory Council. The Economic Development Advisory Council terms do not expire.

Mayor Fuentes announced that Council would be meeting in closed session pursuant to the items listed on the Agenda.

CLOSED SESSION:

The City Council may move into a closed session pursuant to applicable law, including the Brown Act (Government Code Section §54960, et seq.) for the purposes of conferring with the City’s Real Property Negotiator; and/or conferring with the City Attorney on potential and/or existing litigation; and/or discussing matters covered under Government Code Section §54957 (Personnel); and/or conferring with the City’s Labor Negotiators; as follows:

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING
APRIL 7, 2015
PAGE NO. 1
CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION (Gov’t Code §54956.9(d) (3): -2- matters

1. City of El Segundo vs. City of Los Angeles, et.al. LASC Case No. BS094279
2. Hooper vs. City of El Segundo, LASC Case No. BC 540995

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION

Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to Government Code §54956.9(d) (2) and (3): -0- matter.


DISCUSSION OF PERSONNEL MATTERS (Gov’t Code §54957): -0- matter

APPOINTMENT OF PUBLIC EMPLOYEE (Gov’t Code § 54957): -0- matter

PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT (Gov’t Code § 54957) -0- matter

CONFERENCE WITH CITY’S LABOR NEGOTIATOR (Gov’t Code §54957.6): -8- matters

1. Employee Organizations: Police Management Association; Police Officers Association; Police Support Services Employees Association; Fire Fighters Association; Supervisory and Professional Employees Association; City Employees Association; Executive Management Group (Unrepresented Group); Management/Confidential Group (Unrepresented Group)

Agency Designated Representative: Steve Filarsky and City Manager

CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATOR (Gov’t Code §54956.8): -0- matters

Council recessed at 6:55 PM
REGULAR MEETING OF THE EL SEGUNDO CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, APRIL 7, 2015 - 7:00 P.M.

7:00 P.M. SESSION

CALL TO ORDER – Mayor Fuentes at 7:02 PM

INVOCATION – Lee Carlile, Pastor, United Methodist Church

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – Trinity Parascandola, AYSO Girls U12 All Star

PRESENTATIONS

a) Commendation presented by Mayor Fuentes to AYSO Girls U12 All Star Team.

b) Proclamation read by Council Member Atkinson proclaiming April 29, 2015 Denim Day.

c) Proclamation read by Council Member Fellhauer and presented to One Legacy Ambassador Rachel Greenberg proclaiming April 2015 as DMV/Donate Life California Month.

d) Presentation by Julie Todd, Senior Library Manager announcing National Library Week, April 12-18, 2015. Ms. Todd announced upcoming events taking place at the Library in the next few months.

ROLL CALL

Mayor Fuentes - Present
Mayor Pro Tem Jacobson - Present
Council Member Atkinson - Present
Council Member Fellhauer - Present
Council Member Dugan - Present

PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS – (Related to City Business Only – 5 minute limit per person, 30 minute limit total) Individuals who have received value of $50 or more to communicate to the City Council on behalf of another, and employees speaking on behalf of their employer, must so identify themselves prior to addressing the City Council. Failure to do so shall be a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine of $250. While all comments are welcome, the Brown Act does not allow Council to take action on any item not on the agenda. The Council will respond to comments after Public Communications is closed.

Steve Haxton, Park Vista resident, would like to see an advocate for the Park Vista residents on the Senior Housing Board. Presented a petition stating this request.
Elizabeth Russell, resident, commented on the increase in crime taking place in El Segundo.  
Shelly Tucker, Park Vista resident, spoke concerning item #F9.  
Neil Cadman, resident and Park Vista Property Manager, spoke concerning item #F9.  
Charles Atkinson, resident, spoke concerning the RSI situation and questioned what steps are being to taken on the City’s behalf and if the Council has suggestions for the public.  

CITY COUNCIL COMMENTS – (Related to Public Communications)

Council and Greg Carpenter, City Manager answered questions raised during Public Comments.

Council answered questions concerned with Public Communications

A. PROCEDURAL MOTIONS

Consideration of a motion to read all ordinances and resolutions on the Agenda by title only.

MOTION by Mayor Pro Tem Jacobson, SECONDED by Council Member Fellhauer to read all ordinances and resolutions on the Agenda by title only.  MOTION PASSED BY UNANIMOUS VOICE VOTE.  5/0

B. SPECIAL ORDERS OF BUSINESS (PUBLIC HEARING)

1. Consideration and possible action to open a public hearing and receive testimony regarding an update to the Corporate Campus Specific Plan. If approved, the Project would: 1) adopt an Addendum to the Final EIR (FEIR); 2) amend the existing Corporate Campus Specific Plan relating to uses, parking and signage; and 3) approve a 121,852 square-foot development project (the “Lakers Project”) on approximately 5 acres that will include construction of new corporate offices, an athletic training facility and an exhibition venue for the Los Angeles Lakers. Applicant: LAL Property, LLC.  (Fiscal Impact: None)  

Mayor Fuentes stated this was the time and place for a Public Hearing related to an update to the Corporate Campus Specific Plan. Adopt an Addendum to the Final EIR (FEIR), amend the existing Corporate Campus Specific Plan relating to uses, parking and signage, and approve a 121,852 square-foot development project (the “Lakers Project”) on approximately 5 acres that will include construction of new corporate offices, an athletic training facility and an exhibition venue for the Los Angeles Lakers.
City Clerk Weaver stated that proper notice had been given in a timely manner and that written communication had not been received in the City Clerk’s office. Greg Carpenter, City Manager, introduced the item.

Mayor Fuentes opened the Public Hearing.

Sam Lee, Director of Planning and Building Safety, gave a presentation.

Joe McCormack, Chief Financial Officer of the Lakers Organization spoke and introduced his team of professionals.

Tim Harris, Chief Operating Officer, explained the vision for the Lakers Headquarters.

Jim Renne, Architect with ROSSETTI Design, presented the design vision for the Lakers Headquarters.

Dale Goldsmith, attorney with Armbruster, Goldsmith & Delvac, spoke on both the plan and parking amendments and the signage presented earlier by Sam Lee.

Tim Harris, CFO, spoke on the Lakers community involvement within the City of El Segundo.

Mayor Fuentes closed the Public Hearing.

Council Discussion

Mark Hensley, City Attorney, read by title only:

RESOLUTION NO. 4909

A RESOLUTION ADOPTING AN ADDENDUM TO A FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. EA-1082, AND APPROVING A PARKING DEMAND STUDY AND MODIFICATIONS TO CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL FOR THE CORPORATE CAMPUS DEVELOPMENT PROJECT AS AMENDED BY THE LAKERS PROJECT.

MOTION by Council Member Fellhauer, SECONDED by Mayor Pro Tem Jacobson to adopt Resolution No. 4909. MOTION PASSED BY UNANIMOUS VOICE VOTE. 5/0

Mark Hensley, City Attorney, read by title only:

ORDINANCE NO. 1505

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CORPORATE CAMPUS SPECIFIC PLAN (AMENDMENT NO. SPA 14-02) FOR THE LAKERS PROJECT.
Council Member Fellhauer introduced Ordinance No. 1505.

Second reading and adoption of the Ordinance is scheduled for April 21, 2015.

C. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

D. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES, COMMISSIONS AND BOARDS

2. Consideration and possible action to announce the appointments to the Economic Development Advisory Council.
   (Fiscal Impact: None)

Mayor Fuentes announced the appointment of Martin Skredsvig to the Economic Development Advisory Council. The Economic Development Advisory Council terms do not expire.

E. CONSENT AGENDA

All items listed are to be adopted by one motion without discussion and passed unanimously. If a call for discussion of an item is made, the item(s) will be considered individually under the next heading of business.

3. Approve Warrant Numbers 3005120 through 3005456 on Register No. 12 in the total amount of $1,648,318.30 and Wire Transfers from 3/2/2015 through 3/15/2015 in the total amount of $3,159,540.87. Authorized staff to release. Ratified Payroll and employee Benefit checks; checks released early due to contracts or agreement; emergency disbursements and/or adjustments; and wire transfers.


5. Receive and file this report regarding emergency work to repair dwelling units at the Park Vista Senior Housing Facility due to water intrusion without the need for bidding in accordance with Public Contracts Code §§ 20168 and 22050 and El Segundo Municipal Code ("ESMC") §§ 1-7-12 and 1-7A-4. (Fiscal Impact: $50,000.00)

6. Adopt Resolution No. 4910 approving the 2015 City of El Segundo Hazard Mitigation Plan.
   (Fiscal Impact: None)

7. Authorize the City Manager, in a form approved by the City Attorney, to enter into a five year agreement, No. 4835, with Califa Group to acquire Internet Service for the El Segundo Public Library at a cost of $15,970 per year.
   (Fiscal Impact: $79,850.00)
8. Authorize the City Manager, or designee, to record the Notice of Completion accepting completion of work for twenty-five (25) homes related to Project RSI 14-11 (the City's Residential Sound Insulation Program's Group 55).  
(Fiscal Impact: Final Contract Amount: $768,832.69)

MOTION by Council Member Dugan, SECONDED by Council Member Fellhauer to approve Consent Agenda items 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8. MOTION PASSED BY UNANIMOUS VOICE VOTE. 5/0

F. NEW BUSINESS

9. Consideration and possible action to amend the City's informal bidding procedures for certain public projects, codified in El Segundo Municipal Code ("ESMC") Chapter 1-7C, to help facilitate the timely bidding, contracting, and completion of most public projects.  
(Fiscal Impact: $0)

Greg Carpenter, City Manager, introduced the item.

Stephanie Katsouleas, Public Work Director, gave a presentation.

Council Discussion

Mark Hensley, City Attorney, read by title only:

ORDINANCE NO. 1506

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING EL SEGUNDO MUNICIPAL CODE CHAPTER 1-7C REGARDING INFORMAL BIDDING OF PUBLIC WORKS PROJECTS.

Council Member Fellhauer introduced Ordinance No. 1506.

Second reading and adoption of the Ordinance is scheduled for April 21, 2015.

Mark Hensley, City Attorney, read by title only:

RESOLUTION NO. 4911

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EL SEGUNDO ELECTING TO BECOME SUBJECT TO THE UNIFORM PUBLIC CONSTRUCTION COST ACCOUNTING ACT.
MOTION by Council Member Fellhauer, SECONDED by Council Member Dugan to Adopt Resolution No. 4911. declaring the City’s intent to become subject to the Uniform Public Construction Cost Accounting Act for all public projects. MOTION PASSED BY UNANIMOUS VOICE VOTE. 5/0 (For the record, Mayor Pro Tem Jacobson would have preferred the amount of approval for the City Manager to be $25,000.00, not $45,000.00).

G. REPORTS – CITY MANAGER – Thanked the development team for all their work on the Lakers Headquarters project. Mentioned each department took part in the project on way or another. Mentioned the Governor’s executive order to reduce water consumption. The City will be working on this item and what will be required of our residents.

H. REPORTS – CITY ATTORNEY - None

I. REPORTS – CITY CLERK - None

J. REPORTS – CITY TREASURER – Not present

K. REPORTS – CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

Council Member Fellhauer – None

Council Member Atkinson – None

Council Member Dugan – None (Happy Birthday to his son, Sean)

Mayor Pro Tem Jacobson – None

Mayor Fuentes – Thanked the Chamber and Commerce for their work on the State of the City Address and also thanked staff for their work as well. Thanked the Rotary for sponsoring the Mayor’s Good Friday Breakfast.

10. Consideration and possible action to send a letter in support of the intent of AB 306 which aims to address an issue critical to military families stationed in California: access to education choices. (Fiscal Impact: None)

MOTION by Mayor Fuentes, SECONDED by Council Member Atkinson to send a letter in support of the intent of AB 306 which aims to address an issue critical to military families stationed in California. MOTION PASSED BY UNANIMOUS VOICE VOTE. 5/0
PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS – (Related to City Business Only – 5 minute limit per person, 30 minute limit total) Individuals who have receive value of $50 or more to communicate to the City Council on behalf of another, and employees speaking on behalf of their employer, must so identify themselves prior to addressing the City Council. Failure to do so shall be a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine of $250. While all comments are welcome, the Brown Act does not allow Council to take action on any item not on the agenda. The Council will respond to comments after Public Communications is closed.

Elizabeth Russell, resident, commented on sewage bills and the 25% mandatory reduction set by the governor and had suggestions for the water department. Jack Axelrod, resident, mentioned he would like to see reports from all Council members and other items he feels important.

MEMORIALS – None

ADJOURNMENT at 9:21 PM

Tracy Weaver, City Clerk
AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

Consideration and possible action to adopt a Resolution approving Plans and Specifications for the FY 14/15 and 15/16 Sidewalk, Curb and Gutter Replacement Project. Project No. PW 14-16 (Fiscal Impact: $500,000.00)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:

1. Adopt the attached resolution approving Plans and Specifications for the FY 14/15 and 15/16 Sidewalk, Curb and Gutter Replacement Project.

2. Authorize staff to advertise the project for receipt of construction bids.

3. Alternatively, discuss and take other possible actions related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

Resolution

FISCAL IMPACT: Included in Adopted Budget

Amount Budgeted: $500,000.00

Additional Appropriation: No

Account Number(s): 301-400-8203-8705 (Capital Improvement Fund)

ORIGINATED BY: Floriza Rivera, Principal Engineer

REVIEWED BY: Stephanie Katsouleas, Public Works Director

APPROVED BY: Greg Carpenter, City Manager

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:

Based on staff observations and public requests, Public Works staff develops and maintains a priority list of defective sidewalks, curbs and gutters throughout the city that are in need of concrete repair. A majority of these locations are sidewalk, curb, gutter, and pavement areas that have been uplifted by tree roots. They present a potential liability and public safety issue as well as often impede proper drainage at the curb line. This year, the city allocated $500,000 to address the City’s highest priority repairs, provided that no other work is required first on any private property adjacent to the area to be repaired. Staff will implement the overall project in two phases. The first phase will address the needed sidewalk, curb and gutter repairs located within the upcoming slurry seal zone (northwest quadrant), and the second phase will address needed repairs located outside the slurry zone. This will ensure a uniform asphalt look for concrete work that will affect the curb, gutter and adjacent pavement.

Funding in the amount of $500,000 for the FY 14/15 Sidewalk, Curb and Gutter Replacement Project is available from Capital Improvement Fund. Staff therefore recommends that City Council approve a resolution adopting the plans and specifications and authorize the project for receipt of construction bids. Implementation of the first phase of this project is expected to commence in July, 2015, with the balance of the work occurring August through October.
The tentative project schedule is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bids Due</td>
<td>June 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council Award</td>
<td>July 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 1 Construction begins</td>
<td>Mid August</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 1 Construction ends</td>
<td>October 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 2 Construction begins</td>
<td>October 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 2 Construction ends</td>
<td>December 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Closeout</td>
<td>January – February, 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESOLUTION NO. [number]

A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE DESIGN AND PLANS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE FY 14-16 SIDEWALK, CURB AND GUTTER REPLACEMENT PROJECT PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 830.6 AND ESTABLISHING A PROJECT PAYMENT ACCOUNT.

The City Council of the City of El Segundo does resolve as follows:

SECTION 1: The City Council finds and declares as follows:

A. The City Engineer prepared specifications and plans requesting Construction of the FY 1415 and 15/16 Sidewalk, Curb and Gutter Replacement Project (the “Project”). These plans are complete. Bidding for construction of the Project may begin;

B. The City Council wishes to obtain the immunities set forth in Government Code § 830.6 with regard to the plans and construction of the Project.

SECTION 2: Design Immunity; Authorization.

A. The design and plans for the Project are determined to be consistent with the City’s standards and are approved.

B. The design approval set forth in this Resolution occurred before actual work on the Project construction commenced.

C. The approval granted by this Resolution conforms with the City’s General Plan.

D. The City Engineer, or designee, is authorized to act on the City’s behalf in approving any alterations or modifications of the design and plans approved by this Resolution.

E. The approval and authorization granted by this Resolution is intended to avail the City of the immunities set forth in Government Code § 830.6.

SECTION 3: Project Payment Account. For purposes of the Contract Documents administering the Project, the City Council directs the City Manager, or designee, to establish a fund containing sufficient monies from the current fiscal year budget to pay for the Project (“Project Payment Account”) following receipt of construction bids. The Project Payment Account will be the sole source of funds available for the Contract Sum, as defined in the Contract Document administering the Project.

SECTION 4: The City Clerk is directed to certify the adoption of this Resolution.
Sec. 6.150.020. This Resolution will become effective immediately upon adoption.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this ___ day of ____________, 20__.

Suzanne Fuentes, Mayor

ATTEST:

Tracy Weaver, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
MARK D. HENSLEY, City Attorney

By:
Karl H. Berger, Assistant City Attorney
AGENDA DESCRIPTION:
Consideration and possible action to award a standard Public Works Contract to Rojas Construction, for Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Project 601711-14, “Installation of American with Disabilities Act (ADA) Sidewalk Ramp Project.” Project No. PW 14-09 (Fiscal Impact: $48,000 in CDBG grant reimbursement funds).

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:
1. Authorize the City Manager to execute a standard Public Works Contract in a form approved by the City Attorney with Rojas Construction in the amount of $41,000
2. Alternatively, discuss and take other possible actions related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:
Location Map

FISCAL IMPACT: Included in Adopted Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount Budgeted:</th>
<th>$48,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Additional Appropriation:</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account Number(s):</td>
<td>111-400-2781-8499 (Community Development Block Grant: Capital Projects)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ORIGINATED BY: Floriza Rivera, Principal Engineer
REVIEWED BY: Stephanie Katsouleas, Public Works Director
APPROVED BY: Greg Carpenter, City Manager

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:
The City of El Segundo qualifies each year for Federal Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds. The program supports local community development projects that support national objectives, such as benefiting low and moderate income persons, addressing slums or blight, and/or meeting a particular urgent community development need. Eligible projects include the installation of curb ramps that meet the current guidelines of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) standards. The City’s specific project includes installation of new ADA ramps at ten (10) locations within the City (see attached location map).

On February 17, 2015, the City Council adopted the plans and specifications for Project No. PW 14-09, ADA Ramp Installation Project, CDBG Project 601711-14, and authorized staff to advertise for receipt of construction bids. A bid opening was held on April 14, 2015. The City Clerk received and opened three bids as listed on the next page:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>Bid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rojas Construction</td>
<td>$21,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT&amp;C, Inc</td>
<td>$30,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBS General Engineering</td>
<td>$34,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Six (6) locations were listed as base bid construction items at a cost of $21,000 and the remaining seven (7) were listed as alternate bid items. Staff proposes to construct four additional alternate items since the project budget is $48,000. The base bid is $21,000, and Alternate Bid Items 1 through 4 are bid at $5,000 each, resulting in a project cost of $41,000 with a $7,000 amount to be used for a 14.5% contingency. The summary of proposed construction costs are as follows:

**Proposed Construction Cost**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original Base Bid (six items)</td>
<td>$21,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternate Bid</td>
<td>$20,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency Amount (14.5%)</td>
<td>+ $7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Proposed Construction Cost</strong></td>
<td><strong>$48,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Staff has verified the references and contractor license status of Rojas Construction. Their contractor license is current and their past performance on concrete projects of similar size and scope is satisfactory.

Therefore, staff recommends that City Council award a standard public works contract to Rojas Construction in the amount of $41,000 and authorize an additional contingency of $7,000 (14.5%) for potential change orders and unforeseen conditions. Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds from Program Year 2014-2015 in the amount of $48,000 are available for this project.

The tentative project schedule is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Council award</td>
<td>April 21, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract document processing</td>
<td>April 22 to May 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-construction meeting</td>
<td>May 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction begins</td>
<td>May 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction ends</td>
<td>July 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Punch list period</td>
<td>July 6 to July 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council accepts project</td>
<td>August 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EL SEGUNDO CITY COUNCIL

AGENDA STATEMENT

MEETING DATE: April 21, 2015
AGENDA HEADING: Consent Agenda

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

Consideration and possible action to 1) award a standard Public Works Contract to Lucas Builders, Inc. for the Sewer Main Improvement project; 2) award a standard Public Works Professional Services Agreement to AKM Consulting Engineers for construction inspection services; 3) approve an additional appropriation of $21,120.00 from the General Fund for the new storm drain catch basin and storm drain inlet; and 4) approve an additional appropriation of $512,608.00 from Sewer Enterprise Fund for sewer main improvements. Project No. PW 13-24 (Fiscal Impact: $1,533,728.00)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:

1. Authorize the City Manager to execute a standard Public Works Contract, in a form approved by the City Attorney, with Lucas Builders, Inc. in the amount of $1,221,555.00 and approve an additional $183,233.00 for construction-related contingencies.

2. Authorize the City Manager to execute a standard Public Works Professional Services Agreement in a form as approved by the City Attorney with AKM Consulting Engineers in the amount of $118,940.00 for construction inspection and geotechnical (compaction) oversight and testing, and approve an additional $10,000.00 for related contingencies.

3. Approve an appropriation of $21,120 from General Fund for the new storm drain catch basin and storm drain inlet and an additional appropriation of $512,608.25 from Sewer Enterprise Fund for the project.

4. Alternatively, discuss and take other possible action related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

Map of Project Area

FISCAL IMPACT: Included in Adopted Budget

Amount Budgeted: $1,000,000 for sewer repairs
Additional Appropriation: Yes. $512,608.25 from Sewer Enterprise Fund
                               $21,120 from General Fund
Account Number(s): $1,512,608.25 from 502-400-8204-8647 (Sewer Enterprise Fund, Sewer Main Repair)
                               $21,120 from 001-400-4302-6215 (Storm Drain Repair)

ORIGINATED BY: Lifan Xu, Principal Civil Engineer
REVIEWED BY: Stephanie Katsouleas, Public Works Director
APPROVED BY: Greg Carpenter, City Manager

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:
In 2010, Advanced Sewer Technologies (AST) conducted a video inspection and conditional assessment on the City’s sanitary sewer collection system’s pipes and manholes. The Conditional Assessment Report found broken pipes, fractures, offsets and various other deficiencies throughout the system. Staff uses the AST report to serve as its guidance document for prioritizing the City’s highest sewer repair and replacement needs. Based on the AST report’s findings, over the past several years staff has implemented 3,400 ft of open trench repairs, 5,600 ft of sewer lining repairs and 1500 ft of sewer main upgrades throughout the City. Please note that staff evaluates the condition of each priority segment of sewer mains each year prior to developing plans and specifications to determine which segments can be lined and which segments are in need of full replacement (e.g., open trench). This, in turn, allows us implement the best possible options in the most cost-effective manner.

Staff is now ready to proceed with the required open trench repairs scheduled for this year. The repairs are predominantly located in the western residential areas of El Segundo, with a total repaired length of approximately 4,000 ft (see attached map of project area.) Please note that staff prepared plans for and bid out work valued at more than that covered by the adopted budget. This is because staff felt it prudent to advance additional priority sewer main repairs that are located in the upcoming slurry area. There are sufficient funds in the sewer enterprise fund to cover the cost of this additional work. The project also includes installation of a new, strategically located, storm drain catch basin and 40 ft of storm drain inlet connecting pipe on Holly St (west of Richmond), which will help alleviate storm water “flash flood” drainage problems that arise when heavy rain falls over short durations. The storm drain work was not originally included in the adopted budget but is necessary to prevent future flood damage to private property.

On September 16, 2014, City Council adopted plans and specifications for the Sewer Main Improvement (Project No. PW13-24) and authorized staff to advertise the project for receipt of construction bids. The project was advertised in El Segundo Herald and other publications on March 5 and March 12. On March 24, 2015, the City Clerk received and opened seven (7) bids as follows:

1. Vasilij Inc.  $975,426.00 (Withdrawn due to a clerical error)
2. Lucas Builders, Inc.  $1,221,555.00
3. Toro Enterprises, Inc.  $1,269,096.00
4. GRFCO, Inc.  $1,350,645.00
5. MNR Construction, Inc.  $1,541,240.00
6. Blois Construction, Inc.  $1,764,980.00
7. Ramona, Inc.  $2,101,130.00

The apparent low bidder, Vailij Inc., withdrew its bid due to a significant clerical error. The lowest responsible bidder was therefore Lucas Builders, Inc. Staff checked references and the contractor’s license status. Lucas Builders, Inc. has satisfactorily completed similar projects for other public agencies. The bid amount of $1,221,555.00 is below the engineering cost estimate of $1,500,000 for the proposed work.

Staff therefore recommends that City Council:

1) Award a standard public works contract to Lucas Builders, Inc. in the amount of $1,221,555.00 with an additional $183,233.00 for construction-related contingencies.
2) Award a professional services agreement to AKM Consulting Engineers to provide construction inspection for the Project in the amount of $118,940 with an additional $10,000 for contingencies.

3) Approve an appropriation of $21,120 from General Fund for construction of the new storm drain catch basin and storm drain inlet on Holly St.

4) Authorize an additional appropriation of $512,608.25 from Sewer Enterprise Fund for the project.

Any unspent sewer fund will go back to the Sewer Enterprise Fund and/or General Fund (as appropriate) at the end of the project. Staff estimates the following timeline for the project:

| May, 2015  | Mobilization |
| June, 2015 | Start Construction |
| October, 2015 | Complete Project/Closeout |
EL SEGUNDO CITY COUNCIL
AGENDA STATEMENT

MEETING DATE: April 21, 2015
AGENDA HEADING: Consent Agenda

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:
Consideration and possible action to adopt a Resolution approving Plans and Specifications for Water Main Improvement at Virginia, Oak and Bayonne, Project No. PW15-08. (Fiscal Impact: $950,000 budgeted.)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:
1. Adopt the attached Resolution approving Plans and Specifications for The Water Main Improvement Project at Virginia, Oak and Bayonne (Project No. PW15-08).
2. Alternatively, discuss and take other possible actions related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:
Resolution
Map of Project Area

FISCAL IMPACT: Included in Adopted Budget

Amount Budgeted: $950,000
Additional Appropriation: N/A
Account Number(s): 501-400-7103-8207 (Water Enterprise Fund)

ORIGINATED BY: Lifan Xu, Principal Civil Engineer
REVIEWED BY: Stephanie Katsouleas, Public Works Director
APPROVED BY: Greg Carpenter, City Manager

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:
The City's water transmission and distribution system dates back to the 1920's. Staff regularly evaluates the conditions of the pipes to develop and prioritize a replacement schedule. A total of approximately 3,500 ft of water mains are scheduled for replacement in this project. Locations include:

- Virginia St., from Mariposa Ave. to Maple Ave.,
- Oak St., from Main St. to Bayonne St.,
- Bayonne St., from Maple Ave. to Palm Ave.,

These water mains have exceeded their useful life and in need of replacement. Staff has prepared construction plans and specifications, which are now complete and ready for adoption by City Council. Staff recommends that City Council: 1) adopt the attached resolution approving the plans and specifications for Water Main Improvement at Virginia, Oak and Bayonne [Project No. PW15-08] and 2) authorize staff to advertise the project for receipt of construction bids.
Staff estimates the following timeline for the project:

May, 2015          Advertise the Project
June, 2015         Project Award by City Council
July, 2015          Start Construction
November, 2015      Complete Construction

This work was identified and approved in FY 2014/15 budget as part of our Capital Improvement Program project listing and will advance our ultimate goal to replace all of our aging water infrastructure.
RESOLUTION NO. __

A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF WATER MAIN IMPROVEMENT AT VIRGINIA, OAK AND BAYONNE, PROJECT NO.PW15-08. PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE § 830.6 AND ESTABLISHING A PROJECT PAYMENT ACCOUNT.

The City Council of the City of El Segundo does resolve as follows:

SECTION 1: The City Council finds and declares as follows:

A. The City Engineer prepared plans and specifications for Water Main Improvement at Virginia, Oak and Bayonne, Project NO. PW15-08 (the "Project"). These plans and specifications are complete. Construction of the Project may begin;

B. The City Council wishes to obtain the immunities set forth in Government Code § 830.6 with regard to the plans and construction of the Project.

SECTION 2: Design Immunity; Authorization.

A. The design and plans for the Project are determined to be consistent with the City's standards and are approved.

B. The design approval set forth in this Resolution occurred before actual work on the Project construction commenced.

C. The approval granted by this Resolution conforms with the City's General Plan.

D. The City Engineer, or designee, is authorized to act on the City's behalf in approving any alterations or modifications of the design and plans approved by this Resolution.

E. The approval and authorization granted by this Resolution is intended to avail the City of the immunities set forth in Government Code § 830.6.

SECTION 3: Project Payment Account. For purposes of the Contract Documents administering the Project, the City Council directs the City Manager, or designee, to establish a fund containing sufficient monies from the current fiscal year budget to pay for the Project ("Project Payment Account"). The Project Payment Account is the sole source of funds available for the Contract Sum, as defined in the Contract Document administering the Project.

SECTION 4: The City Clerk is directed to certify the adoption of this Resolution.

SECTION 5: This Resolution will become effective immediately upon adoption.
PASSED AND ADOPTED this ___ day of ____, 2015.

______________________________
Suzanne Fuentes, Mayor

ATTEST:

______________________________
Tracy Weaver, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
MARK D. HENSLEY, City Attorney

By: ____________________________
    Karl H. Berger, Assistant City Attorney
PW15-08, Water Main Improvement at Virginia, Oak and Bayonne
Project Location
EL SEGUNDO CITY COUNCIL
AGENDA ITEM STATEMENT

MEETING DATE: April 21, 2015
AGENDA HEADING: Consent Agenda

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

Consideration and possible action to approve and adopt South Bay Workforce Investment Board’s (SBWIB) proposed Amendment No. 7 to the Joint Powers Agreement No. 83-100 approving update to the South Bay Workforce Investment Board Joint Power Agreement to reflect SBWIB’s compliance with new laws.

(Fiscal Impact: None)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:

1. Staff recommends that the City Council approve and adopt the proposed Amendment No. 7 to the Joint Powers Agreement No. 83-100 as amended.
2. Authorize the Mayor to sign the Amendment No. 7 to the Joint Powers Agreement No. 83-100 as amended.
3. Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

Amendment No. 7 to Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement No. 83-100 approving the update to the South Bay Workforce Investment Board Joint Power Agreement to reflect SBWIB’s compliance with new laws.

FISCAL IMPACT: $

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount Budgeted:</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Additional Appropriation:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account Number(s):</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PREPARED BY: Mickie Tagle, Senior Executive Assistant

REVIEWED BY: 

APPROVED BY: Greg Carpenter, City Manager

BACKGROUND & DISCUSSION:

On July 11, 2014, the Workforce Innovation Opportunity Act was passed by Congress to be implemented effective July 1, 2015. In order for the South Bay Workforce Investment Area to be re-designated under the new law, an application for designation must be submitted and approved by the State of California. This Amendment No. 7 to the Joint Powers Agreement authorizes continued operation of workforce programs under the new act for our eleven (11) city consortium. This Joint Powers Agreement has been in effect since July 12, 1983 and there are six (6) subsequent amendments to the agreement; this is Amendment No. 7. The attached Amendment No. 7 is submitted for your approval to approve the continued operation of the workforce programs under the new Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act. The Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement requires that this Amendment be approved by a minimum of 75% of the Member Cities.

When appropriately approved and signed, this Amendment will serve as authorization for the Local
AMENDMENT NO. 7 TO AGREEMENT NO. 83-100
RELATING TO JOINT EXERCISE OF POWERS AGREEMENT
OF THE SOUTH BAY WORKFORCE INVESTMENT AREA

THIS AMENDMENT dated ______________, 2015, is made and entered into by and between
the Cities of Carson, El Segundo, Gardena, Hawthorne, Hermosa Beach, Inglewood, Lawndale, Lomita,
Manhattan Beach, Redondo Beach, and Torrance all of which are organized and existing under and by
virtue of the laws of the State of California. Said cities are collectively referred to as “Member Cities”
and in certain contexts are referred to as “Participating Cities.”

WHEREAS, on July 5, 1983, the original Member Cities entered into Agreement No. 83-100 in order to
form a consortium under the authority of Government Code Section 6500 within the boundaries of all
Participating Cities known as the South Bay Service Delivery Area for the delivery of employment and
training services under the Job Training Partnership Act (JTPA) and the Family Economic Security Act
(FESA); and,

WHEREAS, the Workforce Investment Act (WIA) was enacted by the United States Congress in
1998 to establish a new workforce development system to replace the JTPA; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the WIA, Member Cities created the South Bay Workforce Investment
Area to serve each of the aforementioned cities; and

WHEREAS, in 2014 Congress passed the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA)
which was signed into law on July 22, 2014; and

WHEREAS, the WIOA was specifically intended to replace and supersede the WIA; and

WHEREAS, the WIOA will take effect on July 1, 2015; and

WHEREAS, Member Cities desire to make changes in the Joint Powers Agreement in order to
meet the requirements of WIOA; 2
NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of these premises and the mutual covenants, the parties herein agree as follows:

1. That throughout Agreement No. 83-100 as amended, the term "Workforce Investment Act" shall be replaced with the term "Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act;" the term "Workforce Investment Area" shall be replaced with the term "Local Workforce Development Area" or "Workforce Development Area;" and the term "Workforce Investment Board" shall be replaced with the term "Local Workforce Development Board" or "Workforce Development Board."

2. That the heading in Section 3. of Agreement No. 83-100 be amended to read "Creation of a Workforce Development Area."

3. That the heading in Section 4. of Agreement No. 83-100 be amended to read "Workforce Development Board;" that Sub-Part 1. of Section 4. Of Agreement No. 83-100 replace the establishment of Workforce Investment Board with the establishment of a Workforce Development Board whose function it shall be to conduct planning, oversight, and administration of WIOA programs carried out in the Local Workforce Development Area pursuant to pertinent provisions of the WIOA.

That Sub-Part 2. of Section 4. of Agreement No. 83-100 be amended to reflect that the membership composition of the Local Workforce Development Board shall comply with the membership requirements specified in the WIOA and those which may be established by the State of California.

That Sub-Part 3. of Section 4. of Agreement No. 83-100 shall be amended in its entirety to read as follows: (1) the private sector/economic development membership component of the Workforce Investment Board/Workforce Development Board shall be selected in proportion to each Member City's respective share of funds received based upon the 2015 funding of the WIA/ WIOA (2) all other seats required by law to be filled shall be considered neutral.
seats and shall be appointed by the Chief Local Elected Official as 3 defined by the WIA or, upon the effective date of the transition to the WIOA, by the Chief Elected Official as defined by the WIOA.

That Sub-Part 4. of Section 4. of Agreement No 83-100 be amended to provide that the Local Chief Elected Official as specified in Amendment No. 5 shall continue to serve as the Chief Elected Official of the Workforce Development Board and shall make appointments to the Workforce Development Board consistent with the provisions of WIOA and any requirement imposed by the State of California.

That Sub-Part 7. of Section 4. of Agreement No. 83-100 be amended to specify that the Chairperson of the Board shall be elected by the members of the local board.

4. Except as otherwise specifically amended herein, all other terms and conditions contained in Agreement No. 83-100 as previously amended shall remain in full force and effect.

5. This Amendment No. 7 may be executed in as many counterparts as may be convenient, each of which when executed by any party shall be deemed an original.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Amendment No. 7 on the date and year first above written.

CITY OF CARSON
BY________________________
Mayor

ATTEST:

City Clerk

CITY OF EL SEGUNDO
BY________________________
Mayor

ATTEST:

City Clerk
ATTEST:
City Clerk

CITY OF GARDENA
BY Mayor 4

CITY OF HAWTHORNE
BY Mayor 4

CITY OF HERMOSA BEACH
BY Mayor 4

CITY OF INGLEWOOD
BY Mayor 4

CITY OF LAWNDALE
BY Mayor 4

CITY OF LOMITA
BY Mayor 4

CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH
BY Mayor 4

CITY OF GARDENA
BY Mayor 4

ATTEST:
City Clerk

ATTEST:
City Clerk
ATTEST:

City Clerk

CITY OF TORRANCE
BY
Mayor 4
EL SEGUNDO CITY COUNCIL
AGENDA STATEMENT
MEETING DATE: April 21, 2015
AGENDA HEADING: Consent Agenda

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:
Consideration and possible action to receive and file this report regarding emergency work to repair dwelling units at the Park Vista Senior Housing Facility due to water intrusion without the need for bidding in accordance with Public Contracts Code §§ 20168 and 22050 and El Segundo Municipal Code ("ESMC")§ 1-7-12 and 1-7A-4. (Fiscal Impact: $50,000.00)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:
(1) Receive and file this report regarding emergency work to repair dwelling units at the Park Vista Senior Housing Facility due to water intrusion without the need for bidding in accordance with Public Contracts Code §§ 20168 and 22050 and El Segundo Municipal Code ("ESMC")§ 1-7-12 and 1-7A-4.

(2) Alternatively, discuss and take other possible action related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:
None

FISCAL IMPACT: Included in Adopted Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount Budgeted:</th>
<th>$50,000.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Additional Appropriation:</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account Number(s):</td>
<td>405-400-0000-6215 (Facilities Maintenance: Repairs and Maintenance)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ORIGINATED BY: Stephanie Katsouleas, Director of Public Works

REVIEWED BY: Gregg Kovacevich, Assistant City Attorney

APPROVED BY: Greg Carpenter, City Manager

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:

Emergency work to repair three dwelling units at the Park Vista Senior Housing Facility due to water intrusion caused by improperly sloped decks is still underway. Surveying was completed in January and designs for the repair, which included three primary options, were submitted at the end of February to Public Works. The plans immediately went into planning and building review. Comments/corrections returned to the contractor for minor revisions. Staff is still waiting on revised plans back from Phoenix Engineering, which should be received the week of April 20th. Once the final plans are approved, bidding and award of the construction portion of the project will commence. Public Contracts Code § 22050 (c) requires that the City Council receive updates at every regularly scheduled meeting until the emergency repair is completed. Therefore, staff recommends that City Council receive and file this report on the status of the emergency repair to address the water intrusion issues at Park Vista Senior Housing Facility.
AGENDA DESCRIPTION:
Consideration and possible action regarding the adoption of Ordinance No. 1505 for a Specific Plan Amendment for the Corporate Campus Specific Plan in the Corporate Campus Specific Plan Project area at 615-645 Douglas Street, 630 Parkview Drive, and 2275 Mariposa Avenue. Applicant: LAL Property, LLC. (Fiscal Impact: None)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:
1. Waive second reading and adopt Ordinance No. 1505; and/or
2. Alternatively, discuss and take other possible action related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:
1. Ordinance No. 1505 and Attachments (Exhibits A and B)

FISCAL IMPACT: N/A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount Budgeted:</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Additional Appropriation:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account Number(s):</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ORIGINATED BY: Kimberly Christensen, AICP, Planning Manager
REVIEWED BY: Sam Lee, Director of Planning and Building Safety
APPROVED BY: Greg Carpenter, City Manager

I. Background and Discussion

On April 7, 2015, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 4909 approving an Addendum to an Environmental Impact Report (Environmental Assessment No. EA-1082) including implementing the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP) and re-adopting a Statement of Overriding Considerations (SOC). The City Council also introduced an Ordinance for Specific Plan Amendment No. SPA 14-02 with an amendment to the Specific Plan to add new Corporate Campus Specific Plan §§ V(12)(k)(1)(e) and V(12)(k)(e) to add a definition for the term “sponsor” and to clarify requirements relating to sponsor information on signs for the corporate office, athletic training facility and exhibition venue use. If adopted, Ordinance No. 1505 will become effective in 30 days.
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ORDINANCE NO. 1505

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING THE CORPORATE CAMPUS SPECIFIC PLAN (AMENDMENT NO. SPA 14-02) FOR THE LAKERS PROJECT.

The City Council of the City of El Segundo does ordain as follows:

SECTION 1: The City Council finds and declares that:

A. In 2002, the City Council adopted the Corporate Campus Specific Plan ("CCSP"). The CCSP was subsequently amended in 2013 and 2014;

B. On September 2, 2014, LAL Property, LLC filed an application for a further amendment to the CCSP in order to accommodate a new facility used by the Los Angeles Lakers and its affiliates. The City identified the application as Environmental Assessment No. EA-1082; Specific Plan Amendment No. SPA 14-02, and Parking Demand Study No. PDS 14-01 (collectively, the “Lakers Project”);

C. The Lakers Project was reviewed by the City’s Planning and Building Safety Department for, in part, consistency with the General Plan, Corporate Campus Specific Plan, and conformity with the El Segundo Municipal Code (“ESMC”);

D. In addition, the City reviewed the Lakers project’s environmental impacts under the California Environmental Quality Act (Public Resources Code §§ 21000, et seq., “CEQA”), the regulations promulgated thereunder (14 Cal. Code of Regulations §§15000, et seq., the “CEQA Guidelines”), and the City’s Environmental Guidelines (City Council Resolution No. 3805, adopted March 16, 1993);

E. On March 18, 2015, the Planning Commission adopted Resolution No. 2769 recommending that the City Council adopt this Ordinance; and

F. This Ordinance and its findings are made based upon the entire administrative record including, without limitation, the public hearing held by the City Council on April 7, 2015.

SECTION 2: Environmental Assessment. City Council Resolution No. 4909 adopted an Addendum and a Statement of Overriding Considerations (SOC) for the Lakers Project which, among other things, properly assesses the environmental impact of this Ordinance in accordance with CEQA. This Ordinance incorporates by reference the environmental findings and analysis set forth in Resolution No. 4909.
SECTION 3: Factual Findings and Conclusions. The City Council finds and declares that the factual findings and conclusions set forth in Resolution No. 4909, adopted on April 7, 2015 are incorporated as if fully set forth.

SECTION 4: Corporate Campus Specific Plan Amendment Findings. Pursuant to ESMC Chapter 15-26, and based on the factual findings of Resolution No. 4909, the proposed Corporate Campus Specific Plan Amendment is desirable to implement the Project and to amend the Corporate Campus Specific Plan (CCSP) development standards relating to land subdivision and lot development, permitted uses, parking, and sign improvements. Specifically, the CCSP Amendment includes:

A. An amendment to CCSP § V(A) to add combined offices, athletic training facilities, and exhibition venues for professional sports as a permitted use;

B. An amendment to CCSP § V(F)(10)(a) and V(F)(10)(b) to establish parking requirements for combined offices, athletic training facilities, and exhibition venues for professional sports teams through a parking demand study;

C. An amendment to CCSP § V(F)(11)(c) to add athletic training facilities and exhibition venues for professional sports teams to the list of Specific Plan non-office uses in determining the minimum and maximum floor area by use; and

D. An amendment to CCSP § V(F)(12) to add definitions and permitted signs for combined offices, athletic training facilities, and exhibition venues for professional sports teams.

SECTION 5: Approvals. Subject to the Conditions of Approval set forth in attached Exhibit “A,” which are incorporated by reference, the City Council amends the Development Standards of the Corporate Campus Specific Plan as set forth in attached Exhibit “B,” which is adopted by reference. Other than as amended by Exhibit B, the CCSP remains in full force and effect.

SECTION 6: Reliance on Record. Each and every one of the findings and determinations in this Ordinance are based on the competent and substantial evidence, both oral and written, contained in the entire record relating to the project. The findings and determinations constitute the independent findings and determinations of the City Council in all respects and are fully and completely supported by substantial evidence in the record as a whole.

SECTION 7: Limitations. The City Council’s analysis and evaluation of the Project is based on the best information currently available. It is inevitable that in evaluating a project that absolute and perfect knowledge of all possible aspects
of the project will not exist. One of the major limitations on analysis of the project is the City Council’s knowledge of future events. In all instances, best efforts have been made to form accurate assumptions. Somewhat related to this are the limitations on the City’s ability to solve what are in effect regional, state, and national problems and issues. The City must work within the political framework within which it exists and with the limitations inherent in that framework.

SECTION 8: Summaries of Information. All summaries of information in the findings which precede this section, are based on the substantial evidence in the record. The absence of any particular fact from any such summary is not an indication that a particular finding, is not based in part on that fact.

SECTION 9: Effectiveness of CCSP. Repeal or amendment of any provision of the CCSP will not affect any penalty, forfeiture, or liability incurred before or preclude prosecution and imposition of penalties for any violation occurring before this Ordinance’s effective date. Any such repealed part will remain in full force and effect for sustaining action or prosecuting violations occurring before the effective date of this Ordinance.

SECTION 10: Memorialization. The City Clerk is directed to certify the passage and adoption of this Ordinance; cause it to be entered into the City of El Segundo’s book of original ordinances; make a note of the passage and adoption in the records of this meeting; and, within fifteen (15) days after the passage and adoption of this Ordinance, cause it to be published or posted in accordance with California law.

SECTION 11: Severability. If any part of this Ordinance or its application is deemed invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, the city council intends that such invalidity will not affect the effectiveness of the remaining provisions or applications and, to this end, the provisions of this Ordinance are severable.

SECTION 12: Effective Date. This Ordinance will become effective on the thirty-first (31st) day following its passage and adoption.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this ___ day of April 2015.

______________________________
Suzanne Fuentes, Mayor
ATTEST:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  )
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  )  SS
CITY OF EL SEGUNDO  )

I, Tracy Weaver, City Clerk of the City of El Segundo, California, do hereby certify that the whole number of members of the City Council of said City is five; that the foregoing Ordinance No. 1505 was duly introduced by said City Council at a regular meeting held on the 7th day of April 2015, and was duly passed and adopted by said City Council, approved and signed by the Mayor, and attested to by the City Clerk, all at a regular meeting of said Council held on the _____ day of April 2015, and the same was so passed and adopted by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

______________________________
Tracy Weaver, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Mark D. Hensley, City Attorney

By: __________________________
Karl H. Berger, Assistant City Attorney
CITY COUNCIL ORDINANCE NO. 1505 EXHIBIT “A”
CITY COUNCIL RESOLUTION NO. 4909 EXHIBIT “D”

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

In addition to all applicable provisions of the El Segundo Municipal Code (“ESMC”) and such previous conditions of approval for the Project that are applicable, CDC Mar Campus, LLC and LAL Property, LLC, and its successor-in-interest, agrees that it will comply with the following provisions as conditions for the City’s approval of Environmental Assessment No. EA-1082; Specific Plan Amendment No. SPA 14-02; and Parking Demand Study No. PDS 14-01 (“Project Conditions”).

Planning & Building Safety Department

1. The project must comply with the conditions of approval in City Council Resolution No. 4582 and Ordinance No. 1492.

2. Two hundred and seventy six parking spaces must be provided in compliance with the Corporate Campus Specific Plan and Parking Demand Study. The daytime uses (office and athletic training) and nighttime use (exhibition hall venue for athletic events) cannot overlap or operate simultaneously. Athletic events can only take place after 7:00 p.m. on weekdays, anytime on weekends, and Federal Holidays, or any other time that office and athletic training uses are not operating except as permitted by an approved Special Events Permit issued in accordance with the ESMC.

3. Before the City issues a Certificate of Occupancy, the Applicant must obtain and record a Lot Line Adjustment to merge the four existing parcels into one parcel to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning and Building Safety. If the Lot Line Adjustment is not approved and recorded before the City issues a building permit, the Applicant must obtain and record a temporary lot tie agreement that is reviewed and approved as to form by the Director of Planning and Building Safety and the City Attorney. If a temporary lot tie agreement is recorded, a release of covenant must be prepared subject to review and approval by the Director of Planning and Building Safety and the City Attorney that must be recorded concurrently with a Certificate of Compliance for the Lot Line Adjustment. The applicant must reimburse the City for all costs incurred by the City for City Attorney review of the documents relating to the Lot Line Adjustment, the lot tie covenant, and the release of covenant.

4. Before the City issues any building permits for the project site, the 100 parking spaces for the City’s use for the athletic fields located on the project site must be relocated to the satisfaction of the City in compliance with the Specific Plan, the Development Agreement, and the conditions of approval.

Public Works

5. Before the City issues a building permit, the location and sizes of all proposed water meters must be approved by the City’s Water Division.
6. The applicant must provide a sewer study to the satisfaction of the Director of Public Works.

7. Any unused water or sanitary sewer laterals must be abandoned and properly capped at the City main. The Applicant must provide necessary permits, traffic control plans and shoring plans.

8. The project must comply with the latest MS4 permit requirements.

9. Any and all damaged or off-grade curb, sidewalk and pavement must be removed and replaced as required by the Public Works Department by an appropriately licensed contractor.

10. All record drawings (As-built drawings) and supporting documentation must be submitted to the Public Works Director, or designee, before scheduling the project's final inspection.

**Fire Department**

11. The applicant must provide an automatic fire sprinkler system throughout the building, installed in accordance with California Fire Code Chapter 9 and the currently adopted edition of NFPA 13, as adopted by the ESMC.

12. The applicant must provide a manual fire alarm system with voice evacuation throughout the building, installed in accordance with California Fire Code Chapter 9 and the currently adopted edition of NFPA 72, as adopted by the ESMC.

13. The Mariposa Avenue entrance is close to the Fire Station 2 apparatus approach (driveway), causing vehicles cueing to the proposed Lakers site blocking the fire apparatus exiting from the Fire Station 2 apparatus bay. Provide traffic interruption signals Eastbound and Westbound Mariposa Avenue at El Segundo Fire Station 2, to allow traffic to be stopped when fire apparatus must leave the station on an emergency response to the satisfaction of the Fire Chief, or designee.

14. The project must have a methane mitigation system installed for the project and the design and installation must be approved by the Fire Chief, or designee.

**Police Department**

15. Bicycle racks must be located in a well-lit location that will provide optimum security for persons and property.

16. Stairwell doors shall have a minimum 100-square-inch vision panel with the width not less than five inches, to provide visibility into the area being entered. Vision panels must meet requirements of the California Building Code, as adopted by the
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

ESMC, and they must preclude manipulation of the interior locking device from the exterior.

17. Perimeter walls must be a minimum height of 6 feet high. Walls must limit climbing access. Concrete walls cannot have projecting sections where decorative blocks are incorporated into the wall. All horizontal members for wrought iron, steel tubular or wood fencing must be located on the inside side of the fencing. The horizontal members must be located along the top and bottom portions of any wrought iron or steel tubular fencing.

INDEMNIFICATION

18. CDC Mar Campus, LLC and LAL Property, LLC agrees to indemnify and hold the City harmless from and against any claim, action, damages, costs (including, without limitation, attorney’s fees), injuries, or liability, arising from the City’s approval of Environmental Assessment No. EA-1082, Specific Plan Amendment No. SPA 14-02, and Parking Demand Study No. PDS 14-01. Should the City or any representative of the City be named in any suit, or should any claim be brought against it by suit or otherwise, whether the same be groundless or not, arising out of the City approval of Environmental Assessment No. EA-1082, Specific Plan Amendment No. SPA 14-02, and Parking Demand Study No. PDS 14-01, CDC Mar Campus, LLC and LAL Property, LLC agrees to defend the City (at the City’s request and with counsel satisfactory to the City) and will indemnify the City for any judgment rendered against it or any sums paid out in settlement or otherwise. For purposes of this section, “the City” includes the City of El Segundo’s elected officials, appointed officials, officers, and employees.

19. CDC Mar Campus, LLC and LAL Property, LLC must acknowledge receipt and acceptance of the Project Conditions by executing the acknowledgement below.

By signing this document, CDC Mar Campus, LLC and LAL Property, LLC certifies that it has read, understood, and agrees to the Project Conditions listed in this document.

Richard C. Lundquist, President
CDC Mar Campus, LLC

Leonard E. Blakeley, Jr.
Executive Vice President and Secretary
CDC Mar Campus, LLC
Jeanie Buss, Manager
LAL Property, LLC

{If Corporation or similar entity, need two officer signatures or evidence that one signature binds the company}
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EXHIBIT B

CORPORATE CAMPUS
SPECIFIC PLAN

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. EA-548
GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. GPA 01-2
ZONE CHANGE NO. ZC 01-1
ZONE TEXT AMENDMENT NO. ZTA 01-1
SPECIFIC PLAN NO. SP 01-1
DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT NO. DA 01-1
ADMINISTRATIVE USE PERMIT NO. AUP 01-1
VESTING TENTATIVE MAP NO. 53570 (SUB. 01-5)

AS AMENDED BY:
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. EA-1021
SPECIFIC PLAN AMENDMENT NO. SP 13-02
DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT NO. DA 13-02
SUBDIVISION NO. SUB 13-05 (VESTING TENTATIVE TRACT NO. 72287)
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. EA-1082
SPECIFIC PLAN AMENDMENT NO. SP 14-02

PREPARED FOR:
CITY OF EL SEGUNDO
350 MAIN STREET
EL SEGUNDO, CALIFORNIA 90245

APPLICANT:
LAL PROPERTY, LLC
555 NORTH NASH STREET
EL SEGUNDO, CA 90545

APRIL 7, 2015
V. DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

A. PERMITTED USES

The following uses are permitted by right:

1. Business service establishments such as electronic computer facilities, web hosting, technology-related telecommunications, internet data centers; internet service exchanges; and internet service providers (as such uses are defined on Page 12 of this Specific Plan).
2. Combined offices, athletic training facilities, and exhibition venues for professional sports teams.
3. Commissary.
4. Craft shops and rentals.
5. General offices of commercial, financial, or industrial establishments.
6. Engineering, industrial design, consultation and other offices.
7. Financial institutions.
8. Fire stations and other public uses.
10. Light industrial/manufacturing
11. Medical-dental offices or facilities.
12. Movie and entertainment facilities.
15. Picture equipment sales.
16. Public and private utilities, including co-generation and substation facilities.
17. Recreational facilities (public and commercial).
18. Restaurants, coffee shops and cafes.
19. Retail sales and services (excluding off-site alcohol sales) and wholesale sales.
20. Scientific research and experimental development laboratories.
21. Special effects studios.
22. Studio/sound stage(s) and other support facilities.
23. Theaters and performance space.
24. Trade union halls, clubs, including service clubs, veterans' organizations, lodges and similar nonprofit organizations.
25. Other similar uses approved by the Director of Planning and Building Safety, as provided in Section IV of this Specific Plan.
B. PERMITTED ACCESSORY USES

1. Drive-through or walk-up services related to financial operations.
2. Employee recreational facilities and play area.
3. Parking structures and surface parking lots.
4. Any use customarily incidental to a permitted use.
5. Other similar uses approved by the Director of Planning and Building Safety.

C. USES SUBJECT TO AN ADMINISTRATIVE USE PERMIT

The following uses require an administrative use permit issued in accordance with the ESMC:
1. The on-site sale and consumption of alcohol at restaurants, coffee shops, delicatessens, cafes, and hotels.
2. The off-site sale of alcohol at retail establishments.
3. Video arcades with three or fewer video or arcade machines.
4. Other similar uses approved by the Director of Planning and Building Safety, as provided in Section IV B of this Specific Plan.

D. USES SUBJECT TO A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT

The following uses require a conditional use permit issued in accordance with the ESMC:
2. On-site sale and consumption of alcohol at bars.
3. Outdoor dining, exempting outdoor dining at restaurants where outdoor dining comprises 20% or less of the total dining area of the restaurant.
4. Commercial parking facilities, including park and ride lots.
5. Service stations.
6. Video arcades with four or more video or arcade machines.
7. Other similar uses approved by the Director of Planning and Building Safety, as provided in Section IV B of this Specific Plan.

E. PROHIBITED USES

The following uses are prohibited:

1. All uses that are not permitted or conditionally permitted in the Specific Plan area.
2. All uses that are involved with the transfer and storage of waste material.
3. Residential uses.
4. Drive-through restaurants.
5. Freight forwarding, freight sort, freight transfer, freight terminals, freight yards, sort and distribution facilities, sort, distribution or express operations facilities, warehouse and distribution facilities, and other similar uses.
F. DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS

The Corporate Campus Specific Plan Development Standards apply to all uses in the Plan area. Where the Specific Plan does not state, new development must comply with the applicable sections of the El Segundo Municipal Code. Otherwise, the requirements in this Specific Plan provide the primary development guidelines for the Specific Plan area.


   a. All uses are subject to administrative site plan review to assure design compatibility and adequate access.

   b. Unless otherwise provided, all uses must comply with the ESMC.

   c. All uses must be conducted wholly within an enclosed building except:

      i. Electrical distribution stations.
      ii. Outdoor restaurants and cafes incidental to the permitted use, provided they comply with the provisions of ESMC § 15-2-16.
      iii. Recreational facilities customarily conducted in the open.
      iv. Outdoor recreation associated with daycare facilities.
      v. Special uses, to the degree a conditional use permit granting such special uses expressly permits operation in other than a fully enclosed building.

2. Lot Area

   a. The minimum lot area is 10,000 gross square feet.

3. Height

   a. Buildings and structures within the Specific Plan area cannot exceed 175 feet in height.

   b. Structures cannot interfere with the operation of the MTA Green Line.

4. Setbacks

   a. Buildings within the Specific Plan area must be setback a minimum of fifteen feet from the adjoining public rights-of-way of Nash Street, Atwood Way, Douglas Street, and Mariposa Avenue.
b. Building setbacks within the interior of the Specific Plan must be a minimum of five feet from each lot line, except for buildings adjacent to private streets/internal roadways, in which case setbacks will be fifteen feet from curb face. Actual required setbacks may vary depending on California Building Code, as adopted by the ESMC, requirements that relate to type and height of structure.

c. The following intrusions are permitted to project into a setback:

1. Architectural landscape features, such as fountains, arbors, trellises, pergolas, colonnades, statuary, and other similar features, as well as works of art which also do not contain floor area; or a roof or side walls consisting of greater than 20% component solid portions.
2. Cornices, belt courses, sills, eaves or similar architectural features.
3. Planting boxes or masonry planters.
4. Guard railing for safety protection around ramps.
5. Mechanical equipment and housing.
6. Bay or greenhouse windows.
7. At-grade patios with 6-foot high walls, fences, and hedges within the front yard setbacks.

5. **Lot Frontage**

a. A minimum of 100 feet of frontage must be provided on a public street, private street, or private driveway.

b. A minimum of 80 feet of frontage must be provided on lots that abut green-belt lots.

c. A minimum of 30 feet of frontage on a private street or on a driveway parcel must be provided for green-belt lots.

6. **Gross and Net Floor Area**

a. Net floor area consists of the area of all floors or levels included within the exterior surrounding walls of a building or structure. Space devoted to the following is not included when determining the total net floor area within a building or structure:

1. Elevator shafts;
2. Stairwells;
3. Courts or atriums uncovered and open to the sky;
4. Rooms exclusively holding building operating equipment;
5. Parking spaces at or above grade and access thereto;


b. Gross floor area consists of the area included within the surrounding exterior walls of a building or portion thereof, exclusive of garages, vent shafts, and courts. The floor area of a building, or portion thereof, not provided with surrounding exterior walls constitute usable area under the horizontal projection of the roof or floor above.

c. Net floor area is used in calculating floor area ratios within the Specific Plan. For purposes of converting gross floor area to net floor area, a factor of 0.92 is used. Based on net floor area, the maximum allowable FAR for the entire Specific Plan is 0.99 to 1.

7. Floor Area Ratio and Transfer of Development Rights

a. Development within the boundaries of the Specific Plan cannot exceed a FAR of 0.99 to 1. Any floor area that may be constructed for ancillary public recreational uses, and/or for a fire station, cannot be counted against the allowable floor area permitted for the Specific Plan area.

b. Unused FAR may be transferred from any parcel within the boundaries of the Specific Plan area (the “Donor Site”) to any other parcel, whether contiguous or non-contiguous, within the Specific Plan area (the “Receiver Site”) upon the written consent of the owners of both the Donor Site and Receiver Site. Any FAR transferred from a Donor Site must be deducted from that parcel’s base FAR. In no event, or at no time, can the overall FAR for the Specific Plan area exceed 0.99 to 1. Transfer of FAR may be accomplished by submitting a letter from the applicant to the Director of Planning and Building Safety before the City issues building permits for the Receiver Site which would utilize the transferred FAR. The Director will maintain records of such transfers and the current density allocations, if any, of all of the properties within the Specific Plan area. In addition, the owner of Donor Site must record a covenant acceptable to the Director and the City Attorney memorializing such transfer of FAR.

8. Walls & Fences

a. All walls and fences must comply with ESMC §§ 15-5E-7G and 15-2-4.

b. Setback Exceptions for walls, fences and hedges set forth in Section V(F)(4)(c)(7) of this Plan.

9. Traffic and Access

a. The maximum number of A.M. and P.M. peak hour vehicle trips for the Specific Plan area, as determined in accordance with the Trip Generation tables set forth in Appendix B, cannot exceed 2,186 and 2,631, respectively, unless a subsequent traffic report was prepared to the
reasonable satisfaction of the Director of Planning and Building Safety that identifies potential impacts and proposes feasible measures to mitigate previously unidentified new impacts.

b. A trip inventory analysis must be prepared, acceptable to the Director of Planning and Building Safety, to maintain a cumulative accounting of total square footage by land use as well as the cumulative number of A.M. and P.M. peak hour trips. The trip inventory will be updated and submitted upon the filing of each building permit request.

c. Internal roadways must be designed and constructed in accordance with the following typical sections:

10. Parking and Loading

a. Parking and loading must be provided as required by ESMC Chapter 15-15, unless as otherwise specified in the section.

b. The total parking required for combined offices, athletic training facilities, and exhibition venues for professional sports teams must be set forth in a parking demand study for such use approved by the Director of Planning and Building Safety.
c. The number of required parking spaces may be modified subject to the approval of transportation demand management measures and a transportation systems management plan, as outlined in ESMC Chapters 15-16 and 15-17.

d. The Director of Planning and Building Safety may modify the required number of parking spaces up to a maximum of 10%, based on a parking demand study. Additionally, for any use for which the number of parking spaces is not listed in ESMC Chapter 15-15, the Director of Planning and Building Safety must specify the required number of spaces based on a parking demand study.

e. The Director of Planning and Building Safety may grant uses with significantly different peak hours of operation up to a 20% parking reduction. Any request for such shared parking must meet the following requirements:

1. A parking study must be submitted by the applicant showing that the request will not result in a substantial conflict in the peak hours or parking demand for the uses for which the joint use is proposed.

2. The number of parking stalls which may be credited against the requirements of the structures or uses involved cannot exceed the number of stalls reasonably anticipated to be available during differing hours of operation.

3. A written agreement must be executed by all affected parties, to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning and Building Safety and the City Attorney ensuring the continued availability of the number of stalls designed for joint use.

f. Any public recreation use within the Specific Plan area cannot be required to provide parking beyond that already provided for daytime, weekday users.

g. Electric vehicle charging stations must be evenly distributed throughout the Specific Plan area at a rate of 0.5% of the total number of required spaces.

h. Loading spaces may be shared with regular parking spaces provided that loading does not occur during the hours from 6 a.m. to 6 p.m. and signs must be posted prohibiting parking by employees and the general public during the designated loading hours, which will be from 6 p.m. to 6 a.m.
11. Minimum and Maximum Floor Area By Use

a. The maximum amount of developed floor area within the Specific Plan area cannot exceed the allowable FAR as permitted by this Specific Plan. Of this total, a maximum amount of eighty percent of the total overall gross square footage constructed is permitted for Office uses. The minimum amount of Non-office uses (all other Permitted Uses, Permitted Accessory Uses, and Uses subject to an Administrative Use or Conditional Use Permit, see definition in Section V F 11 c, below) cannot be less than twenty percent of the total overall gross square footage constructed.

b. The Non-office uses will be phased proportionately throughout development of the Specific Plan at a ratio of one square foot of Non-office use for every five square feet of Office use; a ratio of 5 to 1 or 20%. However, credit for the deferral of up to 200,000 square feet of Non-office uses, , will be applied to any phase of development at the discretion of the developer as follows: 50,000 gross square feet may be deferred for the dedication of one acre of land to the City by Grant Deed of the Fire Station Site and the remainder may be deferred upon the with City’s acquisition of the Park Site.

c. For the purposes of the Specific Plan Non-offices uses consist of the following, without limitation: technology, web hosting, and telecommunications, financial institutions, hotels and motels, motion picture/television production facilities, restaurants, coffee shops, cafes, retail and wholesale sales and service, scientific research and experimental development laboratories, light industrial, medical/dental offices, commercial recreational facilities, athletic training facilities and exhibition venues for professional sports teams, trade union halls, clubs, service clubs, veteran’s organizations, lodges, and other similar uses approved by the Director of Planning and Building Safety.

d. Any square footage of buildings or structures on the Fire Station Site and/or the Park Site will not be considered Non-Office or Office Uses in determining compliance with this Section 11.

12. Signage

The following development standards apply to signs within the Specific Plan area.

a. All signs must be constructed of permanent materials. Signs must be permanently attached to the ground, a building, or another structure by direct attachment to a rigid wall, frame, or structure. All signs must be maintained in good structural condition and comply with all building and electrical codes at all times.

b. Freestanding buildings may display wall, fin, marquee, canopy, and projecting signage up to five percent of each building face.

c. Store-front shops are allowed signage up to fifteen percent of the face of each shop front, including any wall, fin, marquee, canopy, and projecting signs.

d. One monument or ground sign up to two hundred fifty square feet of signage area per sign face is permitted per street frontage per lot. Monument signs that identify the master-planned campus will be encouraged at Specific Plan entry areas.

e. Signs identifying those persons engaged in construction up to a maximum of thirty-two square feet per construction site are allowed for a maximum period of six months. The Director of Planning and Building Safety may grant up to three separate six-month extensions.
f. Real estate signs, including "For Sale," "For Lease," "For Rent" signs, up to a maximum size of thirty-two square feet. Real estate signs must be removed immediately following the sale (close of escrow), lease (occupancy), or disposition of the property.

g. One temporary construction "announcement" or "Coming Soon" sign up to a maximum size of one hundred square feet for a new development or business may be erected and maintained from the time a building permit is issued until final inspection, but in no case may an announcement sign be displayed for more than one year.

h. Any sign may be erected and maintained in a required setback, provided a five-foot minimum setback is maintained and the sign is not located in a required corner clearance or driveway visibility area.

i. One identification sign for each street frontage is allowed, provided the signs contain only the name and street address of the building upon which it is placed. The size of such signs must be counted as a portion of the maximum signs otherwise permitted on the property (e.g., freestanding buildings, store fronts, monument signs).

j. No billboards or pole signs are permitted within the Specific Plan.

k. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in the Specific Plan or the ESMC, the following signs are permitted for combined corporate offices/headquarters, training facility, and exhibition venue for a professional sports team:

1. Definitions:

(a) Non-Projecting Roof Sign is defined as: a sign integrated into the horizontal roof surface such that it visible only from the air, and not from the surrounding public rights-of-way. Such signage is limited to team and/or sponsor names and/or logos.

(b) Projecting Wall Signs are defined as: signs attached to the building's windows and curtain wall system that are located behind the projecting building vertical fins, attached to the architectural steel fins and building skin, and/or mounted between the building fins and/or a screen attached to the building facade. A Projecting Wall Sign is allowed to project more than 6 inches from the window or wall it is attached to, not to exceed 3 feet. Such signage may consist of vinyl, composite material in compliance with building, fire and sign code requirements regarding durability, or metal and may include graphic images of players and/or team and/or sponsor names and/or logos.

(c) Identification Signs are defined as: signs that identify the project and its occupant, which are located along a street frontage and mounted to parking screen walls. Such signage may include the name and/or logo of the team and/or the project.

(d) LED Digital Signs are defined as: (i) LED Digital Signs with scrolling alphanumeric characters that provide event, team and other news in black, amber, gold or purple on an amber, gold, purple, or black background; and (ii) a LED Digital Video Sign with full motion animation (such as game highlights or similar video content) and changeable copy in a full range of colors.

(e) Sponsor is defined as a licensee of the naming rights for the building or the property.
2. Permitted Signs.

(a) One Non-Projecting Roof Sign with a maximum sign area of 24,300 square feet. Sponsor names and logos are permitted on non-projecting roof signs and are allowed to comprise the entire surface area of the sign.

(b) A total of eleven (11) Projecting Wall Signs, consisting of: (i) four steel Projecting Wall Signs integrated with the architectural steel fins and building skin and/or a screen attached to the building facade, each with a maximum individual sign area of 2,000 square feet and all with a maximum total collective sign area of 7,000 square feet, and (ii) seven steel Projecting Wall Signs, each mounted between two of the steel fins and the metal panel mechanical screen wall, each with a maximum individual sign area of 500 square feet, and all with a maximum collective sign area of 3,500 square feet. Maximum combined area for all signs shall not exceed twenty seven (27%) percent per building face. Sponsor names and logos cannot exceed 65% of the sign area for each of these sign types.

(c) One Window Sign with a maximum sign area of 265 square feet. Sponsor names and logos cannot exceed 65% of the sign area.

(d) Two Identification Signs, each with a maximum individual sign area of 250 square feet, and all with a maximum collective sign area of 500 square feet.

(e) Four LED Digital Signs, consisting of (i) three LED Digital Wall Signs with scrolling alphanumeric characters that wrap the exterior surface of the parking structure roof on the east side of the Project with a maximum collective sign area of 450 square feet and a maximum vertical dimension of two feet, and (ii) one LED Digital Video Window or Wall Sign located at a public plaza at the northwest corner of the building with a maximum sign area of 256 square feet. Except as expressly provided herein, LED Digital Signs, including the LED Digital Video Sign, must comply with ESMC § 15-18-11(l). The LED Digital Signs may have a nighttime brightness of not greater than 800 candelas per square meter and a daytime brightness of not more than 3,500 candelas per square meter. The intensity of the LED Digital Signs must be controlled with a photocell with an adjustable set-point that measures available daylight. This set-point must be used to control the intensity of the sign output to either the daytime or nighttime luminous intensity. Sponsor names and logos are permitted on LED Digital Signs and are allowed to comprise the entire surface area of the sign from time to time.

(f) Directional Signage: Subject to requirements of ESMC Chapter 15-18.

(g) Informational Signage: Subject to requirements of ESMC Chapter 15-18.

(h) Temporary Banner Signs: A maximum of four Temporary Banner Signs are permitted (one per building frontage) for special events related to the Professional Sports Team including, without limitation, NBA Championships or similar events subject to approval by the Director of Planning and Building Safety. Temporary Banner Signs are permitted up to a maximum of 1400
square feet for each individual sign for a maximum period of 60 days without City Council approval. Installation of Temporary Banner Signs are limited to 120 days per calendar year without City Council approval. Temporary Banner Signs require a sign permit issued and must be constructed of a durable material to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning and Building Safety.

3. Calculation of Sponsor Name and Logo Area: The area of a sponsor name and logo is the area circumscribed by the smallest geometric shape created with a maximum of eight straight lines which enclose all the letters of the sponsor’s name or letters, figures, symbols, designs of the sponsor’s logo, but does not include any words, letters, figures, symbols, designs or pictures associated with the venue or a particular sports team, professional sports association, or public service content, images or messages or framing or background.

4. Illumination. Subject to the above requirements, all signs may be illuminated; provided, however, that the Non-Projecting Roof Sign cannot be internally lit and lighting must be directed downward onto the sign to minimize atmospheric light pollution.

5. Content. The content, image, or message of any of the signs described above that are visible on the exterior of the building that depicts a sports team, professional sports association, or public service content, images or messages or commercial copy of sponsorships may be changed from time to time without the City’s approval, subject to the provisions of this Section 12.k and ESMC Chapter 13-6. No content, images, or messages consistent with the definitions in ESMC § 15-13-4 are allowed.

6. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, there shall be no more than one (1) permitted sponsor at any given time for any signage visible from any public right-of-way.

13. Landscaping

Landscaping criteria are divided into four separate components.

a. Property Entry Area

- Landscaping at the Specific Plan entrances must be accentuated and distinguished from that within the rest of the Specific Plan area. A permanent irrigation system must be installed at the property entry area.

b. Building Perimeter

- Except as otherwise allowed by this Plan, all buildings must have landscaped areas and permanent irrigation systems within the setbacks around their perimeter.
- A combination of soft and hard landscape material may be installed in harmony with the overall design of the development. The design of the landscaping must incorporate a variety of heights, textures, and colors to enhance and soften building perimeters.

- Except as otherwise allowed by this Plan, a minimum horizontal depth of five feet of landscape materials, excluding curbs, must be provided around each building. In instances where two buildings are separated by ten feet, the landscape requirement must be reduced to allow for pedestrian walkways/access.

c. Property Perimeter

All required setback areas must be fully landscaped including permanent irrigation systems. One shade tree must be provided for every 25 feet of street frontage. The following encroachments are permitted into the landscaped setback areas:

- Parking may encroach into the landscaped setback up to a maximum of fifty percent of the required setback area, provided a minimum landscaped setback of five feet is maintained.
- "Architectural landscape features," as defined on Page 5, section 4 c.1 of this Specific Plan, may encroach into the landscaped setback area up to a maximum of eighty percent of the required setback area, provided a minimum landscaped setback of five feet is maintained. The features may cover a maximum of twenty five percent of the total area of the setback, and be a maximum of twenty feet in height.

d. Vehicle Use Areas (VUA)

- All surface vehicular use areas (VUA) must provide landscape areas including shade trees and permanent irrigation systems, to cover five percent of the VUA. Landscaping must be distributed uniformly throughout the VUA, and be in addition to the required property perimeter and building perimeter landscaping. One tree must be provided for every three thousand square feet of VUA. These trees must be evenly distributed throughout the automobile parking area to provide shade and be provided around the perimeter of truck loading/waiting areas to provide screening. Individual tree and planter areas cannot be less than three feet in width, excluding curbs.
EL SEGUNDO CITY COUNCIL
AGENDA STATEMENT

MEETING DATE: April 21, 2015
AGENDA HEADING: Consent Agenda

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

Consideration and possible action regarding adopting of an ordinance to modify El Segundo Municipal Code ("ESMC") Chapter 1-7C, to include "public projects" which will help facilitate the timely bidding, contracting, and completion of most public projects. (Fiscal Impact: $0)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:

1. Waive second reading and adopt Ordinance No. 1506 amending ESMC Chapter 1-7C to extend the City’s election under the Uniform Public Construction Cost Accounting Act to all forms of “public projects” as defined in Public Contract Code § 22002(e).

2. Alternatively, discuss and take other possible action related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

Proposed Ordinance No. 1506

FISCAL IMPACT: None

Amount Budgeted: $0
Additional Appropriation: N/A
Account Number(s): N/A

ORIGINATED BY: Stephanie Katsouleas, Public Works Director

REVIEWED BY: Gregg Kovacevich, Assistant City Attorney

APPROVED BY: Greg Carpenter, City Manager

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:

On April 7, 2015 the City Council introduced and approved a resolution to approve an ordinance amending the El Segundo Municipal code addressing how public projects are bid and awarded. The proposed amendments provide greater flexibility to the Public Works Department to more quickly carry out public projects valued at under $45,000. The proposed amendments include:

- Incorporating the definition of “Public Project” as defined in Public Contract Code § 22002(c) into El Segundo’s Municipal Code.
- Allowing projects valued at under $45,000 to be implemented using force account (staff resources), negotiated contract and/or purchase order in lieu of requiring formal bid and award procedures; Public projects of $175,000 or less may be let to contract using informal bidding procedures set forth in the Act while public projects of greater than $175,000 must be formally bid under Public Contracting Code guidelines.
- Raising the signature authority of the City Manager from $5,000 to $45,000 to execute contracts for public projects.
• Raising the threshold for when a bid security is required from $30,000 to $45,000.
• Delegating authority to the Director of Public Works to adopt plans and specifications while still maintaining the design immunity defense.

To recap, the Uniform Public Construction Cost Accounting Act (UPCCAA; Public Contract Code § 22000, et seq.) provides great bidding and award flexibilities for public projects if an agency (1) adopts a resolution electing to become subject to the UPCCAA and notified the State Controller of said election, and (2) adopts an ordinance enacting the informal bidding requirements set forth in the UPCCAA. Qualifying agencies may utilize informal bidding procedures of the UPCCAA in lieu of the formal bidding procedures of the Public Contract Code provided they subscribe to uniform construction cost account policies and procedures developed by the California Uniform Construction Cost Accounting Commission.

Therefore, staff recommends that City Council waive the second reading and adopt Ordinance No. 1506 amending El Segundo Municipal Code Chapter 1-7C to become subject to the UPCCAA for public projects as defined in Public Contract Code § 22002(c), which in turn allows for greater flexibilities in implementing those public projects.
ORDINANCE NO. 1506

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING EL SEGUNDO MUNICIPAL CODE
CHAPTER 1-7C REGARDING INFORMAL BIDDING OF PUBLIC
WORKS PROJECTS.

The City Council of the city of El Segundo does ordain as follows:

SECTION 1: The City Council finds and determines as follows:

A. On January 17, 2006, the City Council adopted Resolution No. 4449
wherein the City elected to become subject to the Uniform Public
Construction Cost Accounting Act (Public Contract Code §§ 22000, et
seq.) for purposes of contracting “maintenance work” as defined in Public
Contract Code § 22002(d).

B. By Resolution No. 4911 adopted April 7, 2015, the City Council elected to
become subject to the Uniform Public Construction Cost Accounting Act
for purposes of all “public projects” as defined therein.

C. The City would like to take advantage of the informal bidding procedures
set forth in the Act as they apply to “public projects” as defined in Public
Contract Code § 22002(c).

D. It is in the public interest to make the informal bidding procedures
applicable to public projects to ensure faster processing time, greater
efficiency, and maximum cost savings in the letting of public works
contracts.

E. In the interests of efficiency and ensuring quicker procurement time for
smaller public works projects and related contracts, it is necessary to
increase the City Manager’s discretionary authority to solicit bids and
award contracts without prior City Council approval.

F. In the interest of ensuring efficiency and maximum cost savings for
smaller public works projects, it is necessary to raise the cost threshold for
requiring bid security in conjunction with such projects while still
preserving the city manager’s discretion to require bid security whenever
the director believes such security is needed to protect the City’s interests.

SECTION 2: El Segundo Municipal Code (“ESMC”) § 1-7C-3 is amended to read as
follows:

"1-7C-3: DEFINITIONS:
Unless the contrary is stated or clearly appears from the context, the definitions in Public Contract Code § 22002 and set forth below will govern the construction of the words and phrases used in this chapter:

CITY MANAGER: The city manager or designee.”

SECTION 3: ESMC § 1-7C-4 is amended to read as follows:

“1-7C-4: SOLICITING BIDS AND AWARDING CONTRACTS:

The city manager may solicit bids, award contracts, and execute contracts for public projects up to forty-five thousand dollars ($45,000). Contracts for public projects costing more than forty-five thousand dollars ($45,000) must be awarded by the city council.”

SECTION 4: ESMC § 1-7C-7 is amended to read as follows:

“1-7C-7: BID SECURITY:

A. Bid security is required for all bids on public projects when the city manager estimates that the price will exceed forty-five thousand dollars ($45,000). Bid security may be a bond issued by a licensed and duly qualified corporate surety, or the equivalent in cash, money order, cashier’s check, certified check, unconditional letter of credit, or other form approved by the city attorney. Nothing in this section prevents the city from requiring bid security on public projects of forty-five thousand dollars ($45,000) or less when the city manager believes such security is needed to protect the city’s interests.

B. Bid security must equal at least ten percent (10%) of the bid amount.

C. If the notice inviting bids requires a bid security, noncompliance or defective, inadequate, or incomplete security will render the bid nonresponsive.

D. Bid security will be forfeited or paid to the city should the bidder fail to execute a contract within the time specified in the notice inviting bids.”

SECTION 5: Section 1-7C-11 is hereby added to Chapter 1-7C of the ESMC to read as follows:

“1-7C-11: DESIGN APPROVAL:

The Public Works Director is authorized to approve designs for purposes of design immunity pursuant to Government Code section 830.6. Nothing
in this Section is intended to, nor will it, preclude the City Council from separately or additionally approving designs for purposes of design immunity pursuant to Government Code section 830.6."

SECTION 6: Environmental Review. This ordinance is exempt from additional environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code §§ 21000, et seq., "CEQA") and CEQA regulations (14 California Code of Regulations §§ 15000, et seq.) because it establishes rules and procedures to permit operation of existing facilities; consists only of minor revisions and clarifications to existing regulations and specification of procedures related thereto; and consists of actions taken to assure the maintenance, protection and enhancement of the environment. This ordinance, therefore, does not have the potential to cause significant effects on the environment. Consequently, it is categorically exempt from further CEQA review under 14 Cal. Code Regs. §§ 15301, 15305, and 15308.

SECTION 7: Construction. This Ordinance must be broadly construed in order to achieve the purposes stated in this Ordinance. It is the City Council’s intent that the provisions of this Ordinance be interpreted or implemented by the City and others in a manner that facilitates the purposes set forth in this Ordinance.

SECTION 8: Enforceability. Repeal of any provision of the ESMC does not affect any penalty, forfeiture, or liability incurred before, or preclude prosecution and imposition of penalties for any violation occurring before this Ordinance’s effective date. Any such repealed part will remain in full force and effect for sustaining action or prosecuting violations occurring before the effective date of this Ordinance.

SECTION 9: Validity of Previous Code Sections. If this entire Ordinance or its application is deemed invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, any repeal or amendment of the ESMC or other city ordinance by this Ordinance will be rendered void and cause such previous ESMC provision or other the city ordinance to remain in full force and effect for all purposes.

SECTION 10: Severability. If any part of this Ordinance or its application is deemed invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, the City Council intends that such invalidity will not affect the effectiveness of the remaining provisions or applications and, to this end, the provisions of this Ordinance are severable.

SECTION 11: The City Clerk is directed to certify the passage and adoption of this Ordinance, cause it to be entered into the city of El Segundo’s book or original ordinances, make a note of the passage and adoption in the records of this meeting, and, within fifteen days after the passage and adoption of this Ordinance, cause it to be published or posted in accordance with California law.

SECTION 12: This Ordinance will take effect on the 31st day following its final passage and adoption.
PASSED AND ADOPTED this 21st day of April, 2015

Suzanne Fuentes, Mayor

ATTEST:

Tracy Sherrill Weaver, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM
MARK D. HENSLEY, City Attorney

By: __________________________
    Karl H. Berger,
    Assistant City Attorney
EL SEGUNDO CITY COUNCIL
AGENDA STATEMENT

MEETING DATE: April 21, 2015
AGENDA HEADING: Consent Agenda

AGENDA DESCRIPTION:
Consideration and possible action to authorize the City Manager to enter into an agreement in a form approved by the City Attorney with Magellan Advisors for a City owned fiber optic network feasibility study at cost not to exceed $35,000. (Fiscal Impact: $35,000)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:

1. Authorize the City Manager to enter into an agreement in a form approved by the City Attorney with Magellan Advisors for a City owned fiber optic network feasibility study at cost not to exceed $35,000.

2. Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:
Magellan Advisors Proposal
Uptown Services Proposal

FISCAL IMPACT: Included in Adopted Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount Budgeted:</th>
<th>$0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Additional Appropriation:</td>
<td>Yes $35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account Number(s):</td>
<td>001-400-2505-6214 (Professional/Technical)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ORIGINATED BY: Larry Klingaman, Information Systems Manager
REVIEWED BY: Mitch Tavera, Chief of Police
APPROVED BY: Greg Carpenter, City Manager

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:

At the Strategic Planning session on August 16, 2012, staff presented a long term vision for fiber optic connectivity in El Segundo. A multi-part program was proposed with two immediate phases that would lay the foundation for a later “Dark Fiber” phase in the Smoky Hollow area. Staff was given direction to proceed with first two phases of the installation of the fiber optic network.

Phase one connected the City owned buildings west of Sepulveda Boulevard and was completed on March 18, 2014. On February 17, 2015, the City Council awarded a contract for the second phase to install fiber optic cable to the city facilities east of Sepulveda Boulevard. This phase is currently under construction and will be complete before the end of the fiscal year.

The next portion of the fiber optic program involves the construction of a fiber optic network in the Smoky Hollow area and eastern section of town. A fiber optic network with unused capacity
that is leased to clients is typically called a “Dark Fiber” network. The City’s “Dark Fiber” network is expected to be a self-sufficient enterprise program after initial seed funding. Early installations at strategically located areas will create a revenue stream to generate future cash flows for network expansion.

The proposed network will serve to connect property owners and tenants into one of three data centers in town, connect multiple buildings locations together, or potentially acquire Internet access from the City. If undertaken, this phase will be a multi-year project and involve millions of dollars in construction costs. It is anticipated that the system will be self-funding with early revenues being invested back into future construction.

The primary goal of the program is to provide a supporting role in the redevelopment of the Smoky Hollow area by attracting creative and technology related companies. A recent Technical Advisors Panel in 2012 found lack of fiber optic infrastructure to be a key obstacle to overcome in the revival of Smoky Hollow. Creating a “Dark Fiber” network will help to promote economic development in the area and move the City closer to extending “Silicon Beach” south into El Segundo.

Other local cities have already been down this path. Santa Monica and Pasadena both have extremely robust fiber optic networks. The network in Pasadena has one large customer, JPL who provides a large portion of the ongoing funding. This one customer has allowed other customers to leverage the existing pathway that they otherwise would not have been able to afford. Santa Monica has been building their fiber optic network over the last twenty years. Santa Monica created an open trench policy to install fiber optic conduit whenever construction occurred on key streets. Santa Monica also reinvested all communication charges that were reduced from the use of fiber optics back into the construction of their network.

If we do not move forward, private carriers will potentially build out the network over time. However, with the composition of the businesses currently in the area, the return on investment currently does not exist for a private company to construct a network. Private companies typically look to a three to five year ROI for new build outs.

To determine the likelihood of successfully establishing a program, staff reached out to two consultants who perform fiber optic feasibility studies. Staff contacted Magellan Advisors and Uptown Services. Both vendors have completed feasibility studies for many other municipalities, counties and states. Uptown Services has recently completed a study for Pasadena and won a recent bid for Beverly Hills. Magellan Advisors has performed work for the City of Woodland and the City of Riverside.

Staff met with each vendor and discussed our existing infrastructure, gave a history of the proposed project, and explained local conditions. In these meeting staff also expressed a desire to phase in the construction by taking advantage of opportunities as they arise. Both vendors provided a clear proposal at a total cost of $35,000.
Both vendors recommended roughly the same approach to the study.

- Interview key business stakeholders
- Search for synergies (School District, SCADA, Meter Reading, Wireless)
- Determine services to be offered
- Create a conceptual technical design
- Define Operational Considerations
- Create a Financial Plan and Funding Options
- Perform Regulatory Analysis
- Create an Action Plan

Uptown Services proposal included up to 10 interviews with local businesses and then every interview thereafter was an additional $1,000. The proposal from Magellan allowed for the City to determine the scope of the outreach for businesses.

Magellan Advisors proposed to split the project into two phases. They recommended waiting to perform the regulatory analysis and creation of an action plan until after the successful result of the first phases. Splitting up the project in this manner commits the City to $23,800 to perform the initial analysis. Upon a successful result, the remaining analysis can be completed at an additional cost of $11,200 bringing the study total to $35,000.

Staff recommends that City Council authorize the City Manager to enter into an agreement in a form approved by the City Attorney with Magellan Advisors for a City owned fiber optic network feasibility study at cost not to exceed $35,000.
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El Segundo Broadband Feasibility Study Proposal
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Information Systems Manager
City of El Segundo
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Introduction to Magellan Advisors

Magellan Advisors is the leading community broadband planning and implementation firm for local governments that want to develop broadband infrastructure for the future of their communities. We are a national firm with headquarters in Denver, CO and regional offices in Florida, Pennsylvania, Kansas, and California. Magellan works extensively with communities, local governments and providers across the country to help them design, build and operate broadband networks. We’ve helped over 200 public organizations achieve their broadband goals and have an outstanding track record of successful implementations in communities that are now realizing the benefits of next-generation broadband. We are a hands-on firm that helps communities not only plan strategic broadband projects, but also implement and manage them – a key differentiator that separates us from other consulting firms.

We assist communities, public utilities, municipalities, states and federal agencies bring next generation broadband to develop broadband networks with the goals of promoting economic and community development, improving public safety operations, expanding healthcare services and supporting educational needs. We utilize a whole-community approach to broadband planning to ensure that these networks provide the greatest benefit to all stakeholders in the community. Using this approach, we tailor broadband strategies to individual community needs. Doing so enables communities to plan and build broadband networks specifically designed to meet their goals, focused on achieving key objectives to support their local economies, critical community functions and overall quality of life of their citizens.

Community Needs Assessments & Feasibility Studies

Broadband Design, Engineering & Operations

Business Models & Public-Private Partnerships

Financial Planning, Funding & Grant Development

Broadband Project & Construction Management

Community broadband projects are challenging, multi-faceted projects that involve many stakeholders, public organizations and private broadband providers. Magellan is the only firm that provides a turnkey solution to communities to help them plan, build and operate broadband networks. We help you through the entire process of identifying your community’s needs, to engaging stakeholders, to designing the network, to determining the right business models and public-private partnerships, to sourcing funds to implementing and managing the infrastructure.
Projects & Experience

Below are some of the clients Magellan has assisted over the last few years. No other firm has the breadth of experience, availability of resources or record of success in broadband consulting as Magellan. Magellan has a significant number of California municipal clients that we have helped develop broadband strategies, including Riverside, Davis, Woodland, West Sacramento, Winters and Yolo County.

- Rancho Santa Fe, CA
- Niobrara County, WY
- City of Fort Morgan, CO
- Port of Whidbey Island, WA
- City of Hamilton, OH
- City of Ketchum, ID
- City of Missoula, MT
- Missoula County, MT
- Colorado EAGLE-Net
- Arizona GovNET, Inc.
- Massachusetts Broadband Institute
- Columbia County, GA
- City of Hamilton, OH
- Matawa First Nations, Ontario Canada
- University of Florida, PURC
- Southwest Florida Regional Planning Council
- State of Florida
- State of Vermont
- Seminole County, FL
- City of Clermont, FL
- Flagler County School District, FL
- City of Manors, FL
- City of Jupiter, FL
- City of Lake Jackson, TX
- City of Daytona Beach, FL
- City of College Station, TX
- City of Bryan, TX
- City of Riverside, CA
- Fort Pierce Utilities Authority, FL
- Riverside Public Utilities, CA
- City of Bristol, VA
- City of Cornelius, NC
- City of Davenport, IA
- City of New Braunfels, TX
- City of Hudson, OH
- Niagara County Airport Stakeholder Group, NY
- City of Tuscaloosa, AL
- Atlanta BeltLine, GA
- New Zealand Ministry of Economic Development
- City of Winter Haven, FL
- City of Winter Park, FL
- City of Baltimore, MD
- City of Rock Falls, IL
- North Florida Broadband Authority
- City of Sunrise, FL
- Florida Rural Broadband Alliance
- City of Fort Lauderdale, FL
- City of Jupiter, FL
- City of Bartow, FL
- Yolo County, CA
- City of Columbia, MO
- Boone County, MO
- City of Waverly, IA
- City of Palm Coast, FL
- City of Cocoa, FL
- City of Winter Garden, FL
- City of Palm Beach Gardens, FL
- City of Davis, CA
- City of West Sacramento, CA
- City of Woodland, CA
- City of Ormond Beach, FL
- City of Wellington, FL
- City of Hallandale Beach, FL
- City of Port Orange, FL
- City of Moorhead, NC
- City of Davidson, NC
- City of Walla Walla, WA
- Port of Walla Walla, WA
- Northeast Texas Consortium
- Strathcona County, Alberta Canada
- Converse County, WY
- Northeast Texas Educational Consortium
- City of Birmingham, AL
- City of Syracuse, NY
- Orlando Utilities Commission
- City of Lakeland, FL
Project Objectives & Scope

Project Approach
This project will conduct a comprehensive Broadband Feasibility Study that will allow City of El Segundo to assess the opportunity to bring municipal broadband to its community to serve the needs of business, economic development and community anchors. The Plan will enable City of El Segundo to “futureproof” its community by creating a community based broadband strategy that will support technological and economic goals both now and in the future. It will leverage the City’s current fiber-optic infrastructure and new investments in fiber to serve local broadband needs, in cooperation with broadband providers.

The Plan will include market, business, operational, regulatory, financial and technical analysis broadband for the Smoky Hollow district and provide a roadmap for expanding the City’s network to accommodate business needs. We will identify the potential users of fiber broadband connectivity in the district and in the outlying areas (Eastern El Segundo). We will identify the fiber infrastructure necessary to serve business needs and support the City’s economic development strategies, including extending “Silicon Beach” from the north into El Segundo and supporting redevelopment of the Smoky Hollow area.

We will interview and survey local businesses to determine their needs and likelihood to utilize a fiber network built by the City. We believe that this outreach should be conducted with large anchors such as Raytheon and Chevron and smaller businesses across the Smoky Hollow area. We will also work with the City’s departments to understand IT, public safety and other municipal needs for fiber connectivity as these may lead to long-term cost reductions and improved capabilities for the City itself. Our goal is to determine how expansion of El Segundo’s fiber network can support a range of stakeholder needs, to offset cost, create new efficiencies and equip the community with next-generation broadband.

We will work with City staff to optimize the placement, cost and use of new fiber infrastructure and develop a comprehensive technical design for the network infrastructure needed to serve the area. Accompanying this design, a comprehensive financial and business model analysis will be conducted to determine feasible options for the City to use in building out its network, including partnerships with broadband providers, interconnection with Equinix and T5 data centers, rate structures, revenues, costs and funding requirements.

We believe that El Segundo has a strong opportunity to expand its network, because of its close proximity to three major data centers. This will enable the City to build new fiber between these data centers and individual commercial parcels, allowing businesses to:

1. Have access to a new source of competitively priced fiber-optic connectivity from the City
2. Have their choice of broadband providers that are connected within the Equinix and T5 data centers
3. Have redundant connectivity to multiple data centers if required
4. “Shop” for the best broadband services to meet their needs through the City’s fiber
Task Breakdown

Task 1: Broadband Needs Assessment
Magellan will meet with small, medium and large business segments identified in the focus area and document their current issues, needs and likelihood to utilize the City's fiber network. We will work with City staff to identify relevant businesses and determine the best outreach options. We generally find that individual meetings are best when meeting with large businesses whereas focus group settings are generally preferred with small and medium businesses. We will let the City determine the scope of the outreach in terms of the number of businesses involved, to ensure that the outreach provides a representative sample of local businesses in Smoky Hollow and surrounding areas. We will also use these meetings as opportunities to garner support for the City's broadband initiative to rally the community around the project.

Task 2: Broadband Stakeholder Analysis
This analysis will identify other users of the network in addition to the business community. Throughout this phase, Magellan and El Segundo will work together to determine what technology and communications services fit well within the project, based on the long-term goals of the City and its municipal operations. In this phase, we will examine the possibilities and technologies that buildout of the fiber network will enable. We believe that there are always public efficiencies to gain through buildout of municipal fiber and we want to ensure that these needs are accounted for in the buildout plan, as they can also lead to proving out the business case for expansion. These include but are not limited to:

- Smart Grid Technology
- Automatic Meter Reading
- Ubiquitous Wireless
- Video Surveillance
- Teleworkers
- E-Government

Task 3: Business Model Development
Determination of the right business case or model for the City's broadband network will be essential to ensure the City identifies viable opportunities and develops sound business strategies to capture them. Magellan will assist the City evaluate the various business models that are available, identifying the pros and cons of each. We have worked with hundreds of utility providers and private and public operators who have implemented a range of business models. We will focus on business models that utilize the City's strengths in building and maintaining infrastructure.

Task 4: Broadband Technical Plan
This phase of the project will create a technical design for City's fiber infrastructure, based on local business and stakeholder needs in the Smoky Hollow area. We will tailor the design specifically to minimize cost and maximize value of the network to the community and City. It will provide the fiber network required to interconnect local businesses to the Equinix and T5 data centers, including the following areas:

- Outside Plant Routing
- High Availability & Redundancy
- Co-Location in data centers
- Dark fiber vs. lit fiber options
- Open access considerations
The design will be provided with a complete set of conceptual technical diagrams illustrating the different layers of the system, including:

- Physical fiber design
- Network element design and location
- Fiber capacity and strand usage
- Data center terminations
- Interconnection
- Future expansion plans

We will provide all diagrams in CAD, Visio and Google Earth (or equivalent GIS system).

**Task 5: Broadband Operations Considerations**

The operational considerations for City must provide efficient and cost effective O&M as part of the long-term fiber expansion plan. Magellan will provide an analysis on the City's operations and management options for the fiber network as it expands, including:

- Operational Support Systems (OSS)
- Provisioning
- Capacity management
- Service level management
- Contact and escalation
- Project management

**Task 6: Broadband Financial Plan and Funding Options**

The financial plan will provide extensive financial modeling of the various options to allow City to understand the financial requirements of expanding its fiber network. It will allow City to make informed decisions about if and how to invest in such a project and evaluate the most relevant scenarios for buildout. Our financial plan will provide comprehensive pro-forma, financial analysis and metrics to help the City understand the feasibility and overall sustainability in expanding its network for commercial use. Our financial plan will include:

- Pro-forma financial statements, including balance sheets, income statements, cash-flow statements and owner equity statements
- Capital and operational budgets
- Revenue and cost models
- Customer forecasts
- Service forecasts
- Debt financing and amortization worksheets
- Financial ratio analysis
- Financing alternatives, debt, equity and grants

**Task 7: Regulatory Analysis**

We will provide an in-depth analysis of both State and Federal regulatory statutes concerning municipal broadband. Magellan staff has an extensive background in the telecom regulatory arena and can provide guidance to City on these matters, helping ensure compliance with State and Federal laws, including compliance with the FCC, USAC, Department of Revenue, and California Public Utilities Commission. We have significant experience working with other California municipalities on broadband projects, such as Riverside, Davis, Woodland, West Sacramento, Vallejo and Winters, and are very knowledge about California's regulatory environment.
Task 8: Action Plan & Next Steps
We will review the findings of the Feasibility Study with the City’s project team and build consensus with you on a feasible action plan, next steps and timeline. We believe that City’s should embrace the opportunities to use its fiber infrastructure to serve the community but should do so in prudent, careful way and using a phased approach. We will work with the City to determine immediate opportunities to create “small wins” for the community while building the long-term plan to significantly expand broadband in the area. We will help you develop a year-by-year implementation plan that identifies the key investments, customers, infrastructure and services that will be required to maximize the benefit to your community, in a fiscally prudent way.

Project Deliverables
We believe that the core deliverables for the project are comprised of the following, subject to the City’s needs.

1. Broadband needs assessment, including meetings, interviews and focus groups with businesses and stakeholders in the focus areas.
2. Unbiased survey of businesses to establish a level of interest within the community for broadband services.
3. Broadband technical plan with conceptual engineering designs for the proposed network and phased buildout plan.
4. Broadband financial plan wish profit and loss statements, balance sheets and income statements. This should be accompanied with a statement of “margin of error” expectation and other financial analysis.
5. Overview of the current providers, their services, marketing bundles, pricing strategies and coverage. This overview shall be used to evaluate any possible savings to the City for similar services that can be provided through utilization of its network.
6. Assessment of regulatory requirements, risks, and assumptions relevant to the building or operation of the proposed network.
7. Options for financing to include, but not limited to general obligation bonds and revenue bonds, and public/private partnerships. The viability of pay-as-you-go funding alternative is also to be evaluated.
8. Recommendation on business model, optimal technical and operational plan, build schedule, financial plan, implementation plan and next steps.
9. Probable project implementation schedule and phasing.
Project Timeline & Pricing

Magellan estimates that the project will take approximately four months to complete based on the scope of the work defined in the project. We understand that the City is interested in understanding what funding would be necessary for a buildout to ensure it has the opportunity to allocate funding in the next budget year. We will prioritize the financial analysis to ensure that the City has the financial information needed to support the broadband expansion, well in advance of its budget planning activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Jul</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Broadband Needs Assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Broadband Stakeholder Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Business Model Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Broadband Technical Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Broadband Operations Considerations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Broadband Financial Plan &amp; Funding Options</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Regulatory Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Action Plan &amp; Next Steps</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table on the following page shows each task that will be completed by Magellan for the City in the project. We have separated the project into two phases; the first phase is geared towards testing the feasibility and opportunity for fiber expansion while the second phase is geared towards implementation activities based on a successful feasibility phase. Magellan proposes to work on and bill up to Tasks 1-6 for Phase 1. If the City wants to pursue implementation based on the findings of Phase 1, we would propose to complete Phase 2, based on the City's approval.

Magellan will bill for actual work completed on the project, on a monthly basis and under net 45 terms to the City of El Segundo.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Hours</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Broadband Needs Assessment</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$140</td>
<td>$4,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Broadband Stakeholder Analysis</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$140</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Business Model Development</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$140</td>
<td>$4,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Broadband Technical Plan</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$140</td>
<td>$4,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Broadband Operations Considerations</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$140</td>
<td>$2,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Broadband Financial Plan &amp; Funding Options</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>$140</td>
<td>$5,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subtotal - Phase 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$23,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Regulatory Analysis</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>$140</td>
<td>$4,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Action Plan &amp; Next Steps</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>$140</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subtotal - Phase 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Signature and Acceptance**

Signature of this Proposal by the City of El Segundo warrants that all components of this Proposal are acceptable to the Client and that the person(s) signing this Proposal has the right, power and authority to execute Proposal.

Magellan Advisors, LLC
999 18th Street, Suite 3000
Denver, CO 80202

City of El Segundo, CA

Print Name: John Honker
Print Name:

Title: President & CEO
Title:

Signature: [Signature]

Date: April 5, 2015
Date:
Broadband Consulting Services
for the City of El Segundo

Proposal for Middle Mile Market Opportunity Assessment
February 2015

Overview
The City of El Segundo (the City) has asked Uptown Services, LLC (Uptown) to assist with certain analysis tasks associated with their fiber network utilization efforts. The City has an existing fiber ring that is being used to make internal connections among City departments. The main focus of this project would be to evaluate the financial impacts of using the City fiber network to provide middle mile services to businesses and institutions located within the city. The long term objective is to utilize the existing fiber along with any network enhancements as an economic development tool to further position the area as ‘Silicon Beach’. The primary opportunity lies within the Smoky Hollow and commercial areas on the eastern side of the city. Bandwidth sourcing is ideal given a 144-count fiber connection into Equinix and bandwidth available at $.60-.70/Mbps.

Proposed Tasks and Deliverables
Uptown will undertake the following tasks and provide the related deliverables.

1. Definition of Recommended Service Set
Determine which fiber services the City could offer in terms of fiber transport and access. Identify if these services would be financially viable (e.g. self-funding through end user revenue streams) at realistic market price levels and incremental capital costs. This will include a definition of the services set including high capacity transport and access services tiers and wholesale/retail pricing. Service definitions will include recommended bandwidth tiers, recurring and non-recurring pricing.

2. Depth Interviews with Commercial and Institutional Accounts
Uptown will conduct ten (10) in-depth face-to-face interviews with commercial/industrial business owners or IT managers. The objective with this research approach is to qualify the current usage, pricing terms, technical details regarding connection requirements, unmet needs, and interest and level of support among business communications decision-makers in City-provided lit and/or dark fiber services. Ideally, those interviewed should be potential customers as a major commercial account for City-provided fiber service. The Client shall approve the interview list and help recruit participants. Information gathered will include but not be limited to:
   - Current use of broadband services and data networks
   - Interest and need for high capacity fiber-based services
   - Experience with existing broadband and fiber services in the City and deficiencies with current service and providers
3. **Determine City Role**
Determine the role the City should play in providing fiber network services to the commercial sector. Address whether or not the City should serve to provide wholesale service (e.g. providing dark fiber to service providers) or serve as a direct service provider offering finished services to end user accounts.

4. **Development of Pro Forma**
Uptown will develop a high-level three year pro forma for the proposed middle mile business. This financial analysis will include estimations of incremental capital, operating expense and revenue associated with offering fiber-based middle mile services. Revenue assumptions will be based on the depth interview results and the model will include average connection costs for new connections to the network.

5. **Development of ROI Analysis Framework**
The cost for each middle mile connection can vary widely across the City service area depending upon lateral footage, construction technique, and inside wiring requirements. Based on our experience, we believe that the City should consider the breakeven point for each new connection, and use this information in determining contractual terms. Accordingly, we will develop a return on investment (ROI) analysis framework that can be used to understand the financial impact of each potential connection. Armed with this information, the City will be in a better position to set non-recurring pricing and contract terms for each new middle mile connection.

**Project Output & Schedule**
Uptown will produce a full report in PowerPoint format summarizing the analysis, findings, and recommendations associated with the above deliverables. Uptown will also provide any work product in the form of spreadsheets, GIS data/mapping, and primary research records. Uptown will complete the scope of services within 3 months from the notice to proceed date.

**Fee for Services**
Uptown will charge DMEA a fixed fee of $35,000 for this scope of services. Additional depth interviews beyond the 10 included in this fee can be completed at an incremental fee of $1,000 each.

**Travel Expenses**
Travel expenses will be billed at cost for this scope of services.

Submitted by:
Dave Stockton, Principal
Uptown Services, LLC
480 515 6290
AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

Consideration and possible action to amend ongoing professional services agreements with JAS and J. Lee Engineering for Building Safety Services. (Fiscal Impact: None)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:

1. Authorize the City Manager to amend professional services agreement with JAS, by increasing the amount by $75,000, for a total amount not-to-exceed $150,000, from funds previously allocated and approved for use by CSG consulting for plan check and inspection consulting services for the Building and Safety Division (General Fund)

2. Authorize the City Manager to amend professional services agreement with J. Lee Engineering, by increasing the contract amount by $150,000, for a total amount of not-to-exceed $350,000, to provide plan check and inspection consulting services for the Building and Safety Division from funds previously allocated and approved for use in the hiring of a Senior Plan Check Engineer (General Fund)

3. Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item;

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

None

FISCAL IMPACT: None

Amount Budgeted: $500,000
Additional Appropriation: N/A
Account Number(s): 001-400-2403-6214 (Professional/Technical)

ORIGINATED BY: Nina Tarnay, Project Manager
REVIEWED BY: Sam Lee, Planning and Building Safety Director
APPROVED BY: Greg Carpenter, City Manager

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:

ACTION NO. 1

On September 16, 2014, Council approved by Consent Agenda the extension of separate ongoing service agreements with JAS and CSG to provide consulting services for the Building and Safety Division in amounts not the exceed $75,000 each. Since the execution of the service agreements, CSG has advised that it is unable to provide the City with a consultant for the services needed by the Building and Safety Division. JAS has informed the City that it is able to provide the City with an additional consultant and cover the gap left by CSG’s inability to provide services.
Accordingly, Staff recommends the shifting of the not-to-exceed amount of $75,000, allocated and approved by Council on September 16, 2014, from CSG to JAS and the execution of an Amendment to the City’s contract with JAS to increase the contract amount with JAS to a total amount not-to-exceed $150,000.

ACTION NO. 2

As part of the Building and Safety Division’s 2014-15 Fiscal Budget, Council approved the budgeted amount of $171,000 for the hiring of a Senior Plan Check Engineer. Unfortunately, the City has not been able to find and hire a qualified engineer to fill the position and has had to rely on consultant services. J. Lee Engineering is currently under contract with the City to provide plan check and inspection consulting services and can provide the City with the necessary plan check services.

Accordingly, staff recommends the shifting of funds allocated for the hiring of a Senior Plan Check Engineer to the amount budgeted for consulting services by J. Lee Engineering from an amount not-to-exceed $200,000 to a total amount not-to-exceed $350,000 and the execution of an amendment to the City’s contract with J. Lee Engineering to reflect the increase in the contract amount.
Consideration and possible action to send a letter in support of SB 485 which would authorize the Sanitation District of Los Angeles County to manage stormwater and dry weather urban runoff. (Fiscal Impact: None)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:
1. Approve the attached letter;
2. Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:
Letter of support

FISCAL IMPACT: $

Amount Budgeted: N/A
Additional Appropriation: N/A
Account Number(s):

PREPARED BY: Mishia Jennings, Executive Assistant
REVIEWED BY: Carl Jacobson, Mayor Pro Tem
APPROVED BY: Greg Carpenter, City Manager

BACKGROUND & DISCUSSION:

Senate Bill 485 would allow the Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County to assist local jurisdictions in Los Angeles County in stormwater and dry weather runoff management projects. SB 485 would be specific to the Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County and is similar to statutory language in Health and Safety Code Section 4730.66, chaptered in 2002, which only applies to the Orange County Sanitation District. The Language would supplement the existing powers of the Districts and would allow each District to acquire, construct, operate, maintain and furnish facilities for all or any of the following purposes:

- Diversion of stormwater and dry weather runoff from the stormwater drainage system;
- Management and treatment of stormwater and dry weather runoff;
- Discharge of the water to the stormwater drainage system or receiving waters; and
- The beneficial use of the water.

The bill would also establish that jurisdictions are not required to participate in projects done pursuant to this authority and that nothing in this legislation is intended to change any existing or established water rights, adjudicated rights, or obligations under the Service Duplication Act.
April 21, 2015

Senator Ed Hernandez
California State Senate
State Capitol, Room 2080
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: SB 485: County of Los Angeles: Sanitation District – Support Position

Dear Senator Hernandez:

On behalf of the City of El Segundo please accept this letter in support of SB 485, which would authorize the Sanitation Districts of Los Angeles County to manage stormwater and dry weather urban runoff. The City of El Segundo is a member of the Sanitation District No. 2 of Los Angeles County. SB 485 would provide another option or tool to assist cities in meeting the requirements of the Los Angeles Region's municipal stormwater permit.

Most of the cities in Los Angeles County, the County and the Flood Control District are charged in the municipal stormwater permit with implementing new best management practices and water quality projects that will meet the requirements of 33 Total Maximum Daily Loads (TMDLs), which is expected to cost millions of dollars per year. The challenges posed by these requirements were analyzed in a recent report completed by the Los Angeles County Division of the League of California Cities and the California Contract Cities Association. The report also recommended, among other things, that the Sanitation Districts' statute be changed to authorize them to assist the cities in managing stormwater and dry weather urban runoff. This change will allow the Sanitation Districts to use its civil engineering and water quality expertise to help the cities and county comply in an efficient and effective manner with the Los Angeles Region municipal stormwater permit.

For these reasons, we thank you for introducing SB 485, and are pleased to support this important legislation. If you have any questions, please contact me (310-524-2302).

Sincerely,

Carl Jacobson
Mayor Pro Tem