Shilling, Mona

From: Shilling, Mona
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2019 5:27 PM
To: Harada, Patricia
Cc: Petit, Meredith; Voss, Barbara
Subject: FW: 2/19/19 Council Meeting - Aquatics note

Patricia,

Public Communication for Item No. 12 on the Feb. 19, 2019 City Council Agenda.

Thanks,
Mona S

From: Michelle Keldorf [mailto:michellekeldorf@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2019 4:06 PM
To: ALL ELECTED OFFICIALS
Subject: 2/19/19 Council Meeting - Aquatics note

Council Members,

On tonight's City Council agenda there is a consent calendar item (#12) proposing off-peak hour rates for the El Segundo - Wiesburn Aquatics Center. Unfortunately I am unable to attend and would like to address council in the event this item spurs further discussion about club team selection.

It is my understanding that "off-peak" hours means 8-9 p.m. on weekdays and is a reduced hourly rate given that time slot. At the last Council meeting, it was assumed that the water polo club teams would be paying "off-peak" rates. However, several weeks prior, members of the South Bay United (SBU) Water Polo club met with staff (Meredith Petit) and made it clear that they would increase their rate to be on par with the highest fee charged, thus essentially eliminating the idea of "peak" vs. "off-peak". This information was not shared by staff at the February 5th meeting. I am writing today to ask you to take this into consideration.

Additionally, I urge Council (and staff directors) to rely on Jeanette Gant's insight on this decision. Jeanette was hired as the aquatics expert. Yet she was not asked to address Council or submit her recommendation on the subject.

Full transparency, I have an 11 year old son on SBU and it goes without saying that earlier hours at our local facility would be a tremendous benefit to our family. That said, he's 11 and his interests tend to shift with the weather! But what his experience has shown us over the last 6 months is that there is a real opportunity to grow the sport of water polo in El Segundo...a community that used to be known precisely for the sport. Not only is SBU dedicated to El Segundo with numerous resident players and coaching staff members, but creating a diversity of offerings is an overall benefit.

If you truly do now have a swim team and a water polo team offering to pay the same rate, there is an opportunity to maximize revenue (offset expenses!) and support a dual sport facility in our backyard. Alpha and SBU seem to have the highest local concentration of athletes and some seriously dedicated community members. I know 33 speakers on the topic makes for a long night but seeing all those kids in the audience and at the podium even made a cynic like me emotional :)
Thanks for your time,
Michelle Keldorf
Public Communications related to the Aquatic Center.

Thanks,
Mona S

Council,

Just for background information, I have provided the RFP scoring matrix to many of the user groups who have requested it. It is attached if you are interested.

The matrix provides the RFP scores by user group, rater, and category. Page 4 shows the overall results with and without the 50 points attributed to the financial proposal component.

Meredith Petit
Director of Recreation & Parks
City of El Segundo
(310) 524-2880
### Alpha

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rater</th>
<th>Cover Letter (5)</th>
<th>Proposed Vision and Purpose (20)</th>
<th>Experience (10)</th>
<th>Staffing Plan (10)</th>
<th>Rental Needs and Offer (50)</th>
<th>References (5)</th>
<th>Interview (25)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rater 1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Beach Cities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rater</th>
<th>Cover Letter (5)</th>
<th>Proposed Vision and Purpose (20)</th>
<th>Experience (10)</th>
<th>Staffing Plan (10)</th>
<th>Rental Needs and Offer (50)</th>
<th>References (5)</th>
<th>Interview (25)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rater 1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>473</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>473</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Coastal Masters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rater</th>
<th>Cover Letter (5)</th>
<th>Proposed Vision and Purpose (20)</th>
<th>Experience (10)</th>
<th>Staffing Plan (10)</th>
<th>Rental Needs and Offer (50)</th>
<th>References (5)</th>
<th>Interview (25)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rater 1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SCAQ</strong></td>
<td>Cover Letter (5)</td>
<td>Proposed Vision and Purpose (20)</td>
<td>Experience (10)</td>
<td>Staffing Plan (10)</td>
<td>Rental Needs and Offer (50)</td>
<td>References (5)</td>
<td>Interview (25)</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>SoBay Swim</strong></th>
<th>Cover Letter (5)</th>
<th>Proposed Vision and Purpose (20)</th>
<th>Experience (10)</th>
<th>Staffing Plan (10)</th>
<th>Rental Needs and Offer (50)</th>
<th>References (5)</th>
<th>Interview (25)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rater 1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>SoBay United</strong></th>
<th>Cover Letter (5)</th>
<th>Proposed Vision and Purpose (20)</th>
<th>Experience (10)</th>
<th>Staffing Plan (10)</th>
<th>Rental Needs and Offer (50)</th>
<th>References (5)</th>
<th>Interview (25)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rater 1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>390</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grand Total: 290

Grand Total: 338

Grand Total: 390
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cover Letter (5)</th>
<th>Proposed Vision and Purpose (20)</th>
<th>Experience (10)</th>
<th>Staffing Plan (10)</th>
<th>Rental Needs and Offer (50)</th>
<th>References (5)</th>
<th>Interview (25)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tower 26</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trojan Water Polo</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wiseburn Swim Club</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rater 4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### RESULTS/RANKINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Group Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>Beach Cities Swimming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>Tower 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>Trojan Water Polo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>South Bay United</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>Alpha Aquatics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>Coastal Masters Water Polo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>South Bay Swim</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>SCAQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>Wiseburn Swim Club</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### REVISED RESULTS/RANKINGS (without Rental Offer Points)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Original Score</th>
<th>Rental Offer</th>
<th>Revised Score</th>
<th>Group Name</th>
<th>Revised Rank</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>Beach Cities Swimming</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>Tower 26</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>Trojan Water Polo</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>South Bay United</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>Alpha Aquatics</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>Coastal Masters Water Polo</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>338</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>South Bay Swim</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>SCAQ</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>Wiseburn Swim Club</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Patricia,

Public Communication for Item No. 12 on the Feb. 19, 2019 City Council Agenda.

Thanks,
Mona S

From: Jay Mortenson [mailto:jpmortenson@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 12, 2019 8:32 PM
To: Petit, Meredith
Cc: Don Brann (Council Member); ALL ELECTED OFFICIALS; myoung11@aol.com; oalesi@gmail.com; Hester, Arecia; Gant, Jeanette
Subject: Re: El Segundo Wiseburn Aquatics Center - Space Allocation Update and Request for Information

Meredith:

Thank you for getting back to me so quickly. I really appreciate all your hard work in putting together the lane space and groups at the new pool, and I know it is a complex process. And to be clear, I know how hard you and your staff have worked to try and navigate the very difficult balance of maximizing revenue and supporting your local teams. I can see that there are aspects of this process that place your department in a no-win situation.

The hesitation we had regarding resident information came solely from conversations I had with several parents who raised concerns about sharing this information and how it would be used/stored. We assured the parents that this is a typical request and is needed to confirm our residency percentage. I would appreciate if, under a separate email, you could simply request the same information and confirm that the City needs the information to confirm resident participation and that it will be kept confidential etc. That way, if there are any more concerns, I can simply forward your email. As to that information, I will put it together and send it to you by February 14, 2019.

In addition, I will send you the other pieces of requested information, including proof of our non-profit status, and our training locations/schedules. You will find Alpha has a very concentrated training schedule as we utilize only two sites (the Plunge and LMU). I want to make sure you understand our request for the 14 lanes corresponded with our plan to bring the entire team to the El Segundo Wiseburn Aquatic Center. I completely understand that the City needs to maximize revenue and that renting only a portion of the second half of the pool is inconsistent
with that objective. We are happy to rent only the ten lanes, but the allure of everyone training at a single site was what I had in mind when we asked for 14 lanes. Going back to our phone call on January 25, we are fine with our original discussion/compromise of renting the ten lanes in the main pool plus the smaller instructional pool. This means we will continue to rent space and train some of our athletes at LMU, so please do not count that against us in allocating pool time. Thank you for your understanding on that issue.

As set forth in your email, the days and times you have offered Alpha work well. We would prefer the 5-7 p.m. (Monday through Friday) as the standard pool time and can always move it back 30 minutes on ESHS meet/match days. The four lanes of the teaching pool from 5:00-8:00pm (Monday – Thursday) and Fridays from 5pm until 7pm works well for us. As to Saturday mornings, ten lanes in the competition pool from 8-10 a.m. also works well. I understand your issue with the teaching pool, so maybe we can discuss some alternative times on the weekend for that pool. Finally, starting on June 1, for Tuesday/Thursday mornings, 5 lanes from 5:30-7:00am is a good fit for us as well.

Thank you again Meredith. We have loved partnering with the City and helping its aquatics program flourish. We have always been, and continue to be, happily willing to share the pool with other user groups and work with the City to create the best aquatics offerings for its residents. We look forward to continuing to growing our relationship with the Recreation and Parks Department, the City of El Segundo, and the other groups utilizing the facility with us. We are also looking forward to hosting a meet at the new pool on March 30, 2019. I know you have a lot on your plate right now, so we can discuss that further at your convenience.

If you do have time, perhaps we can arrange another conference call later this week to finalize our schedule and discuss any issues and/or concerns. During the call, we could also discuss the non-profit and long-term rental rates that were approved by the City and the final costs per lane/hour.

Finally, as I said previously, we are ready to sign any contract(s) as soon as you are ready for us to do so. As well, we are ready to officially move all of our scheduled practices to the new pool immediately (again, as soon as you are ready) including the evening we sign the contract(s).

Thank you and your entire staff,

Jay Mortenson
Board President, Alpha Aquatics

On Mon, Feb 11, 2019 at 2:43 PM Petit, Meredith <mpetit@elsegundo.org> wrote:

Jay,
You are correct; we do intend to prioritize the groups with the greatest number of El Segundo residents. Additionally, any athlete who resides within the Wiseburn Unified School District boundaries is also factored into the residency rates as well. We are simply just asking for rosters so that we have a clear picture of what each group’s residency rates are – fully intending that Alpha will likely be the highest and therefore the baseline for setting that threshold for priority, and also so we can track the growth over time. Why the reluctance to this request?

Regarding your comments about the subcommittee, Lee Davis has been a champion for both BCS and Alpha, having had personal experiences with both. He has made his personal connections very clear from the get-go and while he can provide a greater perspective from a participant standpoint, I believe he has always and continues to participate as a representative of the entire aquatics community. The proposals were evaluated solely on the content of the proposal and the evaluation of the in-person interview; not on personal experience OR previous agreements/experience between the City and group.

Leading up to the Council meeting there was a lot of evolution as to the “best” way to present the information and make specific recommendations to the Council. Notably, the City Attorney’s office weighed in on what specific recommendations could or should not be made, and advised staff to simply ask for policy direction rather than selection of specific groups by name. That is one of the reasons that I was unable to specifically recommend groups and times in the open forum. However, I had debriefing meetings with several of the Councilmembers individually prior to the Council meeting and was able to inform them of the details of staff’s interactions with each group so they would have the underlying details to provide them some context to the overall discussion at hand. I personally believe that this helped achieve an outcome that is favorable for Alpha.

I am having staff prepare the RFP scoring documents that you have requested. I have asked them to create a matrix of the scores by group, category, and rater, so that you can have one document that provides a comprehensive snapshot of the evaluation. I promise to deliver that to you by the end of Wednesday, hopefully sooner. I will also make this available to the other groups.

It is important for us to verify the residency reports from all of the groups so that our decisions for space allocation are defensible to the groups that may not receive priority space or times. This is a common practice that we currently employ in our Field Allocation Policy for all youth sports organizations including AYSO, Little League, Girls Softball, Inline Hockey Association, and more. All of these groups comply with this request on an annual basis. We intend to follow the same procedure at the pool now that residency has become one of the decision-making criteria.

Priority may be given in a number of ways, including amount of space, most ideal time slot, number of hours, etc. To satisfy the criteria set by Council to “offer diversity of programs” we will be granting space in half-pool (10-lane) allotments. Right now, I can offer Alpha 10 lanes at the ideal time slot of 5:00-7:00pm (or 5:30-7:30pm to be safe on ESHS meet/match days) as well as the addition of the 4 lanes in the teaching pool from 5:00-8:00pm, 10 lanes of competition pool on Saturday morning from 8-10am, and 5 lanes in the comp pool on T/Th mornings from 5:30-7:00am. BCS will likely be offered the other 10-lanes starting for 6:00-8:00pm, again, at the request of the City Council to accommodate all of the user groups for diversity of programs and maximizing the prime time space to accommodate as many kids as possible, but in giving Alpha priority status for time slot and extra lanes. We will need to further discuss
the request for the teaching pool on Saturday mornings due to the Rec & Parks Department’s plans to offer a variety of swim lessons on weekend mornings.

Additionally, we are verifying the meet date requests and should be able to confirm those shortly, although we will need to further discuss so that we can determine what the parking and restroom requirements will be. If we need to utilize space that is typically utilized by Wiseburn High, we are required to obtain their approval and coordinate schedules with them, specifically their gym usage so that we don’t schedule large events on the same weekends. I’m looking forward to the March 30th trial meet to see how the facility operates in the event capacity.

I hope that helps clarify why we have requested the additional information. I realize you are frustrated by the process and have felt misled at times, but that was not the intention of staff whatsoever, so I apologize for that. Likewise, it’s frustrating for me to receive emails that mischaracterize the very folks who work so hard to make sure the policies and directives are carried out in a fair and equitable manner. I am well-aware that Alpha has been a tremendous asset to the City and to the addition of the recreation opportunities available for our community. Alpha has been a wonderful partner and I look forward to continuing this partnership, but it is imperative that we maintain respectful relations and that Alpha comlives with the requests from the Department.

I’m happy to jump on a call with you and/or your team to make sure we are all on the same page.

Talk soon...

Meredith Petit

Director of Recreation & Parks

City of El Segundo

(310) 524-2880

From: Jay Mortenson [mailto:jpmortenson@gmail.com]
Sent: Saturday, February 09, 2019 11:28 PM
To: Petit, Meredith; Don Brann (Council Member); ALL ELECTED OFFICIALS
Cc: myoung11@aol.com; oalesi@gmail.com; Hester, Arelica; Gant, Jeanette
Subject: Re: El Segundo Wiseburn Aquatics Center - Space Allocation Update and Request for Information

Meredith:
Thank you for getting back to me on February 8, 2019, regarding Alpha’s lane space request. I have looked over your email and the five criteria you stated City Council identified, and I have to ask myself whether you and I were at two different meetings. I have pasted the criteria you translated from the motion the City Council passed below (shown in bold typeface), as you shared with me in your email.

As you know, earlier this week the City Council discussed the topic of user group selection at the new Aquatics Center. The City Council provided direction to the Department to try to accommodate all four top youth aquatics programs that were under discussion, in addition to three adult programs; this will require flexibility from every group’s original requests.

In order to come up with a scheduling solution, the Council provided five criteria to consider:

1. Maximize financial impact
2. Consider current and future residents
3. Add off-peak pricing
4. Offer diversity of programs
5. Prioritize programs with the greatest number of participants during peak times

Conspicuously absent is that City Council, in no uncertain terms, stated that El Segundo residents get priority. The specific statement was that Alpha Aquatics (the El Segundo swim team) was guaranteed priority during peak time, and that South Bay United (the El Segundo water polo team) had priority in non-peak time. I am sure you recall the council members, especially Councilmen Brann and Nicol, repeatedly stating that Alpha Aquatics, as the El Segundo team, would be given priority over out-of-city programs. As Councilman Brann succinctly stated, “get the local teams in the pool...and then you come back and say we still have pool time and space...and we can consider these other competitors that aren’t as based in town.” That is what City Council approved. The City Council was clear — the two El Segundo teams Alpha and South Bay United, were the only two youth groups to be given priority.

I would like believe this to be an oversight, but I have become convinced that this process and the swimming sub-committee has been significantly steered and influenced by Lee Davis, who as you know, has a child who swims with Beach Cities. If I am correct, the swimming sub-committee is fundamentally biased and clearly aimed at giving Beach Cities an advantage in this process, possibly at the expense of El Segundo residents. It is an obvious conflict of interest to have a parent from one team leading, or otherwise advising the committee making the recommendations to your department. At the beginning of this entire process Alpha Aquatics also had a parent who was a potential member of the swimming sub-committee. She chose to recuse herself as she believed her presence might compromise the process. Councilman Pimentel recused himself from voting on this matter because his child swims at Beach Cities. How can Lee Davis be allowed to have any significant input to the committee designing the process, evaluating and scoring the criteria and making recommendations?

In addition, during the phone call we had on January 25, 2019 (before the City Council vote), you made it very clear that you would recommend that Alpha Aquatics share pool space with Beach Cities, although it was not at our preferred time of 5-7 p.m., but rather from 6-8 p.m. At the City Council meeting, you failed to make your promised recommendation. While it was one possibility
that two groups would share the facility, you at no time gave the recommendation that you represented to us that Alpha and Beach Cities would be those two teams. In fact, you stated that we were the fifth ranked RFP. If it was not for the City Council mandating that Alpha have priority at the new pool, I am worried that we would have been left behind despite your assurances just a few days prior.

I have also requested the detailed final scoring breakdown of the RFP by sub-category on two separate occasions, and both times I made that request in writing. To date you have not shared that information with me. The RFP process is set up to be a transparent and public process specifically to avoid back-room deals. I am now making a third request for a detailed breakdown of the RFP scoring for each user group. By definition, as each RFP bid was ranked, your department prepared the final scores by category. We were shocked to see that our RFP was fifth, behind groups with a fraction of our financial commitment and residents. Was there a mistake? Was there consistency in the scoring? Was the scoring process biased? To eliminate these concerns, please provide the detailed scores to me, broken down by category and by scorer so we can review each of them to see if this process was as open and fair as it was meant to be. If you do not have these scores, please let me know.

I am also deeply concerned by your latest request for information. I am again pasting your request into this email, using bold typeface.

The first thing I am requesting of every group is to please provide to me the following:

(1) Complete roster listing names, ages and addresses for every athlete in your program regardless of any specific training location. We would like to get a better idea of the entirety of each group in order to better forecast current and future needs and growth.

(2) Proof of Non-Profit Status, including Tax ID # and your official organization name. We will be verifying this in order to charge the appropriate rates to each group.

(3) Report of all current training locations and schedules, organized by location, day/times, and age groups.

I am confused by how the questions above are at all relevant to the City Council’s motion. Alpha (and South Bay United) were chosen as the priorities. How is requiring our roster and knowing our training locations and schedules relevant to following the motion from the City Council to prioritize Alpha (and South Bay United)? As to the proof of non-profit status, we will happily supply that.

Just over two years ago, you and an El Segundo parents committee picked Alpha Aquatics over Beach Cities to take over a floundering swim team that was then being run by Beach Cities. We took the team from 27 athletes to over 140 children with almost 80 El Segundo residents. Despite this success, you appear to have continually favored Beach Cities over your own hometown team. The only difference between then and now (other than Alpha’s success) is that a Beach Cities parent is helping make the recommendations. Your entire evaluation and prioritization processes appear to be highly compromised.
You also seem to forget it was Alpha who stepped in, at no cost to the city or its residents, and picked up the program at the Plunge when Beach Cities informed the city in mid-December that they were no longer going to send any coaches each afternoon. Alpha has always put the residents of El Segundo first. The same cannot be said for Beach Cities.

I hope that you will listen to the clear and unmistakable direction your City Council gave you, and give Alpha Aquatics priority with pool space at the new facility. We have informed you what our specific needs are, as well as our preferences. We are a critical part of the solution to generating revenue at the pool and by choosing us to be part of the solution, you are also serving the residents of your community. We have been a great partner with the City of El Segundo and your department. The residents of El Segundo and Alpha Aquatics deserve nothing less in return.

Finally, as we have previously stated, we are ready to sign a satisfactory contract as soon as it is offered and we will happily move our program into the pool that evening. Given the clarity of the City Council’s motion, and our flexibility and readiness, neither you nor Alpha need to wait.

Thank you,

Jay Mortenson

Board President, Alpha Aquatics

On Fri, Feb 8, 2019 at 5:05 PM Petit, Meredith <mpetit@elsegundo.org> wrote:

Good Afternoon and Happy Friday!

As you know, earlier this week the City Council discussed the topic of user group selection at the new Aquatics Center. The City Council provided direction to the Department to try to accommodate all four top youth aquatics programs that were under discussion, in addition to three adult programs; this will require flexibility from every group’s original requests.

In order to come up with a scheduling solution, the Council provided five criteria to consider:

(1) Maximize financial impact

(2) Consider current and future residents
(3) Add off-peak pricing

(4) Offer diversity of programs

(5) Prioritize programs with the greatest number of participants during peak times

Additionally, the Council approved discounted hourly lane rates for a Non-Profit Category as well as Long Term Rental. They are requesting that staff return with a recommendation for an additional discounted rate to be used during “Off-Peak” times.

We look forward to working with all of the groups to try to best accommodate your needs, but do appreciate your continued patience, professionalism, and flexibility as we continue to move through this process.

The first thing I am requesting of every group is to please provide to me the following:

(1) Complete roster listing names, ages and addresses for every athlete in your program regardless of any specific training location. We would like to get a better idea of the entirety of each group in order to better forecast current and future needs and growth.

(2) Proof of Non-Profit Status, including Tax ID # and your official organization name. We will be verifying this in order to charge the appropriate rates to each group.

(3) Report of all current training locations and schedules, organized by location, day/times, and age groups.

Please provide these items to me via email at your earliest convenience, but no later than Thursday, February 14th at 4:00pm. As always, do not hesitate to contact me with any questions.

Have a great weekend,

Meredith Petit

Director of Recreation & Parks

City of El Segundo

(310) 524-2880
Shilling, Mona

From: Shilling, Mona  
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2019 5:59 PM  
To: Harada, Patricia  
Subject: FW: El Segundo Wiseburn Aquatics Center - Space Allocation Update and Request for Information

Patricia,

Public Communication for Item No. 12 for the Feb. 19, 2019 City Council Agenda.

Thanks,
Mona S

From: Jay Mortenson [mailto:jpmortenson@gmail.com]  
Sent: Saturday, February 09, 2019 11:28 PM  
To: Petit, Meredith; Don Brann (Council Member); ALL ELECTED OFFICIALS  
Cc: myoung11@aol.com; oalesi@gmail.com; Hester, Arecia; Gant, Jeanette  
Subject: Re: El Segundo Wiseburn Aquatics Center - Space Allocation Update and Request for Information

Meredith:

Thank you for getting back to me on February 8, 2019, regarding Alpha's lane space request. I have looked over your email and the five criteria you stated City Council identified, and I have to ask myself whether you and I were at two different meetings. I have pasted the criteria you translated from the motion the City Council passed below (shown in bold typeface), as you shared with me in your email.

As you know, earlier this week the City Council discussed the topic of user group selection at the new Aquatics Center. The City Council provided direction to the Department to try to accommodate all four top youth aquatics programs that were under discussion, in addition to three adult programs; this will require flexibility from every group's original requests.

In order to come up with a scheduling solution, the Council provided five criteria to consider:

(1) Maximize financial impact
(2) Consider current and future residents
(3) Add off-peak pricing
(4) Offer diversity of programs
(5) Prioritize programs with the greatest number of participants during peak times

Conspicuously absent is that City Council, in no uncertain terms, stated that El Segundo residents get priority. The specific statement was that Alpha Aquatics (the El Segundo swim team) was guaranteed priority during peak time, and that South Bay United (the El Segundo water polo team) had priority in non-peak time. I am sure you recall the council members, especially Councilmen Brann and Nicol, repeatedly stating that Alpha Aquatics, as the El Segundo team, would be given priority over out-of-city programs. As Councilman Brann succinctly stated, "get the local teams in the pool...and then you come back and say we still have pool time and space...and we can consider these other competitors that aren’t as based in town." That is what City Council approved. The City Council was clear — the two El Segundo teams Alpha and South Bay United, were the only two youth groups to be given priority.

I would like believe this to be an oversight, but I have become convinced that this process and the swimming sub-committee has been significantly steered and influenced by Lee Davis, who as you know, has a child who swims with Beach Cities. If I am correct, the swimming sub-committee is fundamentally biased and clearly aimed at giving Beach Cities an advantage in this process, possibly at the expense of El Segundo residents. It is an obvious conflict of interest to have a parent from one team leading, or otherwise advising the committee making the recommendations to your department. At the beginning of this entire process Alpha Aquatics
also had a parent who was a potential member of the swimming sub-committee. She chose to recuse herself as she believed her presence might compromise the process. Councilman Pimentel recused himself from voting on this matter because his child swims at Beach Cities. How can Lee Davis be allowed to have any significant input to the committee designing the process, evaluating and scoring the criteria and making recommendations?

In addition, during the phone call we had on January 25, 2019 (before the City Council vote), you made it very clear that you would recommend that Alpha Aquatics share pool space with Beach Cities, although it was not at our preferred time of 5-7 p.m., but rather from 6-8 p.m. At the City Council meeting, you failed to make your promised recommendation. While it was one possibility that two groups would share the facility, you at no time gave the recommendation that you represented to us that Alpha and Beach Cities would be those two teams. In fact, you stated that we were the fifth ranked RFP. If it was not for the City Council mandating that Alpha have priority at the new pool, I am worried that we would have been left behind despite your assurances just a few days prior.

I have also requested the detailed final scoring breakdown of the RFP by sub-category on two separate occasions, and both times I made that request in writing. To date you have not shared that information with me. The RFP process is set up to be a transparent and public process specifically to avoid back-room deals. I am now making a third request for a detailed breakdown of the RFP scoring for each user group. By definition, as each RFP bid was ranked, your department prepared the final scores by category. We were shocked to see that our RFP was fifth, behind groups with a fraction of our financial commitment and residents. Was there a mistake? Was there consistency in the scoring? Was the scoring process biased? To eliminate these concerns, please provide the detailed scores to me, broken down by category and by scorer so we can review each of them to see if this process was as open and fair as it was meant to be. If you do not have these scores, please let me know.

I am also deeply concerned by your latest request for information. I am again pasting your request into this email, using bold typeface.

The first thing I am requesting of every group is to please provide to me the following:

1) Complete roster listing names, ages and addresses for every athlete in your program regardless of any specific training location. We would like to get a better idea of the entirety of each group in order to better forecast current and future needs and growth.

2) Proof of Non-Profit Status, including Tax ID # and your official organization name. We will be verifying this in order to charge the appropriate rates to each group.

3) Report of all current training locations and schedules, organized by location, day/times, and age groups.

I am confused by how the questions above are at all relevant to the City Council’s motion. Alpha (and South Bay United) were chosen as the priorities. How is requiring our roster and knowing our training locations and schedules relevant to following the motion from the City Council to prioritize Alpha (and South Bay United)? As to the proof of non-profit status, we will happily supply that.

Just over two years ago, you and an El Segundo parents committee picked Alpha Aquatics over Beach Cities to take over a floundering swim team that was then being run by Beach Cities. We took the team from 27 athletes to over 140 children with almost 80 El Segundo residents. Despite this success, you appear to have continually favored Beach Cities over your own hometown team. The only difference between then and now (other than Alpha’s success) is that a Beach Cities parent is helping make the recommendations. Your entire evaluation and prioritization processes appear to be highly compromised.

You also seem to forget it was Alpha who stepped in, at no cost to the city or its residents, and picked up the program at the Plunge when Beach Cities informed the city in mid-December that they were no longer going to send any coaches each afternoon. Alpha has always put the residents of El Segundo first. The same cannot be said for Beach Cities.

I hope that you will listen to the clear and unmistakable direction your City Council gave you, and give Alpha Aquatics priority with pool space at the new facility. We have informed you what our specific needs are, as well as our preferences. We are a critical part of the solution to generating revenue at the pool and by choosing us to be part of the solution, you are also serving the residents of your community. We have been a great partner with the City of El Segundo and your department. The residents of El Segundo and Alpha Aquatics deserve nothing less in return.

Finally, as we have previously stated, we are ready to sign a satisfactory contract as soon as it is offered and we will happily move our program into the pool that evening. Given the clarity of the City Council’s motion, and our flexibility and readiness, neither you nor Alpha need to wait.
Thank you,

Jay Mortenson  
Board President, Alpha Aquatics

On Fri, Feb 8, 2019 at 5:05 PM Petit, Meredith <mpetit@elsegundo.org> wrote:

Good Afternoon and Happy Friday!

As you know, earlier this week the City Council discussed the topic of user group selection at the new Aquatics Center. The City Council provided direction to the Department to try to accommodate all four top youth aquatics programs that were under discussion, in addition to three adult programs; this will require flexibility from every group’s original requests.

In order to come up with a scheduling solution, the Council provided five criteria to consider:

(1) Maximize financial impact
(2) Consider current and future residents
(3) Add off-peak pricing
(4) Offer diversity of programs
(5) Prioritize programs with the greatest number of participants during peak times

Additionally, the Council approved discounted hourly lane rates for a Non-Profit Category as well as Long Term Rental. They are requesting that staff return with a recommendation for an additional discounted rate to be used during “Off-Peak” times.

We look forward to working with all of the groups to try to best accommodate your needs, but do appreciate your continued patience, professionalism, and flexibility as we continue to move through this process.

The first thing I am requesting of every group is to please provide to me the following:
(1) Complete roster listing names, ages and addresses for every athlete in your program regardless of any specific training location. We would like to get a better idea of the entirety of each group in order to better forecast current and future needs and growth.

(2) Proof of Non-Profit Status, including Tax ID # and your official organization name. We will be verifying this in order to charge the appropriate rates to each group.

(3) Report of all current training locations and schedules, organized by location, day/times, and age groups.

Please provide these items to me via email at your earliest convenience, but no later than Thursday, February 14th at 4:00pm. As always, do not hesitate to contact me with any questions.

Have a great weekend,

Meredith Petit

Director of Recreation & Parks

City of El Segundo

(310) 524-2880

--

Jay Mortenson
Shilling, Mona

From: Shilling, Mona
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2019 6:13 PM
To: Harada, Patricia
Cc: Voss, Barbara
Subject: FW: a swimming thank you

Patricia,

Public Communication for Item No. 12 on the Feb. 19, 2019 City Council Agenda.

Thanks,

Mona S

From: Clay Evans [mailto:clay@swim.net]
Sent: Wednesday, February 06, 2019 3:19 PM
To: Petit, Meredith; Gant, Jeanette; Hester, Arecia; Palmer, Linnea; ALL ELECTED OFFICIALS
Cc: myoung11@aol.com; Octavio Akesi; clay@swim.net; Kathryn Iannucci
Subject: a swimming thank you

Meredith, Arecia, Jeanette, Linnea and Council,

That was quite a show last night. Thank you and your staff for your efforts and of course the Council for putting up with all of us. I just want to let you know that this morning we are bursting with enthusiasm: our swimmers, our incredible coaches, and our office into making the future a success. We are on your side, as any good swimming team knows: a healthy thriving pool, filled to the brim means more success for us! So we are enthused about the pool thriving. All the boats rise with the tide. We want to help.

As I have said before: we will have to start slow but we will raise money for the facility. Let’s find good causes that we can sell to our swimmers. Half our members are low income, seniors on fixed budgets and college students, while the other half are more moneyed and I can twist their arms.

May I suggest a good cause right now would be some additional digital clocks to supplement that incredible big screen you have up. What a beautiful thing and it could be supplemented with some digitalis. Eight years ago we bought 10 expensive digital clocks to go to our various pools to replace old hard to see mechanical clocks. We would raise the money now from our swimmers even though we will have to pass on the increase in pool lane fees. We did not pass on the 40% hike from 2017 to 2018. Thank you for the discount and not hiking it too much last night. When will we hear the new amount? Perhaps you have already some clocks ordered or in storage? If not maybe the aquatic staff could say what preferences they have. If yes, shall I connect with polo coaches, to see what is best for them and their games?

There will be more emails coming from me, but I have one additional item for this email that includes Council: staff and lifeguards at our pools for all 39 years of our existence have been welcome to swim our SCAQ workouts for free (they would have to register so they are under our insurance). It is good to have fit lifeguards! I hope that you and Council are okay with this consideration for your staff.

Thank you,

Clay Evans
Shilling, Mona

From: Shilling, Mona
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2019 6:15 PM
To: Harada, Patricia
Cc: Petit, Meredith; Voss, Barbara
Subject: FW: Aquatic Center and Masters / SCAQ

Patricia,

Public Communication for Item No. 12 on the Feb. 19, 2019 City Council Agenda.

Thanks,
Mona S

From: Kevin Roundy [mailto:kevinroundy@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 06, 2019 12:49 PM
To: ALL ELECTED OFFICIALS
Subject: Aquatic Center and Masters / SCAQ

Dear city council,

I have been an El Segundo resident since 2012 and a property owner since 2016. We are raising our family here and plan to be around for the long haul.

I have been a member of Southern California Aquatics for the past three years, and it has been a wonderful way to join a community of local swimmers and surfers that get together multiple times each week to swim and talk with one another. We have enjoyed using the plunge over these past few years, and are deeply dismayed by the dramatic price increases associated with the new Aquatics Center (from under $5 per lane to $15 per lane and per hour), that will inevitably terminate the SCAQ program in El Segundo and seems certain to leave residents with no option but to go all the way to LMU or to Hawthorne for coached swimming. I would have come to the city council meeting last night to voice my opinion, but was out of town on business travel.

Thank you for all you do,
Best regards,

Kevin Roundy
812 McCarthy Ct,
El Segundo, CA 90245
Patricia,

Public Communication related to Item No. 12 for the Feb. 19, 2019 City Council Agenda.

Thanks,
Mona S

-----Original Message-----
From: Erik Albertson [mailto:erikalbertson@me.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 05, 2019 10:23 PM
To: ALL ELECTED OFFICIALS
Subject: Aquatics Center

Dear Honorable Mayor Boyles and Distinguished Council Members,

I am fighting the urge to send you my complete public comments from tonight, like many, I had much to say. However, my comments were simply a focus on the community of El Segundo and and the importance of El Segundo community based aquatics.

Rather, it seems more appropriate to simply thank you for prioritizing El Segundo residents. I can appreciate the challenges you face on a regular basis and the difficult decisions you are forced to make.

As a resident, I could not be more proud of this City Council. Thank you so very much.

My highest regards and appreciation,

Erik Albertson

523 W Acacia Ave

310-480-1941
Shilling, Mona

From: Shilling, Mona
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2019 6:20 PM
To: Harada, Patricia
Cc: Petit, Meredith; Voss, Barbara
Subject: FW: Proposed exorbitant rates at new El Segundo pool

Patricia,

Public Communication for Item No. 12 on the Feb. 19, 2019 City Council Agenda.

Thanks,
Mona S

-----Original Message-----
From: Victor [mailto:rizzovj@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 05, 2019 9:11 PM
To: ALL ELECTED OFFICIALS
Subject: Proposed exorbitant rates at new El Segundo pool

Dear Mayor Boyles,

I’m writing to you over the proposed exorbitant rates at the new El Segundo pool. I’ve been a member of Southern California Aquatics for four years after servicing in the US Air Force for over 26 years. As a disabled veteran, I began swimming as a low impact exercise to maintain my fitness level. My physical therapist repeatedly praises me for my consistent swimming routine.
I work at Los Angeles Air Force Base on a fixed income. I support a family of five (two of which are special needs). The proposed rates would place a significant stress on an already tight budget.

I would like to continue swimming.

Thank you for listening.

Sincerely,

Victor Rizzo
Shilling, Mona

From: Shilling, Mona
Sent: Tuesday, February 19, 2019 6:23 PM
To: Harada, Patricia
Cc: Petit, Meredith; Voss, Barbara
Subject: FW: Aquatics Center Usage

Patricia,

Public Communication for Item No. 12 on the Feb. 19, 2019 City Council Agenda.

Thanks,
Mona S

From: Chris Keldorf [mailto:ckeldorf@fusionetics.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 05, 2019 5:32 PM
To: ALL ELECTED OFFICIALS
Subject: Aquatics Center Usage

Council,

Unfortunately, I'm unable to attend this evening's city council meeting.

My current understanding is that "pool time/usage" will be part of this evening's agenda.

What I understand today is the "Teams" who are vying for pool time are now offering to pay comparable rates.

Given the nominal financial differences between existing proposals, it would be my hope that our local/community centric swim/water polo teams have priority access.

My personal relationship to this issue is I have a son who is vested in water polo and I now fully see a great opportunity to grow our program all the way thru High School and beyond.

South Bay United is a team with a hyper-local presence and has expressed a willingness to pay competitive rates.

I appreciate your consideration.

Have a great meeting!

Chris Keldorf
EVP, Sports
+1 (213) 590-0165 | ckeldorf@fusionetics.com
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