AGENDA
EL SEGUNDO CITY COUNCIL
COUNCIL CHAMBERS - 350 Main Street

The City Council, with certain statutory exceptions, can only take action upon properly posted and listed agenda items. Any writings or documents given to a majority of the City Council regarding any matter on this agenda that the City received after issuing the agenda packet are available for public inspection in the City Clerk’s office during normal business hours. Such Documents may also be posted on the City’s website at www.elsegundo.org and additional copies will be available at the City Council meeting.

Unless otherwise noted in the Agenda, the Public can only comment on City-related business that is within the jurisdiction of the City Council and/or items listed on the Agenda during the Public Communications portions of the Meeting. Additionally, the Public can comment on any Public Hearing item on the Agenda during the Public Hearing portion of such item. The time limit for comments is five (5) minutes per person.

Before speaking to the City Council, please come to the podium and state: Your name and residence and the organization you represent, if desired. Please respect the time limits.

Members of the Public may place items on the Agenda by submitting a Written Request to the City Clerk or City Manager’s Office at least six days prior to the City Council Meeting (by 2:00 p.m. the prior Tuesday). The request must include a brief general description of the business to be transacted or discussed at the meeting. Playing of video tapes or use of visual aids may be permitted during meetings if they are submitted to the City Clerk two (2) working days prior to the meeting and they do not exceed five (5) minutes in length.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact City Clerk, 524-2305. Notification 48 hours prior to the meeting will enable the City to make reasonable arrangements to ensure accessibility to this meeting.

REGULAR MEETING OF THE EL SEGUNDO CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 6, 2011 – 5:00 P.M.

Next Resolution # 4731
Next Ordinance # 1464

5:00 P.M. SESSION

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

PUBLIC COMMUNICATION – (Related to City Business Only – 5 minute limit per person, 30 minute limit total) Individuals who have received value of $50 or more to communicate to the City Council on behalf of another, and employees speaking on behalf of their employer, must so identify themselves prior to addressing the City Council. Failure to do so shall be a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine of $250.
SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS:

CLOSED SESSION:
The City Council may move into a closed session pursuant to applicable law, including the Brown Act (Government Code Section §54960, et seq.) for the purposes of conferring with the City’s Real Property Negotiator; and/or conferring with the City Attorney on potential and/or existing litigation; and/or discussing matters covered under Government Code Section §54957 (Personnel); and/or conferring with the City’s Labor Negotiators; as follows:

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION (Gov’t Code §54956.9(a) -2- matters

1. City of El Segundo vs. City of Los Angeles, et. al. LASC Case No. BS094279
2. NSA Construction vs. City of El Segundo, LASC Case No. BC438182

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION
Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to Government Code §54956.9(b): -1-

1. Wyle Laboratories, Inc.

Initiation of litigation pursuant to Government Code §54956.9(c): -1- matter.

2. Wiseburn School District

DISCUSSION OF PERSONNEL MATTERS (Gov’t Code §54957): - 2- matter

1. Employee Evaluation
   Position: City Manager

2. Employee Evaluation
   Position: City Attorney

APPOINTMENT OF PUBLIC EMPLOYEE (Gov’t. Code § 54957) -0- matter

CONFERENCE WITH CITY’S LABOR NEGOTIATOR (Gov’t Code §54957.6): -5- matters

1. Represented Group: Police Support Services Employees Association (PSSEA)
   Negotiators: Doug Willmore, City Manager
               Deborah Cullen, Finance Director
               Richard Kreisler
2. Represented Group: City Employees Association (CEA)
   Negotiators: Doug Willmore, City Manager
               Deborah Cullen, Finance Director
               Richard Kreisler

3. Represented Group: Firefighters Association (FFA)
   Negotiators: Doug Willmore, City Manager
               Deborah Cullen, Finance Director
               Richard Kreisler

4. Represented Group: Police Officers Association (POA)
   Negotiators: Doug Willmore, City Manager
               Deborah Cullen, Finance Director
               Richard Kreisler

5. Represented Group: Police Managers Association (PMA)
   Negotiators: Doug Willmore, City Manager
               Deborah Cullen, Finance Director
               Richard Kreisler

CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATOR (Gov’t Code §54955.8): -0-
matters
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REGULAR MEETING OF THE EL SEGUNDO CITY COUNCIL
TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 6, 2011 - 7:00 P.M.

Next Resolution # 4731
Next Ordinance # 1464

7:00 P.M. SESSION

CALL TO ORDER

INVOCATION –

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – Mayor Pro Tem Bill Fisher
PRESENTATIONS

(a) Proclamation – "National Preparedness Month", to encouraging all citizens and businesses to develop an emergency preparedness plan.

(b) Proclamation – Pledge to clean our communities by protecting and conserving natural resources and improving our communities quality of life.

ROLL CALL

PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS – (Related to City Business Only – 5 minute limit per person, 30 minute limit total) Individuals who have received value of $50 or more to communicate to the City Council on behalf of another, and employees speaking on behalf of their employer, must so identify themselves prior to addressing the City Council. Failure to do so shall be a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine of $250. While all comments are welcome, the Brown Act does not allow Council to take action on any item not on the agenda. The Council will respond to comments after Public Communications is closed.

A. PROCEDURAL MOTIONS

Consideration of a motion to read all ordinances and resolutions on the Agenda by title only.

Recommendation – Approval.

B. SPECIAL ORDERS OF BUSINESS (PUBLIC HEARING)

1. Consideration and possible action to open a Public Hearing regarding the presentation of the Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Preliminary Budget, including discussion and possible direction regarding all city revenues and expenditures. (Fiscal Impact: General Fund Revenues of $52.6 million, Expenditures of 52.6 million)

Recommendation - (1) Open Public Hearing; (2) Staff presentation of the 2011-2012 Preliminary Budget and Council direction to staff regarding all City revenues and expenditures (includes potential discussion and direction relating to all City Department revenues and expenditures); (3) Continue the Public Hearing to September 20, 2011; (4) Schedule the budget adoption for the September 20, 2011 meeting; (5) Alternatively discuss and take other action related to this item.
C. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

2. Consideration and possible action to provide municipal trash collection services to condominium and stock cooperative parcels with four or fewer units. (Fiscal Impact: $7,600.00)

   Recommendation - (1) Determine whether to provide municipal trash collection services to condominium and stock cooperative parcels with four or fewer units; or; (2) Alternatively discuss and provide other direction related to this action.

D. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES, COMMISSIONS AND BOARDS

3. Consideration and possible action to receive and file the Annual Report of the Capital Improvement Program Advisory Committee (CIPAC). Oral presentation will be made by CIPAC Chairman Crista Binder. (Fiscal Impact: None)

   Recommendation - (1) Receive and file the Annual Report of CIPAC and receive the oral presentation; or; (2) Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.

E. CONSENT AGENDA

   All items listed are to be adopted by one motion without discussion and passed unanimously. If a call for discussion of an item is made, the item(s) will be considered individually under the next heading of business.

4. Warrant Numbers 2583713 to 2584023 on Register No. 22 in the total amount of $2,880,296.46 and Wire Transfers from 08/04/11 through 08/25/11 in the total amount of $2,855,656.60.

   Recommendation – Approve Warrant Demand Registers and authorize staff to release. Ratify Payroll and Employee Benefit checks; checks released early due to contracts or agreement; emergency disbursements and/or adjustments; and wire transfers.

5. Regular City Council Meeting Minutes of August 16, 2011.

   Recommendation – Approval.

6. Consideration and possible action regarding a request for Community Challenge Grant funds from the Department of Housing and Urban Development for the purposes of updating the General Plan and Zoning Regulations. (Fiscal Impact: grant award of $640,000 with $160,000 commitment of City matching funds)

   Recommendation - (1) Authorize the submittal of the grant application and the commitment of $160,000 in matching funds and in-kind staff time, and/or; (2) Alternatively, discuss and take other possible action related to this item.
7. Consideration and possible action regarding a thirty (30) day provisional appointment extension for the position of Interim Battalion Chief.  
(Fiscal impact: None)  
Recommendation - (1) Approve the thirty (30) day provisional appointment extension for the position of Interim Battalion Chief; (2) Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.

CALL ITEMS FROM CONSENT AGENDA

F. NEW BUSINESS

G. REPORTS – CITY MANAGER

H. REPORTS – CITY ATTORNEY

I. REPORTS – CITY CLERK

J. REPORTS – CITY TREASURER

K. REPORTS – CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

Council Member Fuentes –

Council Member Brann –

Council Member Jacobson –

Mayor Pro Tem Fisher –

Mayor Busch –
PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS – (Related to City Business Only – 5 minute limit per person, 30 minute limit total) Individuals who have receive value of $50 or more to communicate to the City Council on behalf of another, and employees speaking on behalf of their employer, must so identify themselves prior to addressing the City Council. Failure to do so shall be a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine of $250. While all comments are welcome, the Brown Act does not allow Council to take action on any item not on the agenda. The Council will respond to comments after Public Communications is closed.

MEMORIALS –

CLOSED SESSION

The City Council may move into a closed session pursuant to applicable law, including the Brown Act (Government Code Section §54960, et seq.) for the purposes of conferring with the City’s Real Property Negotiator; and/or conferring with the City Attorney on potential and/or existing litigation; and/or discussing matters covered under Government Code Section §54957 (Personnel); and/or conferring with the City’s Labor Negotiators.

REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION (if required)

ADJOURNMENT

POSTED:

DATE: 04/03/11

TIME: 04:00 P.M

NAME: [Signature]
Proclamation

City of El Segundo, California

WHEREAS, "National Preparedness Month" creates an important opportunity for every resident of the City of El Segundo to prepare their homes, businesses, and communities for any type of emergency including natural disasters and potential terrorist attacks; and

WHEREAS, investing in the preparedness of ourselves, our families, businesses and communities can reduce fatalities and economic devastation in our communities and in our nation; and

WHEREAS, the Federal Emergency Management Agency’s Ready Campaign, Citizen Corps and other federal, state, local, tribal, territorial, private and volunteer agencies are working to increase public activities in preparing for emergencies and to educate individuals on how to take action; and

WHEREAS, emergency preparedness is the responsibility of every citizen of the City of El Segundo and all citizens are urged to make preparedness a priority and work together, as a team, to ensure that individuals, families and communities are prepared for disasters and emergencies of any type; and

WHEREAS, all citizens of the City of El Segundo are encouraged to participate in citizen preparedness activities and asked to review the Ready campaign’s website at Ready.gov and become more prepared.

NOW, THEREFORE, on this 6th day of September, 2011, the Mayor and Members of the City Council of the City of El Segundo, California, hereby proclaim September 2011 as National Preparedness Month and encourages all citizens and businesses to develop their own emergency preparedness plan, and work together toward creating a more prepared society.
Proclamation

City of El Segundo, California

WHEREAS, the City of El Segundo recognizes the importance of protecting and conserving natural resources and strives to improve the quality of life in our communities by promoting conscientious living habits that will bring about a cleaner, safer, and healthier environment; and

WHEREAS, the City of El Segundo has developed many positive and successful waste reduction programs and will continue to implement more programs that will further reduce waste and encourage citizens to recycle even more such as composting, reusing products and materials, and purchasing recycled products and packaging; and

WHEREAS, by encouraging businesses, nonprofit organizations, schools, and individuals to take the pledge to clean and sustain our communities, we can further promote an environmentally sound and wholesome way of life; and

WHEREAS, community leaders can help "close the recycling loop" by informing residents about the importance of buying recycled products; they can also aid in this effort by promoting the benefits of recycling investments for businesses; and

WHEREAS, it is important for all City of El Segundo citizens to actively participate in resource conservation and environmental protection efforts.

NOW, THEREFORE, on this 6th day of September, 2011, the Mayor and Members of the City Council of the City of El Segundo, California, hereby take the Pledge to clean our communities by:

- Thinking about how we impact the environment at home, school, work, and in our community and looking for creative ways to lessen these impacts;
- Working with others in our community and surrounding areas to make our City and County leaders in environmental stewardship;
- Leading by example in our communities;
- Encouraging residents to continue to reduce, reuse, recycle and rethink at home and at work;
- Providing residents and businesses the tools to make recycling easy;
- Encouraging residents to find out about all the materials they can recycle in their communities;
- Encouraging residents to contact elected officials in support of community and regional recycling efforts; and
- Encouraging City residents to visit the CleanLA.com website, take the Pledge to Clean LA, and learn more about what they can do for the environment and our communities.

[Signature]

Mayor Eric H. Busch

[Signature]

Mayor Pro Tem Bill Fisher

[Signature]

Council Member Don Brann

[Signature]

Council Member Carl Spierken

[Signature]

Council Member Susanne Truett
AGENDA DESCRIPTION:
Consideration and possible action to open a Public Hearing regarding the presentation of the Fiscal Year 2011-2012 Preliminary Budget, including discussion and possible direction regarding all city revenues and expenditures. (Fiscal Impact: General Fund Revenues of $52.6 million, Expenditures of $52.6 million)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:
(1) Open Public Hearing
(2) Staff presentation of the 2011-2012 Preliminary Budget and Council direction to staff regarding all City revenues and expenditures (includes potential discussion and direction relating to all City Department revenues and expenditures)
(3) Continue the Public Hearing to September 20, 2011;
(4) Schedule the budget adoption for the September 20, 2011 meeting;
(5) Alternatively discuss and take other action related to this item

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:
1. FY 2011-2012 Preliminary Budget Summary (to be presented at Council Meeting)

FISCAL IMPACT: Revenues of $52.6 million, Expenditures of $52.6 million

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount Budgeted:</th>
<th>$52.6 million</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Additional Appropriation:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account Number(s):</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ORIGINATED BY: Angelina Garcia, Fiscal Services Manager
REVIEWED BY: Deborah Cullen, Director of Finance
APPROVED BY: Doug Willmore, City Manager

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:

Preliminary FY 2011-2012 Budget

On August 31, 2011 at the City’s 2nd Strategic Planning Session staff presented the summary of the proposed FY 2011-2012 Preliminary General Fund Budget for revenues and expenditures and obtained direction from Council on structurally balancing the budget. Due to the timing of the Strategic Planning Sessions, tonight staff is presenting to Council and the public the Citywide revenues and expenditures summary with supporting documentation for the FY 2011-2012 Preliminary Budget.
AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

Consideration and possible action to provide municipal trash collection services to condominium and stock cooperative parcels with four or fewer units. (Fiscal Impact: $7,600.00)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:

1. Determine whether to provide municipal trash collection services to condominium and stock cooperative parcels with four or fewer units; or
2. Alternatively discuss and provide other direction related to this action.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:
None

FISCAL IMPACT: Budget Adjustment Required

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount Budgeted</th>
<th>$482,350.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Additional Appropriation</td>
<td>Yes $7,600.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Account Number(s)</td>
<td>001-400-4206-6206</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ORIGINATED BY: Stephanie Katsouleas, Public Works Director
REVIEWED BY: Mark Hensley, City Attorney
APPROVED BY: Doug Willmore, City Manager

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:

On February 1, 2011, City Council approved a resolution to continue providing trash collection services to residential parcels with four or fewer units under the upcoming, new, waste hauling contract, effectively expanding the intent of the City’s Municipal Code from serving only 1-2 unit parcels to also including 3-4 unit parcels. However, no discussion was held regarding expanding the types of parcels that would qualify for City service. El Segundo’s Municipal Code (ESMC), Section 5-2-2, states that “The City shall collect and dispose of residential and miscellaneous refuse from every residential unit within the City at least once per week…” and defines residential units as “single-family and two-family unit residences, not including condominiums or stock-cooperatives.”

Therefore, in the Residential Waste Hauling bid package released, staff included only the specific addresses of those types of residential units covered under ESMC 5-2-2, thus excluding condominium owners and stock cooperatives such as town homes from the list of eligible parcels. Once the successful waste hauling contractor was selected and approved by Council on August 2, 2011, staff sent out letters to all non-qualifying residential parcel owners notifying them that if they were currently using the City’s free service, effective November 1, 2011, they
would need to arrange and pay for their own waste hauling service. In response to that letter, many condo and town home owners residing on parcels with four or fewer units have called to express frustration that they will no longer receive the City’s free trash service and effectively consider themselves as similar to any other type of parcel with four units or less.

Because condominium and stock cooperatives are not covered under ESMC 5-2-2 regardless of the number of units on each parcel, staff is seeking clarification from City Council on whether or not to include them in the upcoming waste hauling contract. The impact of including up to 68 additional service addresses would be approximately $7,600.00 annually, or $53,200 over the life of the seven-year contract. Approval would require an adjustment to the waste hauling contract amount approved by Council on August 2, 2011 and would become part of next year’s approved operating budget. Please note that not all 68 services addresses would necessarily choose to receive the City’s free service as participation requires the use of manual bins in lieu of cubic yard containers. An audit of the 68 services addresses has not been conducted to anticipate which parcels would likely participate at this time. Should City Council decide to include these parcels, staff will send out addendum letters confirming their eligibility and the criteria that must be met to participate.
AGENDA DESCRIPTION:

Consideration and possible action to receive and file the Annual Report of the Capital Improvement Program Advisory Committee (CIPAC). Oral presentation will be made by CIPAC Chairman Crista Binder. (Fiscal Impact: None)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:

1. Receive and file the Annual Report of CIPAC and receive the oral presentation; or.
2. Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:

CIPAC Recommendations For FY 2011-12 (Annual Report)

FISCAL IMPACT: None

Amount Budgeted: $0
Additional Appropriation: No
Account Number(s): N/A

ORIGINATED BY: Stephanie Katsouleas, Public Works Director
REVIEWED BY:
APPROVED BY: Doug Willmore, City Manager

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:

The Capital Improvement Advisory Committee (CIPAC) is a five-member committee appointed by City Council to evaluate and recommend projects to be funded under the City’s annual Capital Improvement Program. This year in July, the Committee reviewed and recommended five projects for funding through enterprise funds and Measure R, Gas Tax and Proposition C local returns received annually. The total funding available for projects from all sources totaled $1,225,000. The Committee also held a Public Input Workshop to receive input regarding capital projects supported by and/or desired from the community, but none was received.

The attached annual report provides a summary detail of all five projects recommended for funding this year by CIPAC. Please note that no general funds were allocated to the Capital Improvement Program this year. CIPAC recommends that the City Council receive and file this report approving the projects recommended for FY 2011-12.
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR
FISCAL YEAR 2011-2012

CITY OF
EL SEGUNDO

CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM
ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Chairman: Crista Binder
Committee Member: Chris Powell
Committee Member: A.J. Paz
Committee Member: David Atkinson
Committee Member: Scott Houston
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APPENDIX I: Project Summary Sheet by Funding Category

APPENDIX II: Project Detail Sheets
DATE: September 6, 2011

TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council Members

FROM: The Capital Improvement Program Advisory Committee (CIPAC)

SUBJECT: Capital Improvement Project Recommendations for FY 2011/12

The City of El Segundo is a charming, 5.46 square mile beach community with a dynamic mix of residential and business districts. With a daytime workforce population exceeding 70,000 and a nighttime residential population of approximately 16,650, the City is committed to maintaining the vital infrastructure needed to support this world-class community.

In order to maintain and grow economic development in our region as well as to continue to provide outstanding public services, it is critical that El Segundo continue to invest in streets, sewers, water distribution, street lighting, and emergency services. Such investment also directly benefits the quality of life of our residents through beautiful parks, youth and senior community activities, downtown revitalization and high property values. In order to continue providing exceptional services, it is projected that nearly $87 million will be needed over the next decade to adequately maintain current facilities, provide new infrastructure where needed, implement new technologies for the health and welfare of local citizens, and prepare for a major disaster. Annually, these goals can be achieved through implementation of capital projects identified and recommended by staff and the Capital Improvement Program Advisory Committee (CIPAC) and approved by City Council.

However, the current economy poses additional challenges to capital improvement projects (CIP) due to the financial constraints facing the City. Most notably, General Fund revenues used to support CIPs not typically funded through other grant sources, propositions and local returns have been greatly reduced over the past two years. This in turn has impacted our ability to support and implement community-based recreation projects, perform unfunded building repairs and conduct local street improvements.
OVERVIEW

The Capital Improvement Program Advisory Committee (CIPAC) is comprised of five members appointed by City Council to four-year terms. Each year, the committee convenes to review and rank capital projects put forward by both staff and the community through a public input process. The dynamic and well-developed ranking system used considers several factors and benefits which are described on page 5. The ranking system also incorporates the goals established in the Land Use Planning section of the General Plan.

**General Plan: Land Use Element Goals**

| LU1: Maintain El Segundo’s “Small Town” Atmosphere |
| LU2: Preservation and Enhancement of El Segundo’s Cultural and Historical Resources |
| LU3: Proper Distribution of Residential Land Uses |
| LU4: Provision of a Stable Tax Base for El Segundo Through Commercial Uses |
| LU5: Attraction of Clean and Beneficial Industrial Uses |
| LU6: Maintenance of Parks and Recreation Facilities |
| LU7: Provision of Quality Infrastructure |

Once rankings are assigned, funding is then allocated to the highest ranking projects based on several considerations, which include:

- The source of funding (propositions, general fund, grants, enterprise fund, etc.);
- Whether the particular funding source has limitations and/or restrictions on the type of project that can be funded;
- Whether other sources of matching funds have already been secured;
- Whether the project needs partial or full funding to be carried out; and
- Whether the project was carried over from previous years, etc.

However, because no General Fund monies were available to award this year, the ranking system was not utilized. Instead, City staff brought forward and the CIPAC reviewed and approved only those highest priority projects eligible through grant, enterprise and proposition funding sources. This final list of recommended projects was presented to the Planning Commission in August for verification of conformance with the City’s General Plan and is now being forwarded to City Council as part of the 2011-12 fiscal budget.

**2010/11 CIPAC**

This year, five (5) projects worth a combined total of $1,225,000 were submitted to and reviewed by CIPAC for funding consideration. The available funding pool for these projects is $1,225,000, which is similar to the funding amount available last year but significantly less than in previous years. CIPAC recommended that all five projects receive full funding. No other
projects were considered and no projects received only partial funding this year.

**FUNDING SOURCES**

Available funding sources for the FY 2011/12 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) include the Water Fund, Sewer Fund, Measure R, Proposition C and Gas Tax, for a total of $1,225,000. Unlike previous years, no funds were allocated this year from the General Fund, Proposition 1B, California Community Block Grant and Proposition 42. A summary of the estimated funding sources is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water Fund</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer Fund</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure R</td>
<td>$280,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposition C</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Tax</td>
<td>$325,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,225,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Water and Sewer Fund*

Sewer rates increase annually according to the 2004 recommendations of the Wastewater Rate Study Task Force and the 2006 Proposition 218 protest ballot process.

Water rates increase annually or semiannually according to the pass through rates set by the West Basin Water District. The pass through rate structure was approved by voters following a 2009 Proposition 218 protest ballot process. Of the five projects considered, CIPAC recommended that one project utilize both sewer and water enterprise funds for implementation of a new automated water meter reading system for commercial and industrial potable water customers.

*Measure R Local Return*

In November, 2008, voters in Los Angeles County approved a ½-cent sales tax to help meet Los Angeles County’s transportation needs. Measure R Local Return funds can be used for qualifying transportation purposes, which include local street rehabilitation, bike lanes, street widening projects, etc. Of the five projects considered for funding, CIPAC recommended that one project utilize Measure R Local Return funds for local street pavement rehabilitation.
**Proposition C**

Proposition C Local Return Funds are earmarked for qualifying transit related improvements for roadways carrying fixed transit routes. In November 6, 1990, the Los Angeles County Transportation Commission measure increased the sales tax in the county by one half cent to fund transit projects and buses. Of the funds generated, 20% is returned to local jurisdictions for eligible transit, para-transit and other related services. These Prop C funds are distributed to municipalities on a per capita basis. The City uses a portion of its Prop C local return for arterial street improvements. Of the five projects considered, CIPAC recommended that one project utilize Prop C funding for arterial street rehabilitation.

**Gas Tax**

The State Gas Tax local return has traditionally been used for residential street, curb, gutter and sidewalk maintenance in El Segundo. This tax is collected at the pump as a surcharge on gasoline purchases. Currently in California, consumers pay $0.36/gallon surcharge on gasoline and $0.18/per gallon surcharge on diesel. Of the five projects considered, CIPAC recommended that two projects utilize Gas Tax funding, which include: 1) the City’s annual curb and sidewalk repairs and replacement program and 2) slurry sealing streets in the southeast quadrant of the City.

**PROJECT EVALUATION and SCORING CRITERIA**

This year CIPAC met only once, on July 20, 2011, to discuss and consider the projects put forward for consideration. This meeting also included the advertised Public Input Workshop. However, no public input was received on the projects proposed and no new projects were put forward by anyone from the community. Also, because no General Funds were available, no competing projects were presented. Therefore the criteria used to score and rank each project were not used. Rather, CIPAC reviewed and discussed only those projects brought forward by staff that were identified as either the highest priority within each eligible funding category or part of an annual rehab program according to the City’s street maintenance schedule (e.g., slurry seal). Scoring criteria included:

- **Health and Safety (0-10 points)**: Degree to which the improvement would mitigate harm to the community (catastrophic, personal injury, property).
- **System Condition (0-5 points):** Degree to which improvement maintains the useful life of the system (sidewalks, streets, sewer, water, storm drain, and communications).

- **Return on Investment (0-5 points):** Degree to which improvement results in savings, efficiencies or mitigates significant future costs.

- **Joint Agreement/Legal Requirement (0-5 points):** Degree to which the City is required to implement the project by law.

- **Coordination Opportunity (0-5 points):** Degree to which improvement can be completed with another project to generate savings or efficiencies in the use of time, labor and materials.

- **Community Interest (0-5 points):** This score is used when the project is given special consideration based upon substantial community interest where the other project categories do not apply. The project will include a community impact statement to justify the project score.

### 10-YEAR PLAN PURPOSE

In 2007, the CIPAC Committee recommended and the City Council adopted a 10-Year, $87 million Infrastructure and Maintenance Program. However, the extent of and cost associated with those infrastructure needs is not being met given the current budget crisis. As the City's infrastructure continues to age and deteriorate, operational costs will undoubtedly increase as efforts are redirected to repairing system failures rather than maintaining the system before failures occur. Significant capital will still be required to effectively maintain the system in serviceable condition in addition to responding to unanticipated system failures. Long term financial planning is critical if we are to meet our maintenance objectives. Methods such as bond issues, user fees, direct borrowing, pay as you go, etc. can and ultimately should be considered.

### CONCLUSION

CIPAC has completed its evaluation of all proposed capital improvement projects and respectfully submits its list of recommended projects to be included in the FY 2011-2012 Capital Improvement Program (CIP). The Committee recommends that City Council adopt the FY 2011-2012 CIP projects identified in this report to be funded with Sewer and Water Enterprise, Measure R, Proposition C funds and Gas Tax funds.
2011-12 CIPAC PROJECT RECOMMENDATIONS

The following table summarizes CIPAC’s recommendations for funding for FY 2011-12.

1. $500,000 Water Meter Conversion
   This project will convert approximately 400 commercial and industrial water meters from a manual reading system to an automatic, drive-by reading system, saving significant staff resources.

2. $280,000 Local Street Rehabilitation
   This project will rehabilitate local streets in El Segundo according to pavement condition index scoring criteria, targeting the streets with the lowest scores and most critical need for upgrade. This year, several streets in the Smokey Hollow area will be rehabilitated if bond funding is secured for Center Street. Otherwise, Center St. will take priority.

3. $120,000 Arterial Street Rehabilitation Program
   This project will rehabilitate arterial streets in El Segundo according to pavement condition index scoring criteria, targeting the streets with the lowest scores and most critical need for upgrade. This year, funds will be allocated to initiate design plans for the rehabilitation of El Segundo Blvd. between Whiting St. and Sepulveda Blvd.

4. $100,000 Curb and Sidewalk Replacement Citywide
   This project will replace sidewalks and curbs damaged by tree roots at various locations throughout the city.

5. $225,000 Annual Slurry Seal Program
   This project will implement the City’s annual Slurry Seal program, which is implemented according the City’s 5-year cycle. This year, the southeast quadrant of the City will be slurry sealed.

$1,225,000 Total Funding
APPENDIX I

Project Summary Sheet
By Funding Category
## CIPAC 2011-12

### PROJECT FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS

### CIPAC Recommendations for FY 2011-12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CIPAC Priority &amp; Page No.</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Requested Amount</th>
<th>CIPAC Score</th>
<th>Sewer Fund</th>
<th>Water Fund</th>
<th>Measure R</th>
<th>Gas Tax</th>
<th>Prop C</th>
<th>Funds Allocated to Date</th>
<th>Amount Recommended</th>
<th>Shortfall for FY 11/12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Arterial Street Rehabilitation Program</td>
<td>$240,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Local Streets Rehabilitation Program</td>
<td>$280,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$280,000</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$280,000</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Water Meter Conversion</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Annual Slurry Seal Program</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Curb and Sidewalk Replacement Citywide</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Project Requests</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,345,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$280,000</td>
<td>$325,000</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,225,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Available Funding by Source of Funds</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$250,000</td>
<td>$280,000</td>
<td>$325,000</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,225,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Balance of available funding</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX II

Project Detail Sheets
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2011/2012

PROJECT TITLE | Arterial Street Rehabilitation
REQUESTING DEPARTMENT | Public Works
DESCRIPTION | Rehabilitation of El Segundo Blvd from Whiting St. to Sepulveda Blvd.
GENERAL PLAN REFERENCE | LU7

JUSTIFICATION
On a biennial basis, Public Works inspects and rates pavements on arterials and collectors. A Pavement Condition Index (PCI, 0-100, 100 being best) is assigned to each street based on pavement condition. Agencies typically attempt to keep their average PCI above 65, which is considered a minimum standard. The City's current average PCI rating is 56 which is "fair to good". The goal of the program is to increase the condition of streets to a rating of 65. Once this PCI rating is reached, the Arterial and Collector system can be maintained through simple lower-cost measures such as slurry sealing. If this level of investment is not made, the quantity of deferred maintenance will increase and streets will no longer be candidates for lower cost rehabilitation strategies.

El Segundo Blvd has needed rehabilitation for some time now. Staff is proposing that the street not only receive new pavement overlay, but that additional features also be considered like improving ADA access and installing bike lane features.

CIPAC COMMENTS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ESTIMATED COST</th>
<th>FUNDS ALLOCATED TO DATE</th>
<th>EXPENSES TO 9/30/11</th>
<th>FY 2011/12</th>
<th>FY 2012/13</th>
<th>FY 2013/14</th>
<th>FY 2014/15</th>
<th>FY 2015/16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$280,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CIPAC SCORE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>HS</th>
<th>CS</th>
<th>RI</th>
<th>JL</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>TOT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

FUNDING SOURCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>COST</th>
<th>ESTIMATED COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposition C</td>
<td></td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. DESIGN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. MANAGEMENT/INSPECTION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. CONTINGENCIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. OTHER (LIST) Soils Engineer Rep. Survey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>$120,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All costs shown in current dollars
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2011/2012

PROJECT TITLE: Local Street Rehabilitation Project
REQUESTING DEPARTMENT: Public Works
DESCRIPTION: Rehabilitation of local streets according to the greatest need
GENERAL PLAN REFERENCE: LU7

JUSTIFICATION
In El Segundo, local streets are maintained through spot repair and slurry seal. As pavement ages, it get less flexible and eventually cracks. Slurry sealing helps keep water from getting into the cracks and damaging the subgrade. As environmental cracks enlarge, slurry sealing is less effective. A fresh wearing surface of conventional or rubberized asphalt is required from time to time. Throughout the industry it is generally accepted that streets can go about 30 years between overlays. Virtually all the City's local streets are much older than 30 years and there is no record that any local street has been overlaid. Cracking and alligatoring are evident on most streets. The local street overlay program proposed will mill and overlay 5% of local streets or about 1.2 miles per year annually. This will provide a new wearing surface on all local streets in twenty years. After this goal is met, the program can be reduced to overlaying on a 30-year cycle.

Center Street: Mariposa to Imperial
Center Street: El Segundo to Grand
Nevada: El Segundo to Grand
Illinois: El Segundo to Franklin
Penn: Franklin to Grand

CIPAC COMMENTS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ESTIMATED COST</th>
<th>FUNDS ALLOCATED TO DATE</th>
<th>EXPENSES TO 9/30/11</th>
<th>FY 2012/13</th>
<th>FY 2013/14</th>
<th>FY 2014/15</th>
<th>FY 2015/16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$280,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CIPAC SCORE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>HS</th>
<th>CS</th>
<th>RI</th>
<th>JL</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>TOT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

FUNDING SOURCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ESTIMATED COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measure R Local Return</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. DESIGN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td>$250,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. MANAGEMENT/INSPECTION</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. CONTINGENCIES</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. OTHER (LIST)</td>
<td>Soils Engineer Rep.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$280,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All costs shown in current dollars
**CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2011/2012**

**PROJECT TITLE**
Water Meter Conversion

**REQUESTING DEPARTMENT**
Public Works

**DESCRIPTION**
Conversion of Commercial Water Meters to Automatic Meter Read (AMR) System

**GENERAL PLAN REFERENCE**
LU7

**JUSTIFICATION**
El Segundo’s Water Department reads approximately 5000 meters on a monthly or bi-monthly cycle. While the overwhelming majority of them are residential meters, residential use accounts for only about 25% of the City’s water consumption. The other 75% of water consumed is by the City’s ~400 commercial and industrial accounts.

City staff spends approximately 10-12 man-days to read the 4000+ residential meters, while over the same bi-monthly duration, staff spends 6-7 man-days to read the ~400 commercial and industrial meters. Clearly, it is much more labor intensive to the non-residential sector. This is primarily due to the placement of the meters and their proximity to each other. Using an Automatic Meter Reading system would take staff approximately 3-4 hours instead of 6-7 days to read non-residential meters. Additionally, although both manual and automatic meters are highly accurate, manual meters only have the capability to register the analog reading as the water flows through it. They do not have the capability to store data, detect leaks or assess demand trends. An AMR system would keep daily (or even hourly) records of a customer’s water use and be able to provide warnings of water leaks. Most systems can also be set to automatically flag accounts with unusual use through its software alerts for further investigation by staff.

**CIPAC COMMENTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ESTIMATED COST</th>
<th>FUNDS ALLOCATED TO DATE</th>
<th>EXPENSES TO 9/30/11</th>
<th>FY 201/12</th>
<th>FY 2012/13</th>
<th>FY 2013/14</th>
<th>FY 2014/15</th>
<th>FY 2015/16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$500,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CIPAC SCORE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>HS</th>
<th>CS</th>
<th>RI</th>
<th>JL</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>TOT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**FUNDING SOURCES**

- $250,000 Water Enterprise Fund
- $250,000 Sewer Enterprise Fund

**DESCRIPTION**

1. DESIGN
2. CONSTRUCTION
3. MANAGEMENT/INSPECTION
4. CONTINGENCIES
5. OTHER (LIST) Equipment Purchase

**ESTIMATED COST**

- $500,000.00
- TOTAL $500,000.00

All costs shown in current dollars
PROJECT TITLE          Slurry Seal

REQUESTING DEPARTMENT  Public Works

DESCRIPTION            Pavement Slurry Sealing According to Regular Slurry Schedule

GENERAL PLAN REFERENCE LU7

JUSTIFICATION
Application of a thin coating of asphalt slurry seal over existing pavement can significantly prolong the life and help maintain the quality of our roads. It is a preventive maintenance effort that prevents moisture intrusion and subsequent pavement deterioration, which would result in a more expensive rehabilitation at later date if not mitigated.

Approximately one-fifth (1/5) of the streets are proposed to be slurry sealed annually under an established five-year cycle over a seven year period (two years dormant). The past two years, no slurry work has been performed and thus it is now time to reinitiate the annual cycle.

Streets within the southeast quadrant of the City in residential area bounded by Mariposa Avenue, Sheldon Street, El Segundo Boulevard and Sepulveda Boulevard are due to be slurry sealed this year.

CIPAC COMMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ESTIMATED COST</th>
<th>FUNDS ALLOCATED TO DATE</th>
<th>EXPENSES TO 9/30/11</th>
<th>FY 2011/12</th>
<th>FY 2012/13</th>
<th>FY 2013/14</th>
<th>FY 2014/15</th>
<th>FY 2015/16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>$225,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CIPAC SCORE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>HS</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>RI</th>
<th>JL</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>TOT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

FUNDING SOURCES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ESTIMATED COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline Tax Fund</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. DESIGN</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td>$200,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. MANAGEMENT/INSPECTION</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. CONTINGENCIES</td>
<td>$14,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. OTHER (LIST) Soils Engineer Rep.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$225,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All costs shown in current dollars
**CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FISCAL YEAR 2011/2012**

**PROJECT TITLE**  
Annual Curb and Sidewalk Repair Citywide

**REQUESTING DEPARTMENT**  
Public Works

**DESCRIPTION**  
Repair of City sidewalks and curbs according to the greatest need

**GENERAL PLAN REFERENCE**  
LU7

**JUSTIFICATION**

Curb and sidewalk are displaced by tree roots and other causes creating potential trip hazards. Locations for curb and sidewalk requiring repair are generated throughout the year by field surveys from the Street Maintenance Division and requests from residents. Each year the amount of work identified for repair exceeds the funding allocated. Additional funding would enable the Street Maintenance Division to reduce the backlog of resident's requests and City surveys. It is estimated that every 20 years 10% of a street's concrete curbs and sidewalk must be replaced. This results in approximately $185,000 of concrete work needed annually.

**CIPAC COMMENTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ESTIMATED COST</th>
<th>FUNDS ALLOCATED TO DATE</th>
<th>EXPENSES TO 9/30/11</th>
<th>FY 2012/13</th>
<th>FY 2013/14</th>
<th>FY 2014/15</th>
<th>FY 2015/16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CIPAC SCORE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>HS</th>
<th>CS</th>
<th>RI</th>
<th>JL</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>TOT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**FUNDING SOURCES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>ESTIMATED COST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. DESIGN</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td>$90,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. MANAGEMENT/INSPECTION</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. CONTINGENCIES</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. OTHER (LIST) Soils Engineer Rep. Survey</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All costs shown in current dollars
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Fund Description</th>
<th>Balance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>GENERAL FUND</td>
<td>2,506,616.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>TRAFFIC SAFETY FUND</td>
<td>862.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>STATE GAS TAX FUND</td>
<td>336.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>ASSOCIATED RECREATION ACTIVITIES FUND</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>ASSET FORFEITURE FUND</td>
<td>1,856.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111</td>
<td>COMM. DEVEL. BLOCK GRANT</td>
<td>2,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112</td>
<td>PROP <em>A</em> TRANSPORTATION</td>
<td>10,487.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>PROP &quot;C&quot; TRANSPORTATION</td>
<td>18,587.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>AIR QUALITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>HOME SOUND INSTALLATION FUND</td>
<td>2,946.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>HYPERION MITIGATION FUND</td>
<td>657.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>TDA ARTICLE 3 - SB 891 BIKEWAY FUND</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>MTA GRANT</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>FEMA</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>C.O.P.S. FUND</td>
<td>20,654.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>L.A.W.A. FUND</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td>ASSESSMENT DISTRICT #73</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301</td>
<td>CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FUND</td>
<td>36,407.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302</td>
<td>INFRASTRUCTURE REPLACEMENT FUND</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>455</td>
<td>FACILITIES MAINTENANCE</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501</td>
<td>WATER UTILITY FUND</td>
<td>9,648.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>502</td>
<td>WASTEWATER FUND</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503</td>
<td>GOLF COURSE FUND</td>
<td>9,090.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>901</td>
<td>EQUIPMENT REPLACEMENT</td>
<td>33,074.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>902</td>
<td>LIABILITY INSURANCE</td>
<td>6,780.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>903</td>
<td>WORKERS COMP. RESERVE/INSURANCE</td>
<td>159.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>701</td>
<td>RETIRED EMP. INSURANCE</td>
<td>1,151.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>702</td>
<td>EXPENDABLE TRUST FUND - DEVELOPER FEES</td>
<td>2,914.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>703</td>
<td>EXPENDABLE TRUST FUND - OTHER</td>
<td>66,010.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>708</td>
<td>OUTSIDE SERVICES TRUST</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL WARRANTS**

$ 2,880,298.46

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

I certify as to the accuracy of the Demands and the availability of fund for payment thereof.

For Approval: Regular checks held for City council authorization to release.

**CODES:**

- **R** = Computer generated checks for all non-emergency/urgence payments for materials, supplies and services in support of City Operations

**VOID CHECKS DUE TO ALIGNMENT:**

N/A

**VOID CHECKS DUE TO INCORRECT CHECK DATE:**

**VOID CHECKS DUE TO COMPUTER SOFTWARE ERROR:**

**NOTES**

FINANCE DIRECTOR

CITY MANAGER

DATE: 8/29/11

DATE: 8/30/11
**CITY OF EL SEGUNDO**
PAYMENTS BY WIRE TRANSFER
8/04/11 THROUGH 08/25/11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Payee</th>
<th>Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8/4/2011</td>
<td>IRS</td>
<td>221,240.16</td>
<td>Federal Taxes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/4/2011</td>
<td>Employment Development</td>
<td>48,678.02</td>
<td>State Taxes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/5/2011</td>
<td>State of CA EFT</td>
<td>1,047.21</td>
<td>EFT Child support payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/5/2011</td>
<td>Nationwide EFT</td>
<td>36,453.29</td>
<td>EFT 457 payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/5/2011</td>
<td>UB</td>
<td>4,062.21</td>
<td>PARS payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/5/2011</td>
<td>Manufacturers &amp; Traders</td>
<td>21,024.34</td>
<td>457 payment Vantagepoint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/5/2011</td>
<td>Cal Pers</td>
<td>443,787.69</td>
<td>Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/5/2011</td>
<td>UB</td>
<td>4,098.36</td>
<td>PARS payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/5/2011</td>
<td>Manufacturers &amp; Traders</td>
<td>21,240.84</td>
<td>457 payment Vantagepoint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/5/2011</td>
<td>Manufacturers &amp; Traders</td>
<td>192.31</td>
<td>IRA payment Vantagepoint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/10/2011</td>
<td>West Basin</td>
<td>1,472,113.40</td>
<td>H2O payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/10/2011</td>
<td>Cal Pers</td>
<td>33,649.32</td>
<td>EFT Retirement Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/10/2011</td>
<td>Cal Pers</td>
<td>15,611.92</td>
<td>EFT Retirement Misc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/10/2011</td>
<td>Lane Donovan Golf Pte</td>
<td>27,066.72</td>
<td>Payroll Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/18/2011</td>
<td>IRS</td>
<td>221,377.59</td>
<td>Federal Taxes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/18/2011</td>
<td>Employment Development</td>
<td>49,773.28</td>
<td>State Taxes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/18/2011</td>
<td>Employment Development</td>
<td>4,378.47</td>
<td>State Taxes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/19/2011</td>
<td>State of CA EFT</td>
<td>1,047.21</td>
<td>EFT Child support payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/19/2011</td>
<td>Nationwide EFT</td>
<td>36,489.20</td>
<td>EFT 457 payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/19/2011</td>
<td>UB</td>
<td>4,177.97</td>
<td>PARS payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/19/2011</td>
<td>Manufacturers &amp; Traders</td>
<td>21,020.67</td>
<td>457 payment Vantagepoint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/19/2011</td>
<td>Manufacturers &amp; Traders</td>
<td>192.31</td>
<td>IRA payment Vantagepoint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/22/2011</td>
<td>La Salle</td>
<td>43,725.00</td>
<td>EFT ABAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/24/2011</td>
<td>Unum</td>
<td>319.20</td>
<td>LTD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/25/2011</td>
<td>Lane Donovan Golf Pte</td>
<td>22,847.27</td>
<td>Payroll Transfer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/4-8/25/11</td>
<td>Workers Comp Activity</td>
<td>95,604.27</td>
<td>SCRMA checks issued</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DATE OF RATIFICATION: 09/06/11**
**TOTAL PAYMENTS BY WIRE:** 2,855,656.60

Certified as to the accuracy of the wire transfers by:

- **Deputy City Treasurer**
  - Mary M. Kobus
  - Date: 8/29/11

- **Director of Finance**
  - David Dunn
  - Date: 8/29/11

- **City Manager**
  - Date: 8/30/11

Information on actual expenditures is available in the City Treasurer's Office of the City of El Segundo.
5:00 P.M. SESSION

CALL TO ORDER

ROLL CALL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mayor Busch</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mayor Pro Tem Fisher</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council Member Brann</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council Member Fuentes</td>
<td>Present – arrived at 5:02 p.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Council Member Jacobson</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PUBLIC COMMUNICATION – (Related to City Business Only – 5 minute limit per person, 30 minute limit total) Individuals who have received value of $50 or more to communicate to the City Council on behalf of another, and employees speaking on behalf of their employer, must so identify themselves prior to addressing the City Council. Failure to do so shall be a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine of $250.

SPECIAL ORDER OF BUSINESS:

Mayor Busch announced that Council would be meeting in closed session pursuant to the items listed on the agenda.

Council Member Don Brann not participating in the initiation of litigation pursuant to Government Code §54956.9(c), Wiseburn School District item, on this agenda or on any future agenda nor has he participated in such item in prior closed sessions.

CLOSED SESSION:
The City Council moved into a closed session pursuant to applicable law, including the Brown Act (Government Code Section §54960, et seq.) for the purposes of conferring with the City’s Real Property Negotiator; and/or conferring with the City Attorney on potential and/or existing litigation; and/or discussing matters covered under Government Code Section §54957 (Personnel); and/or conferring with the City’s Labor Negotiators; as follows:

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION (Gov’t Code §54956.9(a) -2- matters

City of El Segundo vs. City of Los Angeles, et. al. LASC Case No. BS094279
NSA Construction vs. City of El Segundo, LASC Case No. BC438182

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION
Significant exposure to litigation pursuant to Government Code §54956.9(b): -0-
Initiation of litigation pursuant to Government Code §54956.9(c): -1- matter.
Wiseburn School District

DISCUSSION OF PERSONNEL MATTERS (Gov't Code §54957): - 2- matters

Employee Evaluation
Position: City Manager

Employee Evaluation
Position: City Attorney

APPOINTMENT OF PUBLIC EMPLOYEE (Gov't. Code § 54957) -0- matter

CONFERENCE WITH CITY'S LABOR NEGOTIATOR (Gov't Code §54957.6): -5- matters

Represented Group: Police Support Services Employees Association (PSSEA)
Negotiators: Doug Willmore, City Manager
Deborah Cullen, Finance Director
Richard Kreisler

Represented Group: City Employees Association (CEA)
Negotiators: Doug Willmore, City Manager
Deborah Cullen, Finance Director
Richard Kreisler

Represented Group: Firefighters Association (FFA)
Negotiators: Doug Willmore, City Manager
Deborah Cullen, Finance Director
Richard Kreisler

Represented Group: Police Officers Association (POA)
Negotiators: Doug Willmore, City Manager
Deborah Cullen, Finance Director
Richard Kreisler

Represented Group: Police Managers Association (PMA)
Negotiators: Doug Willmore, City Manager
Deborah Cullen, Finance Director
Richard Kreisler

CONFERENCE WITH REAL PROPERTY NEGOTIATOR (Gov't Code §54956.8): -0- matters

Council recessed at 6:50 p.m.
REGULAR MEETING OF THE EL SEGUNDO CITY COUNCIL  
TUESDAY, AUGUST 16, 2011 - 7:00 P.M.

7:00 P.M. SESSION

CALL TO ORDER

INVOCATION – Father Alexei Smith, St. Andrew Russian Greek Catholic Church

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – Council Member Carl Jacobson

PRESENTATIONS

ROLL CALL

Mayor Busch - Present
Mayor Pro Tem Fisher - Present
Council Member Brann - Present
Council Member Fuentes - Present
Council Member Jacobson - Present

PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS – (Related to City Business Only – 5 minute limit per person, 30 minute limit total) Individuals who have received value of $50 or more to communicate to the City Council on behalf of another, and employees speaking on behalf of their employer, must so identify themselves prior to addressing the City Council. Failure to do so shall be a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine of $250. While all comments are welcome, the Brown Act does not allow Council to take action on any item not on the agenda. The Council will respond to comments after Public Communications is closed.

Liz Garnholz, Resident; requested an update on the redistricting of voting districts for District, State, and Federal representation.

A. PROCEDURAL MOTIONS

Consideration of a motion to read all ordinances and resolutions on the Agenda by title only.

MOTION by Council Member Brann, SECONDED by Council Member Jacobson to read all ordinances and resolutions on the Agenda by title only. MOTION PASSED BY UNANIMOUS VOICE VOTE. 5/0
B. SPECIAL ORDERS OF BUSINESS (PUBLIC HEARING)

1. Consideration and possible action to open a public hearing and receive testimony to: 1) receive and file the Pool Alternatives Study (2010) regarding site selection and feasibility for a new aquatics facility; 2) approve Environmental Assessment No. 836 certifying the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the El Segundo Aquatics Site Feasibility Alternatives Project with a statement of overriding considerations; and 3) determine that the reservoir structure located at Hilltop Park is not a local cultural resource. Applicant: City of El Segundo. (Fiscal Impact: N/A)

Mayor Busch stated that this was the time and place to conduct a public hearing and receive testimony to: 1) receive and file the Pool Alternatives Study (2010) regarding site selection and feasibility for a new aquatics facility; 2) approve Environmental Assessment No. 836 certifying the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the El Segundo Aquatics Site Feasibility Alternatives Project with a statement of overriding considerations; and 3) determine that the reservoir structure located at Hilltop Park is not a local cultural resource. Applicant: City of El Segundo.

City Clerk Mortesen stated that proper notice had been given in a timely manner and that three written communications had been received in the City Clerk's Office. Council has been copied.

Greg Carpenter, Planning and Building Safety Director, Bob Cummings, Recreation and Parks Directors both gave a report.

Ron Swanson, Resident; spoke in favor of building a new pool and he prefers the Urho Sarri (Richmond Street School) site.

Michael Hammond, Resident; spoke against the Hilltop site and resulting traffic.

Father Alexis Smith, Pastor of St. Andrews Church; spoke in favor of a new pool and the Plunge is a community asset. He felt the Plunge site is maxed out and does not have enough support area for the traffic.

Rebecca Crane Okada; Resident; spoke against the Hilltop site and concerns about parking.

Resident; spoke about the Imperial site and an alternative measure he proposed. He felt the EIR is flawed and other issues need to be addressed.

Barbara Briney, Resident and member of the aquatic task force; hoped the Council could advance the EIR so that further studies and plans can be made. This was just the first step in the process, and planning for the future was necessary.

Rebecca Crane Okada, resident; Feels that the length of the pool needs to be addressed.
Debby Shrimmer, resident; spoke about the noise impact at the Hilltop site. She also talked about parking at other sites.

Mike Robbins, Resident; spoke regarding concerns about the pool and who would be using it.

Michelle Lawson, Resident; spoke about concerns on construction and impact on the school and students during construction and use.

Joel Okada, Resident; spoke on the Hilltop park site, and does not feel that this is the best place for a large pool.

MOTION by Council Member Jacobson, SECONDED by Mayor Pro Tem Fisher to close the public hearing. MOTION PASSED BY UNANIMOUS VOICE VOTE. 5/0

Mark Hensley, City Attorney, read by title only:

RESOLUTION NO. 4729

A RESOLUTION CERTIFYING A FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT FOR ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. 836; ADOPTING A STATEMENT OF OVERRIDING CONSIDERATIONS FOR THE AQUATICS SITE FEASIBILITY ALTERNATIVES PROJECT WITH POTENTIAL MUNICIPAL SWIMMING POOL LOCATIONS AT 301 MARYLAND STREET, 530 EAST IMPERIAL AVENUE, AND 219 WEST MARIPOSA AVENUE, AND DETERMINING THAT THE EXISTING RESERVOIR STRUCTURE AT 301 MARYLAND STREET IS NOT A LOCAL CULTURAL RESOURCE.

MOTION by Mayor Pro Tem Fisher, SECONDED by Mayor Busch to Receive and File the Pool Alternatives Study; Adopt Resolution No. 4729 certifying the EIR and not designating the Hilltop Park reservoir a local cultural resource. MOTION PASSED BY UNANIMOUS VOICE VOTE. 5/0

C. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

D. REPORTS OF COMMITTEES, COMMISSIONS AND BOARDS

E. CONSENT AGENDA

All items listed are to be adopted by one motion without discussion and passed unanimously. If a call for discussion of an item is made, the item(s) will be considered individually under the next heading of business.
2. Approved Warrant Numbers 2583538 to 2583712 on Register No. 21 in the total amount of $477,454.24 and Wire Transfers from 7/21/11 through 8/4/11 in the total amount of $3,555,847.48. Authorized staff to release. Ratified Payroll and Employee Benefit checks; checks released early due to contracts or agreement; emergency disbursements and/or adjustments; and wire transfers.

3. Approved Regular and Special City Council Meeting Minutes of August 2, 2011.

4. Adopted Resolution No. 4730 to apply for a grant from Los Angeles County Regional Park and Open Space District (RPOSD) in the amount of $150,000. The grant funds will be allocated for improvement and rehabilitation of Recreation Park. (Fiscal Impact: FY 10-11: None; FY 11-12: $150,000 of Intergovernmental Grant Revenue)

5. PULLED FOR DISCUSSION BY COUNCIL MEMBER FUENTES

6. Approved Change Order No. 1 for $7,178.94. Accepted the work as complete for the project for the City’s 2010-2011 annual sidewalk, curb and gutter repair/replacement program and other minor improvements at various locations citywide. Approved Capital Improvement Project. Project No. PW 11-01. (Fiscal Impact: $78,476.80) Authorized the City Clerk to file a Notice of Completion in the County Recorder’s Office.

7. Waived second reading and adopted Ordinance No. 1462 approving Environmental Assessment EA 924, to modify Condition of Approval No. 12(A) in Ordinance No. 1345 to allow alcohol sales in hotels between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 2:00 a.m. in the Corporate Campus Specific Plan. (Fiscal Impact: N/A)

8. Waived second reading and adopted Ordinance No. 1463 approving Environmental Assessment EA 921 and Precise Plan Amendment No. 11-01, to remove the 2,500 square-foot limit on the maximum permitted outdoor dining area in the Beach Cities Plaza Precise Plan. (Fiscal Impact: N/A)

9. Received and filed report without objecting to a new Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC) license for on-site sale and consumption of alcohol (Type 47 Alcoholic Beverage Control License) at Jackson’s Food and Drink located at 2041 Rosecrans Avenue # 190. (Fiscal Impact: N/A)

10. Approved the delegation of authority to assign unrestricted/undesignated general fund balance at year-end for financial reporting purposes to the Director of Finance. (Fiscal Impact: None)

11. Approved and accepted Final Tract Map No. 71261, a six (6) lots residential subdivision located at 710 Sycamore Avenue. (Fiscal Impact: None) Authorized the appropriate City Official to sign and record said Map.
MOTION by Council Member Brann, SECONDED by Mayor Pro Tem Fisher to approve Consent Agenda Items 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11. MOTION PASSED BY UNANIMOUS VOICE VOTE. 5/0

CALL ITEMS FROM CONSENT AGENDA

5. Consideration and possible action regarding a request from the El Segundo Education Foundation to waive City fees and costs in the amount of $3,129.62 in accordance with ESMC Section 8-8-7 D 1 for the El Segundo Education Foundation’s event celebrating the El Segundo Unified School District’s 75th Anniversary and the reopening of The El Segundo Community Theatre. In addition, the El Segundo Education Foundation is requesting approval for the El Segundo Kiwanis Club to operate a “Beer and Wine Garden” at the event. (Fiscal Impact: Approximately $3,129.62)

MOTION by Council Member Fuentes, SECONDED by Council Member Brann to approve a request from the El Segundo Education Foundation to waive City fees and costs in the amount of $3,129.62 in accordance with ESMC Section 8-8-7 D 1 for the El Segundo Education Foundation’s event celebrating the El Segundo Unified School District’s 75th Anniversary and the reopening of The El Segundo Community Theatre. MOTION PASSED BY UNANIMOUS VOICE VOTE. 5/0

MOTION by Mayor Pro Tem Fisher, SECONDED by Mayor Busch to approve the El Segundo Education Foundation’s request for the El Segundo Kiwanis Club to operate a “Beer and Wine Garden” at the event. MOTION PASSED BY THE FOLLOWING VOICE VOTE. AYES: BUSCH, FISHER, BRANN, JACOBSON; NOES: FUENTES. 4/1

F. NEW BUSINESS

REPORTS – CITY MANAGER - Spoke on the development of an economic development strategy for the City.

REPORTS – CITY ATTORNEY - None

REPORTS – CITY CLERK - None

REPORTS – CITY TREASURER - None

REPORTS – CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

Council Member Fuentes – Spoke regarding the Drama Presentation "Bye-Bye Birdie" at the park, the Soccer Team victory, and the LA County Board of Supervisors meeting and redistricting.

Council Member Brann – spoke about his “walk streak” and noted that 1500 days had been reached. He also spoke regarding the memorial service for Eric Middleton who worked for the company that did El Segundo's search for a City Manager.
Council Member Jacobson – He spoke about the Congressional re-districting and an agreement signed with the Los Angeles International Airport for 40 new homes to be sound insulated.

Mayor Pro Tem Fisher – Thanked Mayor Busch and Council Member Jacobson for their work with LAWA and the funding for sound insulation.

Mayor Busch – Announced that the next Strategic Planning session would be held August 31, 2011 at 7:30 a.m. at the Friends of the Library Room; the upcoming Blood Drive; and the Remembrance Service to be held Sunday, September 11th at Freedom Park.

PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS – (Related to City Business Only – 5 minute limit per person, 30 minute limit total) Individuals who have receive value of $50 or more to communicate to the City Council on behalf of another, and employees speaking on behalf of their employer, must so identify themselves prior to addressing the City Council. Failure to do so shall be a misdemeanor and punishable by a fine of $250. While all comments are welcome, the Brown Act does not allow Council to take action on any item not on the agenda. The Council will respond to comments after Public Communications is closed.

Liz Garnholz, Resident; spoke about the funding for the EIR from the Aquatics Fund and Congressional re-districting.

Ron Swanson, Resident; spoke regarding the upcoming Education Foundation event for the opening of the new Auditorium.

Mike Robbins, Resident; spoke regarding school bonds. He also requested that negotiations with the employee groups be open to the public.

MEMORIALS – None

RECESSED TO CLOSED SESSION AT 9:02 P.M.

CLOSED SESSION –

Mayor Busch announced that Council would be moving to closed session pursuant to the items listed on the agenda.

CLOSED SESSION:
The City Council moved into a closed session pursuant to applicable law, including the Brown Act (Government Code Section §54960, et seq.) for the purposes of conferring with the City’s Real Property Negotiator; and/or conferring with the City Attorney on potential and/or existing litigation; and/or discussing matters covered under Government Code Section §54957 (Personnel); and/or conferring with the City’s Labor Negotiators.

Council moved to open session at 10:00 p.m.
REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION (if required) - None

ADJOURNMENT at 10:00 p.m.

______________________________
Cindy Mortesen, City Clerk
AGENDA DESCRIPTION:
Consideration and possible action regarding a request for Community Challenge Grant funds from the Department of Housing and Urban Development for the purposes of updating the General Plan and Zoning Regulations. (Fiscal Impact: grant award of $640,000 with $160,000 commitment of City matching funds)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:
1. Authorize the submittal of the grant application and the commitment of $160,000 in matching funds and in-kind staff time, and/or
2. Alternatively, discuss and take other possible action related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:
Resolution authorizing the City Manager to submit the 2011 Community Challenge Grant application and committing to provide 20% in matching funds and in-kind staff time.

FISCAL IMPACT: $160,000 (dedicated funds)
Amount Budgeted: $160,000
Additional Appropriation: n/a
Account Number(s): 708-200-2402-3816

ORIGINATED BY: Greg Carpenter, Director of Planning and Building Safety
APPROVED BY: Doug Willmore, City Manager

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:

I. Background

The Department of Housing and Urban Development has released a notice of funding availability for Community Challenge Planning Grants. The purpose of these grants is to allow local governments to update plans and/or regulations to promote “livability” of communities in such areas as:

- Increasing transportation choices,
- Promoting equitable, affordable housing,
- Enhancing economic competitiveness,
- Supporting existing communities,
- Coordinating policies and leveraging investment, and;
- Valuing communities and neighborhoods

These are all policy areas that a city’s general plan would typically address and design policies and programs to accomplish. El Segundo has been informed by the State of California of its responsibility to update its general plan that was last comprehensively updated in 1992. To
address this need, in 2009 the City Council placed a surcharge on all building permits to create a dedicated General Plan Maintenance Fund. This fund has a current balance of approximately $207,000.

With the consent of the City Council, staff intends to request a grant in the amount of $640,000 to prepare an update to the City’s General Plan, the preparation of the necessary Environmental Impact Report (EIR) and revisions to the Zoning Regulations to implement recommendations made through the General Plan update process.

The grant requires a commitment on the City’s part to fund a minimum of 20% of the project cost. In this case, staff would intend to use approximately $160,000 from the General Plan Maintenance fund and staff’s time to exceed the 20% matching requirement.
RESOLUTION NO. ___

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER AND DIRECTOR OF PLANNING AND BUILDING SAFETY TO APPLY FOR, RECEIVE, AND APPROPRIATE GRANT FUNDS FOR AN UPDATE OF THE GENERAL PLAN AND ZONING REGULATIONS

The City Council of the city of El Segundo does resolve as follows:

SECTION 1: The City Council finds and declares as follows: The City of El Segundo requests $640,000 in funding assistance through the Community Challenge Planning Grant program and is committed to providing the required 20% matching requirement of $160,000.

SECTION 2: The City Manager and Director of Planning and Building Safety are authorized to apply for a grant of $640,000 from the Department of Housing and Urban Development to be used for updating the City's General Plan and Zoning Regulations.

SECTION 3: The City Manager, or designee, is authorized to execute any required documents to receive the grant for the purposes identified herein.

SECTION 4: The City Manager, or designee, is authorized to accept and spend the grant monies identified in this Resolution for the purposes set forth herein.

SECTION 5: The City Council hereby amends or supplements the City's Budget for fiscal year 2011/2012, to appropriate the monies identified herein to pay for the services proposed by the City in support of its grant application. The City Manager, or designee, is authorized to implement the purpose of this section.

SECTION 6: This Resolution will become effective immediately upon adoption.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 6th day of September, 2011.

____________________________________
Eric Busch, Mayor
ATTEST:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA    )
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES   )    SS
CITY OF EL SEGUNDO      )

I, Cindy Mortesen, City Clerk of the City of El Segundo, California, do hereby certify that the whole number of members of the City Council of said City is five; that the foregoing Resolution No. _____ was duly passed and adopted by said City Council, approved and signed by the Mayor, and attested to by the City Clerk, all at a regular meeting of said Council held on the ____ day of ____ , 2011, and the same was so passed and adopted by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

__________________________________________
Cindy Mortesen, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
MARK D. HENSLEY, CITY ATTORNEY

By: _______________________________________
    Karl H. Berger, Assistant City Attorney
AGENDA DESCRIPTION:
Consideration and possible action regarding a thirty (30) day provisional appointment extension for the position of Interim Battalion Chief. (Fiscal Impact: None)

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION:
1. Approve the thirty (30) day provisional appointment extension for the position of Interim Battalion Chief;
2. Alternatively, discuss and take other action related to this item.

ATTACHED SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS:
None

FISCAL IMPACT: None

Amount Budgeted: 
Additional Appropriation: N/A
Account Number(s): 

ORIGINATED BY: Kevin S. Smith, Fire Chief
REVIEWED BY: Kevin S. Smith, Fire Chief
APPROVED BY: Doug Willmore, City Manager

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION:

A vacancy for the position of Battalion Chief was created in the Fire Department at the end of 2010 due to a retirement. A permanent appointment to the position could not be made at the time due to an ongoing personnel matter. In an effort to reduce overtime expenditures and to provide a career development opportunity, Fire Captain Richard Guyer was appointed Interim Battalion Chief. Mr. Guyer has been performing the responsibilities of Battalion Chief since February 5, 2011. Staff recommends that Mr. Guyer be approved to continue in the interim position for another thirty (30) days or until a permanent appointment to the position can be made.

In accordance with El Segundo Municipal Code Section 1-6-13(c), no person shall be employed by the City under provisional appointment for more than six (6) months in any fiscal year. The Code further states the provisional appointment may be extended for not more than thirty (30) days with Council approval. Therefore, staff is requesting a thirty (30) day extension through October 3, 2011.